

1981-82

***Federal Pell Grant
Program
End-of-Year Report***

**U.S. Department of Education
Office of Postsecondary Education**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 1: HIGHLIGHTS OF THE PELL GRANT PROGRAM-AWARD PERIOD 1981-82	6
<u>Table</u>	
1. Pell Grant Program Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference Award Period 1973-74 through 1981-82	13
CHAPTER 2: SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS-AWARD PERIOD 1981-82	15
<u>Tables</u>	
2. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Family Income Award Period 1981-82	16
3. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Family Income and Grant Level Award Period 1981-82	21
4. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Grant Level Award Period 1981-82	26
5. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Type of Institution Award Period 1981-82	30

TablesPage

6.	Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Family Income and Type of Institution Award Period 1981-82	34
7.	Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Student Eligibility Index and Educational Cost Award Period 1981-82	38
8.	Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Family Income and Educational Cost Award Period 1981-82	42
9.	Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Family Income and Net Asset Level Award Period 1981-82	47
10.	Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Age and Family Income Award Period 1981-82	51
CHAPTER 3:	MISCELLANEOUS-AWARD PERIOD 1981-82	58

Tables

11.	Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients - Income by Dependent Student Earnings Award Period 1981-82	59
12.	Summary Statistics for Pell Grant Applicants Reporting Veteran's Benefits Award Period 1981-82	61

TablesPage

13. Summary Statistics for Pell Grant Applicants Reporting Social Security Benefits Award Period 1981-82	64
14. Distribution of Pell Grant Applicants by Eligibility Status and Income Range Award Period 1981-82	67
15. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Enrollment Status, Type and Control of Institution Award Period 1981-82.	69
CHAPTER 4: DISTRIBUTION OF VALIDATED PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS INCOME BY GRANT LEVEL AWARD PERIOD 1981-82	72

Table

16. Distribution of Validated Pell Grant Recipients Income by Grant Level Award Period 1981-82	73
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR THE MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY SYSTEM - AWARD PERIOD 1981-82	75

Tables

17. Multiple Data Entry Summary Statistics Award Period 1981-82	76
18. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Family Income and Multiple Data Entry Application Award Period 1981-82	80

CHAPTER 6: INSTITUTIONS PARTICIPATING IN THE PELL GRANT PROGRAM-SUMMARY INFORMATION AWARD PERIOD 1981-82 85

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
19. Summary Statistics by Type of Institution Award Period 1981-82	86
20. Pell Grant Expenditures, Recipients and Average Grant by Type and Control of Institution Award Period 1981-82	88
21. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients and Average Grant by Grant Level and Type of Institution Award Period 1981-82	96
22. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Educational Cost and Grant Level Award Period 1981-82	100
23. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by State and Control of Institution Award Period 1981-82	104
24. Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Recipient's State of Legal Residence and Control of Institution Award Period 1981-82	109

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

LIST OF GRAPHS AND CHARTS

	<u>Page</u>
Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference	
Qualified Applicants by Dependency Status and Income Level Award Period 1981-82	9
Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients by Level of Family Income Award Period 1981-82	10
Pell Grant Recipients by Type of Institution Attended Award Period 1981-82	11
Pell Grant Applicants/Recipients by Multiple Data Entry Source Award Period 1981-82	12
Breakdown of Applicants by Multiple Data Entry Source and Outcome of Application Processing Award Period 1981-82	79
Number of Pell Grant Recipients by State of Institution Attended Award Period 1981-82	108

INTRODUCTION

The Pell Grant Program, administered by the Department of Education's Office of Student Financial Assistance, is the largest of six student financial aid programs authorized under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 and its amendments.¹ The program offered grants ranging from \$120 to \$1,670 in the 1981-82 academic year to eligible individuals so that they could further their postsecondary education. Since its inception in 1973, the number of Pell recipients has increased over ten fold. During the 1981-82 school year alone, 2,709,076 individuals received \$2,303,193,743 billion in grants.

The primary feature that distinguishes the Pell Grant Program from other forms of financial assistance is its entitlement concept. All students meeting certain criteria are guaranteed aid, with the amount of aid determined by financial need and educational cost. To be eligible for a grant an individual must meet certain residency requirements, be enrolled at least half-time in an eligible program at a school participating in the Pell program and be determined to have sufficient financial need. Financial need is calculated using a formula developed annually by the Department of Education and reviewed and approved by Congress.

¹ The next largest program is the Guaranteed Student Loan program. The other major programs providing student financial assistance in order of decreasing size are as follows: (1) National Direct Student Loan; (2) College Work-Study; (3) Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant; (4) State Student Incentive Grant.

This formula, applied consistently to all applicants, takes into account such indicators of financial strength as income, assets, and family size, and produces a Student Eligibility Index (SEI).² The Index is combined with the cost of a student's education and the student's enrollment status (full or part-time) to determine the amount of the Pell Grant.

The size of the grant increases as the eligibility index decreases so that an applicant with an eligibility index of zero may receive the maximum award equal to half the applicant's educational cost. This is subject to an overall award limit of \$1,670. Annual educational costs must be over \$400 to qualify for any award. Proportionately smaller awards are made to part-time students.

PURPOSE AND ORGANIZATION OF THE 1981-82 END-OF-YEAR REPORT

Since 1973 the Pell Grant Program Policy and Analysis Section of the Office of Student Financial Assistance has compiled statistical information on Pell program activity. The information provides a basis for program planning and development and is incorporated each year into an End-of-Year Report. This report, designed as a desk top reference manual, can help higher education officials and financial aid administrators to better understand current patterns of Pell Grant disbursements.

² The Office of Student Financial Assistance has changed the Term "Student Eligibility Index (SEI)" to "Student Aid Index (SAI)" after the 1981-82 processing year.

The 1981-82 End-of-Year Report is a series of tables and accompanying texts which describe in detail selected aspects of Pell program activity. The tables are grouped into seven chapters. Chapter 1 highlights the most significant program activities during the 1981-82 award period. This chapter contains general information such as the total number of applications processed, the number of grant recipients, the types and number of institutions participating in the Pell program, and income-related characteristics of applicants and recipients. Chapter 2 is an in-depth analysis of selected demographic characteristics of recipients and of the impact these characteristics have on grant levels. The chapter examines the interrelationship of factors such as recipient age, family income, dependency status, Student Eligibility Index, and educational cost. Chapter 3 presents information about the eligibility status of applicants by income level, examines the interrelationship of dependent students' earnings and family income, explains summary statistics on applicants reporting Veteran's Benefits and Social Security Benefits, and contains a new table on enrollment status by type and control of institution. Chapter 4 analyses the effects of family income on grant levels for validated students. Chapter 5 looks at selected aspects of the Multiple Data Entry Application Processing System. Chapter 6 summarizes information on the institutions participating in the Pell program. It includes data on the number of schools participating, their locations, types of control (public or private), and the lengths of programs offered.

A glossary at the end of Chapter 6 defines the terms used in this report. The reader may find it useful to scan the glossary before continuing further.

To facilitate cross-year comparisons, the format of the tables in this report is consistent with the reports from previous years. However, the numbering system has been changed. Tables 1-10 correspond to last years tables 1-10, but tables 11-24 do not correspond to last years 11-23. Table 15 is a new table.

DATA BASES FOR END-OF-YEAR REPORT TABLES

All tables in the 1981-82 End-of-Year Report, except Table 1, were derived from a universe file containing applicant and recipient data current through December 1982. The applicant data were taken from the applications students submitted to the central processor; most recipient or disbursement data were derived from Student Eligibility Reports (SERs). Validated disbursement data, or data obtained from Student Validation Rosters, have been obtained for most students and were included as part of the recipient data. Some expenditure and grant level information found in the tables is, however, based on expected disbursements and may not reflect actual expenditures. For example, average grant information takes into account neither changes in a student's status which have an impact on grant amount (i.e., the student dropping out of school part way through) nor money recovered from overawards.

It is recognized that "freezing" the data in December 1982 and using only information on the file through that time will mean that some information will not be collected and some unresolved data problems may be incorporated in the universe file. Nevertheless, experience has shown that the number of additions to the file and the number of problems resolved after the cut-off date are small and do not significantly change the current distributions.

In contrast to the above mentioned tables, Table 1 is based on the final applicant and the most recent recipient data available. It more closely reflects actual expenditures. The number of recipients, total expenditures and average Pell Grant in the Table are from the most recent Program Information Management System data. These are aggregate data which more accurately reflect total Pell Grant expenditures at institutions. The level of expenditures includes funds sent to schools but not spent for students who did not receive awards, did not receive full awards because of changes in enrollment status or other reasons, and other recoveries. However, because this recipient count is merely the number of unduplicated SERs in the system, it includes those with "zero" disbursements. On the other hand, all tables except 1 are based on special tabulations and sums of data from individual recipients' records. Such

records do not reflect changes in Expected Disbursement actually experienced by these students, unless their awards have been validated by the school. By definition, these special tabulations do not count students with "zero" disbursements as recipients. These two factors work together to show a reduced average award on Table 1 in comparison with the average awards shown on other tables. After all Student Validation Rosters have been processed for the 1981-82 period, individual recipient data will agree with aggregate data reported on Institutional Progress Reports. Because these factors have been considered, recipient data on Table 1 (such as average grant) may differ from similar data on other tables.

The data presented in Tables 1-24 in the 1981-82 End-of-Year Report have been compared internally and with similar data derived from other sources. The distributions have been found to be consistent.


CHAPTER 1

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE PELL GRANT PROGRAM
AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE PELL GRANT PROGRAM

AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

During the 1981-82 award period, 4,945,760 individuals, or over half of all undergraduate students in the United States, applied for Pell Grants.³ Of those individuals who applied for Pell Grants, 55 percent were recipients; 25 percent were determined ineligible to receive support because of insufficient financial need; and, 14 percent were found eligible for grants but never submitted a Student Eligibility Report (SER) to the school they planned to attend. The eligibility status of the remaining 7 percent of the applicants could not be determined because they provided insufficient information on the application and did not complete application processing.




³ Comparative statistics on the total number of students in the United States enrolled in undergraduate programs are compiled each year by the National Center for Education Statistics.

From 1980-81 to 1981-82 the Pell Grant Program expanded in terms of the number of grant recipients but declined in terms of the amount of the average award and of total expenditures. Although the number of applicants increased 2.5 percent (from 4,825,420 to 4,945,760), the number of recipients remained constant (from 2,707,932 to 2,709,076). [This finding is in sharp contrast to the 7% increase from 1979-80 to 1980-81 in the number of recipients]. In 1981-82 the percentage of applicants for whom a Student Eligibility Index could not be determined continued near the 1980-81 level of 7%. The average award decreased from \$887 to \$849 (4%). Total expenditures decreased from \$2,400,718,000 billion to \$2,299,718,000 billion or approximately 4%.


Of those students who received Pell Grants in the 1981-82 school year, 62% were under 22 years of age. Most students (approximately 58%) depended on their families as their primary source of income; 42% were considered financially independent. Forty-two percent of the recipients had family incomes of \$6,000 or less, with independent recipients more than 3 times as likely as dependent recipients to report in this range (73% of independent recipients, 19% of dependent recipients). The percentage of recipients reporting family incomes exceeding \$15,000 remained the same, 26% in both 1980-81 and 1981-82. Approximately 2 percent of all applicants reported receiving Veteran's Educational Benefits and 6 percent Social Security Benefits.

Qualified Applicants By
Dependency Status and Income Level
Award Period 1981-82

Qualified Applicants with
Incomes under \$6,000




Qualified Applicants with
Incomes over \$15,000




As would be expected, there was a strong correlation between family income and assets and an applicant's Student Eligibility Index (SEI). Generally, the lower an applicant's income and assets, the lower his or her SEI. The correlation between SEI and grant level, however, was not as direct since education costs and enrollment status have a heavy impact on the size of the grant awarded. For example, although 45 percent of all students receiving Pell Grants had eligibility indices of zero, only 24 percent of all recipients received grants over \$1,200.

The majority of 1981-82 Pell Grant recipients were awarded grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199 with the average grant amount \$826. This represented a decrease of \$61 from the 1980-81 award period.

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
by Level of Family Income
Award Period 1981-82



Public institutions continued to attract the largest number of Pell Grant recipients. Almost two thirds of all Pell recipients attended public institutions, while approximately 22 percent attended private non-profit schools. Only 12 percent attended private profit-making schools. Fifty-eight percent of all Pell Grant recipients were enrolled in five-year institutions or four-year schools without graduate programs. One-third attended schools offering two to three year programs, while the remaining students attended institutions requiring less than two years of course work. This pattern of enrollment is similar to that for 1980-81.



Students could apply for a 1981-82 Pell Grant through one of four sources. Slightly less than half of all applicants applied through the College Scholarship Service (CSS); 36% submitted applications directly to the Pell Grant program; and, approximately 16% used the American College Testing (ACT) application form. A small number of individuals (3%) applied through the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency (PHEAA). Individuals applying for Pell Grants by the Pell application were determined eligible to receive grants more often than those who applied through other sources.


Percentage of official applications which resulted in a qualified SER:

PELL	78%
ACT	67%
CSS	63%
PHEAA	63%

Eighty percent of all qualified applicants received grants, with the balance failing to file a Student Eligibility Report with an institution.

Pell Grant Applicants/Recipients by Multiple Data Entry Source
(Based on Total Official Applications Processed)
Award Period 1981-82

Applicants by MDE Source



Recipients by MDE Source




TABLE 1

Pell Grant Program
Summary Statistics for Cross-Year Reference
Award Period 1973-74 through 1981-82

Table 1 summarizes the general applicant and recipient trends in the Pell Grant Program from award period 1973-74, the first year of the program, through award period 1981-82.

The Pell Grant Program experienced a dramatic increase in both the number of applications processed and the number of grant recipients from 1973-74 to 1976-77. Much of this increase was due to the expansion of the population eligible for Pell Grants from full-time freshmen in 1973-74 to all undergraduates attending Pell participating institutions at least half-time in 1976-77.

Program expansion was more gradual from 1976-77 to 1978-79. During this period, the number of official applications processed increased from 3,590,379 to 3,885,383 and total grant expenditures rose slightly less than \$100 million dollars, compared to an increase of more than \$1.4 billion dollars between 1973-74 and 1976-77. From 1977-78 to 1978-79, the number of qualified applicants dropped from 2,390,320 to 2,228,603. An increase in the average grant from \$758 in 1977-78 to \$814 in 1978-79 accompanied the decline in the number of recipients and the growth of total expenditures.

From 1978-79 to 1979-80 the program expanded greatly, primarily in response to the passage of the Middle Income Student Assistance Act. While the number of applications only increased from 3,885,383 to 4,186,716 (7.8%), the number of recipients increased from 1,893,000 to 2,537,875 (34.1%). Since the average grant increased from \$825 to \$929 (19.6%), the total expenditures grew from \$1.56 billion to \$2.36 billion (60.6%).

In 1980-81 growth in the Pell Grant Program slowed. Although the volume of applications increased by 15% from 4,186,716 to 4,825,420 the number of recipients increased by only 7% from 2,537,875 to 2,707,932. The average award decreased from \$987 to \$882. Total expenditures decreased by 4%, from \$2.50 to \$2.39 billion.

From 1980-81 to 1981-82 the number of applications and recipients remained approximately the same, while total expenditure fell for the second year in a row to \$2,299,718,000.

Table 1

Pell Grant Program**Summary of statistics for Cross-Year Reference**

	Award Period								
	1973-74	1974-75	1975-76	1976-77	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING OFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	512,866	1,304,877	2,339,337	3,590,379	3,844,047	3,885,383	4,186,716	4,825,420	4,945,760
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING VALID APPLICATIONS	482,331	1,114,084	2,178,696	3,408,718	3,621,641	3,401,428	3,868,429	4,475,762	4,614,590
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	268,444	681,648	1,455,187	2,258,043	2,390,320	2,228,603	3,029,745	3,330,534	3,398,237
	52.34	52.24	62.21	62.89	62.18	57.36	72.37	69.02	68.71
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	213,887	432,436	723,509	1,150,675	1,231,321	1,172,825	838,684	1,145,228	1,216,353
	41.70	33.14	30.93	32.05	32.03	30.19	20.03	23.73	24.59
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF APPLICATOINS RETURNED FOR INCUFFICIENT DATA AND NEVER RE-SUBMITTED FOR PROCESSING	30,535	190,793	160,641	181,661	222,406	483,955	318,287	349,658	331,170
	5.95	14.62	6.87	5.06	5.79	12.46	7.60	7.25	6.70
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING UNOFFICIAL APPLICATIONS						348,236	280,918	265,283	266,197
CLASSES OF ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS	Full-Time Freshmen	Full-Time Freshmen & Sophomores	Freshmen Sophomores Juniors	All Undergraduates	All Undergraduates	All Undergraduates	All Undergraduates	All Undergraduates	All Undergraduates
NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS SELECTED FOR VALIDAITON						119,263	232,118	320,852	313,791
NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	185,249	567,000	1,217,000	1,944,000	2,011,000	1,893,000	2,537,875	2,707,932	2,709,076
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$49,873,951	\$356,353,000	\$925,998,000	\$1,475,444,000	\$1,524,340,000	\$1,540,895,000	\$2,357,222,000	\$2,387,117,000	\$2,299,718,000
AVERAGE PELL AWARD	\$270	\$628	\$761	\$759	\$758	\$825	\$987	\$887	\$849
MINIMUM PELL AWARD	\$50	\$50	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$50	\$200	\$150	\$120
MAXIMUM PELL AWARD	\$452	\$1,050	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,600	\$1,800	\$1,750	\$1,670

CHAPTER 2

SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF PELL GRANT
APPLICANTS/RECIPIENTS
AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TABLE 2

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Student Eligibility Index and Family Income
Award Period 1981-82

2A - Total
2B - Dependent
2C - Independent

Tables 2A, 2B, and 2C present the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and family income.

The tables indicate that during academic year 1981-82, Pell Grants were awarded to 2,709,076 students. The proportion of independent recipients (42%) was slightly more than in 1980-81 (41%).

Many Pell Grant recipients reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. Approximately one-quarter reported incomes over \$15,000. Proportionately more independent than dependent recipients reported in the lower income ranges.

o Recipients with family incomes of \$6,000 or less:			
Total	-	1,127,097	(42% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	299,707	(19% of all dependent recipients)
Independent	-	827,390	(73% of all independent recipients)
o Recipients with family incomes greater than \$15,000:			
Total	-	695,992	(26% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	676,474	(43% of all dependent recipients)
Independent	-	19,518	(2% of all independent recipients)

Forty-five percent of all recipients received Student Eligibility Indices of 0. Of these, 79 percent reported family incomes of \$6,000 or less. A much larger proportion of independent than dependent recipients received eligibility indices of 0.

o Recipients with eligibility indices of 0:

Total	-	1,214,914	(45% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	423,292	(27% of all dependent recipients)
Independent	-	791,622	(70% of all independent recipients)

Four percent of all Pell recipients received Student Eligibility Indices greater than 1,400. Eighty percent of these students reported a family income over \$15,000. Only 3 percent reported a family income of \$6,000 or less. The proportion of dependent recipients with eligibility indices over 1,400 was over three times that of independent recipients.

o Recipients with eligibility indices over 1,400:

Total	-	100,420	(4% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	84,179	(5% of all dependent recipients)
Independent	-	16,241	(1% of all independent recipients)

A comparison of the data from the 1980-81 and 1981-82 award periods shows that the percentage of recipients who reported incomes greater than \$15,000 remained the same and the number reporting incomes of \$6,000 or less decreased slightly.

o Recipients with family incomes greater than \$15,000:

1980-81	-	703,960	(26% of all recipients)
1981-82	-	695,992	(26% of all recipients)

o Recipients with family incomes of \$6,000 or less:

1980-81	-	1,104,874	(41% of all recipients)
1981-82	-	1,127,097	(42% of all recipients)

The percentage of students receiving Student Eligibility Indices of 0 increased from 43 percent in award period 1980-81 to 45 percent in award period 1981-82. The percentage of students receiving Student Eligibility Indices over 1,400 declined to 4% from the 1980-81 level of 5 percent.

DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND FAMILY INCOME

[illegible]

DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND FAMILY INCOME

[illegible]

Table 2-C - **INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS**

AWARD YEAR 1981-82

TABLE 3

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Family Income and Grant Level
Award Period 1981-82

3A - Total
3B - Dependent
3C - Independent

Tables 3A, 3B, and 3C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by family income and grant level. The grant levels shown are in ranges of \$400 for the first two intervals, \$200 for the next three intervals, and \$269 for the sixth interval. The final grant level category indicates the number of students receiving the maximum Pell Grant of \$1,670.

Relative to the total, few students received the maximum grant during the 1981-82 award period. Sixty percent of all Pell Grant recipients were awarded grants ranging from \$400 to \$1,199.

o Students receiving grants of \$1,670:

Total	-	247,316	(9% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	103,206	(7% of dependent recipients)
Independent	-	144,110	(13% of independent recipients)

o Students receiving grants of \$400 to \$1,199:

Total	-	1,624,060	(60% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	943,162	(60% of dependent recipients)
Independent	-	680,898	(60% of independent recipients)

The data from these tables show that the greater the recipient's family income, the lower the potential for a large Pell Grant. Only 9 percent of all recipients were awarded the maximum grant of \$1,670. However, among those recipients with family incomes of \$6,000 or less, the maximum award was made to 16 percent. Of the 26 percent of Pell recipients who reported family incomes greater than \$15,000 the maximum award was made to only one half of one percent.

It is interesting to note, however, that 26 percent of all recipients reporting incomes of \$3,000 or less were awarded grants below \$800. Educational cost, one determinant of the size of a Pell Grant, is one reason why a relatively large proportion of these low income recipients received grants below \$800. As Table 8A demonstrates, 25 percent of these recipients attended institutions where the educational cost was \$2,100 or less. Another possible reason, as Table 9A indicates, is that only 4 percent of recipients with incomes of \$3,000 or less reported net assets greater than \$25,000.

A comparison of the data from award periods 1980-81 and 1981-82 shows that the Pell Grant Program experienced an increase in the proportion of students receiving grants less than \$800 but those awarded grants \$1,200 or greater remained the same. (It is important to note that \$1,750 was the maximum grant during award period 1980-81 and \$1,670 the maximum in 1981-82).

o Students receiving grants less than \$800:

1980-81	-	987,180	(36% of all recipients)
1981-82	-	1,092,534	(40% of all recipients)

o Students receiving grants of \$1,200 or greater:

1980-81	-	705,852	(26% of all recipients)
1981-82	-	696,357	(26% of all recipients)

TABLE 3-A
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 3-B
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL
DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 3-C
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 4

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Student Eligibility Index and Grant Level
Award Period 1981-82

4A - Total
4B - Dependent
4C - Independent

The Student Eligibility Index (SEI), along with educational costs, determines the size of a Pell Grant. In general, the lower the index the larger the potential grant. Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C illustrate this correlation between Student Eligibility Index and grant level. For example, 95 percent of the recipients receiving the maximum award of \$1,670 had zero eligibility indices, while only 19 percent of the recipients who received awards less than \$400 had eligibility indices of zero. Approximately three-quarters of the recipients with zero eligibility indices received awards of \$800 or more while only 7 percent of the recipients with indices over 800 received awards this high.

The step-shaped line drawn diagonally through Tables 4A, 4B, and 4C delineates valid versus invalid awards. All cells to the right of the line should contain zeroes, as these are invalid combinations of SEI and grant level. For example, the maximum grant shown on the Payment Schedule for a student with an SEI in the range of 1401 to 1550 is \$246. Grants which exceed \$246 for this SEI range represent institutional errors, data entry errors and overawards because of attendance at more than one institution. These types of errors will be corrected when Student Validation Rosters for all institutions have been successfully processed. It should be noted that "Grant" includes the sum of the Expected Disbursements on all SERs submitted for each recipient.

During 1981-82 there were 21,684 such invalid awards constituting .8 percent of all recipients. In 1980-81, 27,705 students or 1 percent of all recipients received invalid awards.

TABLE 4-A
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND GRANT LEVEL
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 4-C
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND GRANT LEVEL
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 5

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients By Student Eligibility Index and Type of Institution Award Period 1981-82

5A - Total
5B - Dependent
5C - Independent

Tables 5A, 5B, and 5C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and type of institution. The categories of institutions presented in this table are identical to those reported on the Institutional Progress Report and are defined in the glossary at the end of Chapter 6.

Categories of institution type differed in the numbers of students they attracted, and in the relative proportions of independent and dependent students enrolled. Approximately 71% of all students attended institutions offering programs of two to three years duration or of at least five years duration. Greater proportions of dependent than independent students enrolled in schools with programs over three years in length while greater proportions of independent than dependent students enrolled in schools with programs of less than three years duration.

A high proportion of lower income recipients attended institutions with programs less than three years in duration (see Table 6). Therefore, it is not surprising that 51 percent of all recipients with zero eligibility indices enrolled at schools with programs of less than three years duration while only 25 percent of all students with eligibility indices over 1400 enrolled at such schools.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

TABLE 6

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Family Income and Type of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

6A - Total
6B - Dependent
6C - Independent

Tables 6A, 6B, and 6C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by family income and type of institution. Students in higher income categories enrolled in programs of longer duration than did lower income students. Dependent students enrolled in longer programs than independent students, regardless of income.

During award period 1981-82, 50% of all recipients reporting family incomes of \$6,000 or less and 74% of those with incomes greater than \$15,000 were enrolled in four and five-year institutions.

Dependency status influenced institution choice for recipients with family incomes less than \$6,000. A comparison of the independent and dependent populations shows that the proportions of independent recipients who reported incomes of \$6,000 or less was over 3 times the proportion of dependent students - 73 percent as opposed to 19 percent. Proportionately more independent (39%) than dependent (34%) recipients with incomes in this lower range attended two to three-year institutions. Proportionately more dependent recipients in this income group were enrolled in schools with programs of four years or more - 57 percent of dependent recipients as opposed to 48 percent of independent recipients.

Dependency status also had a significant impact on institution choice for students with family incomes over \$15,000. Seventy-four percent of dependent students in this range attended four to five year institutions while only 46 percent of independent students chose such schools. This difference probably occurred because, in this income range, independent students are more likely than dependent students to have jobs and are therefore less able to commit themselves to a long-term program.

TABLE 6-A

[illegible]

TABLE 6-B
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION
DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 6-C
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 7

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients By Student
Eligibility Index and Educational Cost
Award Period 1981-82

7A - Total
7B - Dependent
7C - Independent

Tables 7A, 7B, and 7C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by Student Eligibility Index and educational cost. Educational cost has been divided into \$300 increments following the first two cost ranges of under \$400 and \$400 to \$1,500. The first two cost ranges, less than \$400 and \$400 to \$1,500, were employed for the following two reasons: (1) according to the 1981-82 Payment Schedule, Pell Grant recipients had to have educational costs greater than \$400 to receive a grant; (2) most students had costs greater than \$1,500 since \$1,500 was the minimum allowance for living expenses and the cost of books and supplies.

Educational cost tends to increase in tandem with Student Eligibility Index. Only 26 percent of the zero eligibility index recipients attended institutions with educational costs over \$3,600 as compared to 36 percent of the recipients with eligibility indices greater than 800 and 41 percent of recipients with SEI's over 1,400.

Tables 7B and 7C show that, as in past years, independent recipients clustered to a greater degree than dependent recipients in the lower educational cost and eligibility index ranges.

o Recipients with zero eligibility indices and educational costs of \$3,000 or less:

1981-82 Dependent	- 263,832	(17% of all dependent recipients)
1981-82 Independent	- 505,328	(45% of all independent recipients)
1980-81 Dependent	- 274,108	(17% of all dependent recipients)
1980-81 Independent	- 512,164	(47% of all independent recipients)

**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND EDUCATIONAL COST
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82**

[illegible]

**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND EDUCATIONAL COST
DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82**

[illegible]

**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY STUDENT ELIGIBILITY INDEX AND EDUCATIONAL COST
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82**

[illegible]

TABLE 8

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Family Income and Educational Cost
Award Period 1981-82

8A - Total
8B - Dependent
8C - Independent

Tables 8A, 8B, and 8C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by family income and educational cost. The data from the following three tables provide evidence that higher income recipients are likely to attend higher cost institutions and lower income recipients are likely to attend lower cost institutions.

o Recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less with educational costs of:

\$3,000 or less	- 715,138	(63% of recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less)
Greater than \$3,600	- 289,923	(26% of recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less)

o Recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000 with educational costs of:

\$3,000 or less	- 351,278	(50% of recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000)
Greater than \$3,600	- 263,711	(38% of recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000)

In the group of recipients reporting incomes of \$6,000 or less, the percentage with educational costs in excess of \$3,600 increased from 21 percent in 1980-81 to 26 percent in 1981-82.

Independent recipients tended more often than dependent recipients to be in the lower income and educational cost ranges and dependent recipients were more often in the higher ranges. This was true in both the 1980-81 and 1981-82 school years.

- o Recipients with educational costs of \$3,000 or less and incomes of \$6,000 or less:

1980-81 Dependent	- 204,805	(13% of all dependent recipients)
1980-81 Independent	- 540,395	(49% of all independent recipients)
1981-82 Dependent	- 185,870	(12% of all dependent recipients)
1981-82 Independent	- 529,268	(47% of all independent recipients)

- o Recipients with educational costs greater than \$3,000 and incomes greater than \$15,000:

1980-81 Dependent	- 316,921	(20% of all dependent recipients)
1980-81 Independent	- 5,162	(less than 1% of all independent recipients)
1981-82 Dependent	- 339,239	(22% of all dependent recipients)
1981-82 Independent	- 5,475	(less than 1% of all independent recipients)

TABLE 8-A

**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND EDUCATIONAL COST**

ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 8-B

[illegible]

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 9

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients By
Family Income and Net Asset Level
Award Period 1981-82

9A - Total
9B - Dependent
9C - Independent

Tables 9A, 9B, and 9C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by family income and net asset level. It should be noted that, as Table 9C shows, very few independent recipients have assets in excess of \$7,500 or incomes in excess of \$15,000.

The net asset level equals the sum of the market value of the recipient's (independent) or parent's (dependent) home, real estate, investments, business, farm, and checking and savings accounts, minus the sum of the unpaid debts on these items. The 1981-82 Pell Grant formula allowed each dependent applicant a \$25,000 asset reserve from which no family contribution was required. Dependent students from families with farm or business assets were allowed a \$50,000 asset reserve. In most instances, a low net asset level had no impact on the size of a recipient's grant.

In both the 1980-81 and 1981-82 school years, one third of all recipients and half of all independent recipients reported no net valuable assets. In 1981-82, 64 percent of all recipients and 95 percent of all independent recipients reported net assets under \$7,500. About 57 percent of those recipients who reported net assets worth \$7,500 or less had family incomes of \$6,000 or less, while 11 percent of those in this net asset range reported incomes greater than \$15,000.

TABLE 9-B
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND NET ASSET LEVEL
DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 10

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Age and Family Income
Award Period 1981-82

10A - Total
10B - Dependent
10C - Independent

Tables 10A, 10B, and 10C show the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by age and family income. It should be pointed out that, unlike other tables in this report, Tables 10A, 10B, and 10C are each two pages in length.

Over 68 percent of all recipients were 22 years old or younger. The percentage of dependent recipients in this age range was two and one-half times as high as the percentage of independent recipients.

Recipients reporting family incomes greater than \$15,000 accounted for approximately 25 percent of all recipients. Proportionately more recipients 22 years or younger (35 percent) had incomes over \$15,000 than recipients 30 years or older (25 percent). This probably occurred because many younger applicants were dependent and reported their parents' incomes, while most of those 30 years or older were independent and reported their own incomes. Likewise, those recipients reporting incomes of \$3,000 or less (who constituted 21 percent of the total recipient population) accounted for a higher proportion of the 30 years or older age group than of the 22 years or younger group. Twenty-six percent of all recipients 30 years or older and 16 percent of all recipients 22 years or less reported in this income category. The relationships between age and income were similar in the 1980-81 school year.

TABLE 10-A
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

AGE		FAMILY INCOME							TOTAL	
		LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001 - 3,000	\$3,001 - 6,000	\$6,001 - 9,000	\$9,001 - 15,000	\$15,001 - 20,000	\$20,001 - 30,000		\$30,001+
UNDER 17		688	997	2,289	1,970	2,900	1,565	1,260	249	11,918
	R%	5.8	8.4	19.2	16.5	24.3	13.1	10.6	2.1	100.0
	C%	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4
17		4,930	7,544	16,311	17,144	31,728	20,988	24,136	5,623	128,404
	R%	3.8	5.9	12.7	13.4	24.7	16.3	18.8	4.4	100.0
	C%	2.4	2.1	2.9	4.9	5.9	7.3	7.4	6.7	4.7
18		18,099	26,404	53,844	54,970	107,984	77,511	94,653	21,216	454,681
	R%	4.0	5.8	11.8	12.1	23.7	17.0	20.8	4.7	100.0
	C%	8.8	7.5	9.5	15.7	20.1	27.1	29.1	25.2	16.8
19		22,334	35,828	60,652	49,678	93,232	64,672	78,998	19,970	425,364
	R%	5.3	8.4	14.3	11.7	21.9	15.2	18.6	4.7	100.0
	C%	10.8	10.2	10.7	14.2	17.4	22.6	24.3	23.8	15.7
20		24,671	43,682	63,312	40,793	71,461	47,940	60,090	17,806	369,755
	R%	6.7	11.8	17.1	11.0	19.3	13.0	16.3	4.8	100.0
	C%	12.0	12.4	11.1	11.7	13.3	16.7	18.5	21.2	13.6
21		23,773	42,534	59,365	31,329	51,962	32,207	39,657	12,461	293,288
	R%	8.1	14.5	20.2	10.7	17.7	11.0	13.5	4.2	100.0
	C%	11.5	12.1	10.4	9.0	9.7	11.3	12.2	14.8	10.8
22		19,049	34,447	47,699	20,848	29,327	13,685	14,995	4,475	184,525
	R%	10.3	18.7	25.8	11.3	15.9	7.4	8.1	2.4	100.0
	C%	9.2	9.8	8.4	6.0	5.5	4.8	4.6	5.3	6.8
23		14,975	26,067	36,263	15,073	18,143	5,606	5,045	1,283	122,455
	R%	12.2	21.3	29.6	12.3	14.8	4.6	4.1	1.0	100.0
	C%	7.3	7.4	6.4	4.3	3.4	2.0	1.5	1.5	4.5
24		11,853	21,612	31,677	13,035	14,944	3,211	2,118	472	98,922
	R%	12.0	21.8	32.0	13.2	15.1	3.2	2.1	0.5	100.0
	C%	5.8	6.1	5.6	3.7	2.8	1.1	0.7	0.6	3.7
25		9,498	17,866	26,974	11,263	12,682	2,123	1,048	197	81,651
	R%	11.6	21.9	33.0	13.8	15.5	2.6	1.3	0.2	100.0
	C%	4.6	5.1	4.7	3.2	2.4	0.7	0.3	0.2	3.0
26		8,205	14,977	23,230	10,358	11,411	1,643	546	81	70,451
	R%	11.6	21.3	33.0	14.7	16.2	2.3	0.8	0.1	100.0
	C%	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.0	2.1	0.6	0.2	0.1	2.6
27		7,103	12,289	20,181	9,454	10,426	1,449	373	57	61,332
	R%	11.6	20.0	32.9	15.4	17.0	2.4	0.6	0.1	100.0
	C%	3.4	3.5	3.5	2.7	1.9	0.5	0.1	0.1	2.3
28		5,727	10,378	17,403	8,416	9,391	1,211	235	19	52,780
	R%	10.9	19.7	33.0	15.9	17.8	2.3	0.4	0.0	100.0
	C%	2.8	2.9	3.1	2.4	1.8	0.4	0.1	0.0	1.9
29		5,079	8,564	14,982	7,596	8,415	1,183	150	13	45,982
	R%	11.0	18.6	32.6	16.5	18.3	2.6	0.3	0.0	100.0
	C%	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.2	1.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.7
30		4,211	7,151	13,050	6,937	7,567	1,076	126	10	40,128
	R%	10.5	17.8	32.5	17.3	18.9	2.7	0.3	0.0	100.0
	C%	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	1.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.5
31-40		19,371	30,163	61,566	38,118	41,003	6,912	886	16	198,035
	R%	9.8	15.2	31.1	19.2	20.7	3.5	0.4	0.0	100.0
	C%	9.4	8.6	10.8	10.9	7.6	2.4	0.3	0.0	7.3
OVER 40		6,570	11,421	20,239	12,452	13,977	3,297	1,323	126	69,405
	R%	9.5	16.5	29.2	17.9	20.1	4.8	1.9	0.2	100.0
	C%	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.6	2.6	1.2	0.4	0.1	2.6
UNKNOWN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	C%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		206,136	351,924	569,037	349,434	536,553	286,279	325,639	84,074	2,709,076
	R%	7.6	13.0	21.0	12.9	19.8	10.6	12.0	3.1	100.0
	C%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

AGE		FAMILY INCOME							TOTAL	
		LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001 - 3,000	\$3,001 - 6,000	\$6,001 - 9,000	\$9,001 - 15,000	\$15,001 - 20,000	\$20,001 - 30,000		\$30,001+
UNDER 17		215	590	2,021	1,921	2,879	1,563	1,260	249	10,698
	R%	2.0	5.5	18.9	18.0	26.9	14.6	11.8	2.3	100.0
	C%	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.7
17		2,092	4,806	14,754	16,964	31,624	20,984	24,136	5,623	120,983
	R%	1.7	4.0	12.2	14.0	26.1	17.3	19.9	4.6	100.0
	C%	6.3	6.6	7.6	8.2	8.1	7.8	7.5	6.7	7.7
18		8,284	15,472	46,336	54,141	107,512	77,504	94,652	21,216	425,117
	R%	1.9	3.6	10.9	12.7	25.3	18.2	22.3	5.0	100.0
	C%	25.0	21.4	23.8	26.1	27.5	28.9	29.2	25.2	27.0
19		7,663	15,177	42,961	47,260	91,706	64,628	78,997	19,970	368,362
	R%	2.1	4.1	11.7	12.8	24.9	17.5	21.4	5.4	100.0
	C%	23.2	21.0	22.1	22.8	23.5	24.1	24.4	23.8	23.4
20		5,723	12,138	32,356	35,382	67,582	47,763	60,089	17,806	278,839
	R%	2.1	4.4	11.6	12.7	24.2	17.1	21.5	6.4	100.0
	C%	17.3	16.8	16.7	17.0	17.3	17.8	18.6	21.2	17.7
21		3,765	8,370	21,973	23,373	45,384	31,808	39,654	12,461	186,788
	R%	2.0	4.5	11.8	12.5	24.3	17.0	21.2	6.7	100.0
	C%	11.4	11.6	11.3	11.3	11.6	11.8	12.2	14.8	11.9
22		1,956	5,159	12,032	11,712	21,034	13,097	14,988	4,475	84,453
	R%	2.3	6.1	14.2	13.9	24.9	15.5	17.7	5.3	100.0
	C%	5.9	7.1	6.2	5.6	5.4	4.9	4.6	5.3	5.4
23		1,036	2,975	6,528	5,707	8,859	4,914	5,037	1,283	36,339
	R%	2.9	8.2	18.0	15.7	24.4	13.5	13.9	3.5	100.0
	C%	3.1	4.1	3.4	2.7	2.3	1.8	1.6	1.5	2.3
24		636	1,887	4,068	3,311	4,806	2,398	2,106	472	19,684
	R%	3.2	9.6	20.7	16.8	24.4	12.2	10.7	2.4	100.0
	C%	1.9	2.6	2.1	1.6	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.6	1.3
25		425	1,365	2,654	2,015	2,661	1,231	1,038	197	11,586
	R%	3.7	11.8	22.9	17.4	23.0	10.6	9.0	1.7	100.0
	C%	1.3	1.9	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.7
26		301	948	1,925	1,384	1,745	715	522	81	7,621
	R%	3.9	12.4	25.3	18.2	22.9	9.4	6.8	1.1	100.0
	C%	0.9	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.5
27		246	720	1,437	979	1,178	481	348	56	5,445
	R%	4.5	13.2	26.4	18.0	21.6	8.8	6.4	1.0	100.0
	C%	0.7	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3
28		157	545	957	730	862	321	212	19	3,803
	R%	4.1	14.3	25.2	19.2	22.7	8.4	5.6	0.5	100.0
	C%	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2
29		133	405	794	512	581	226	120	13	2,784
	R%	4.8	14.5	28.5	18.4	20.9	8.1	4.3	0.5	100.0
	C%	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2
30		80	314	589	415	405	131	101	10	2,045
	R%	3.9	15.4	28.8	20.3	19.8	6.4	4.9	0.5	100.0
	C%	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
31-40		311	1,060	2,069	1,160	1,161	366	168	9	6,304
	R%	4.9	16.8	32.8	18.4	18.4	5.8	2.7	0.1	100.0
	C%	0.9	1.5	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4
OVER 40		78	352	869	627	804	442	427	107	3,706
	R%	2.1	9.5	23.4	16.9	21.7	11.9	11.5	2.9	100.0
	C%	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
UNKNOWN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	C%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		33,101	72,283	194,323	207,593	390,783	268,572	323,855	84,047	1,574,557
	R%	2.1	4.6	12.3	13.2	24.8	17.1	20.6	5.3	100.0
	C%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE 10-C
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY AGE AND FAMILY INCOME
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

AGE		FAMILY INCOME								TOTAL
		LESS THAN \$1,001	\$1,001 - 3,000	\$3,001 - 6,000	\$6,001 - 9,000	\$9,001 - 15,000	\$15,001 - 20,000	\$20,001 - 30,000	\$30,001+	
UNDER 17		473	407	268	49	21	2	0	0	1,220
	R%	38.8	33.4	22.0	4.0	1.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
17		2,838	2,738	1,557	180	104	4	0	0	7,421
	R%	38.2	36.9	21.0	2.4	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	1.6	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
18		9,815	10,932	7,508	829	472	7	1	0	29,564
	R%	33.2	37.0	25.4	2.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	5.7	3.9	2.0	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	2.6
19		14,671	20,651	17,691	2,418	1,526	44	1	0	57,002
	R%	25.7	36.2	31.0	4.2	2.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	8.5	7.4	4.7	1.7	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	5.0
20		18,948	31,544	30,956	5,411	3,879	177	1	0	90,916
	R%	20.8	34.7	34.0	6.0	4.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	11.0	11.3	8.3	3.8	2.7	1.0	0.1	0.0	8.0
21		20,008	34,164	37,392	7,956	6,578	399	3	0	106,500
	R%	18.8	32.1	35.1	7.5	6.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	11.6	12.2	10.0	5.6	4.5	2.3	0.2	0.0	9.4
22		17,093	29,288	35,667	9,136	8,293	588	7	0	100,072
	R%	17.1	29.3	35.6	9.1	8.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	9.9	10.5	9.5	6.4	5.7	3.3	0.4	0.0	8.8
23		13,939	23,092	29,735	9,366	9,284	692	8	0	86,116
	R%	16.2	26.8	34.5	10.9	10.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	8.1	8.3	7.9	6.6	6.4	3.9	0.4	0.0	7.6
24		11,217	19,725	27,609	9,724	10,138	813	12	0	79,238
	R%	14.2	24.9	34.8	12.3	12.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	6.5	7.1	7.4	6.9	7.0	4.6	0.7	0.0	7.0
25		9,073	16,501	24,320	9,248	10,021	892	10	0	70,065
	R%	12.9	23.6	34.7	13.2	14.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	5.2	5.9	6.5	6.5	6.9	5.0	0.6	0.0	6.2
26		7,904	14,029	21,305	8,974	9,666	928	24	0	62,830
	R%	12.6	22.3	33.9	14.3	15.4	1.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	4.6	5.0	5.7	6.3	6.6	5.2	1.3	0.0	5.5
27		6,857	11,569	18,744	8,475	9,248	968	25	1	55,887
	R%	12.3	20.7	33.5	15.2	16.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	4.0	4.1	5.0	6.0	6.3	5.5	1.4	3.7	4.9
28		5,570	9,833	16,446	7,686	8,529	890	23	0	48,977
	R%	11.4	20.1	33.6	15.7	17.4	1.8	0.0	0.0	100.0
	C%	3.2	3.5	4.4	5.4	5.9	5.0	1.3	0.0	4.3
29		4,946	8,159	14,188	7,084	7,834	957	30	0	43,198
	R%	11.4	18.9	32.8	16.4	18.1	2.2	0.1	0.0	100.0
	C%	2.9	2.9	3.8	5.0	5.4	5.4	1.7	0.0	3.8
30		4,131	6,837	12,461	6,522	7,162	945	25	0	38,083
	R%	10.8	18.0	32.7	17.1	18.8	2.5	0.1	0.0	100.0
	C%	2.4	2.4	3.3	4.6	4.9	5.3	1.4	0.0	3.4
31-40		19,060	29,103	59,497	36,958	39,842	6,546	718	7	191,731
	R%	9.9	15.2	31.0	19.3	20.8	3.4	0.4	0.0	100.0
	C%	11.0	10.4	15.9	26.1	27.3	37.0	40.2	25.9	16.9
OVER 40		6,492	11,069	19,370	11,825	13,173	2,855	896	19	65,699
	R%	9.9	16.8	29.5	18.0	20.1	4.3	1.4	0.0	100.0
	C%	3.8	4.0	5.2	8.3	9.0	16.1	50.2	70.4	5.8
UNKNOWN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	C%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		173,035	279,641	374,714	141,841	145,770	17,707	1,784	27	1,134,519
	R%	15.3	24.6	33.0	12.5	12.8	1.6	0.2	0.0	100.0
	C%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

CHAPTER 3

DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY INCOME
AND DEPENDENT STUDENT EARNINGS
AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TABLE 11

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
Income By Dependent Student Earnings
Award Period 1981-82

Table 11 presents a distribution of Pell Grant recipients by family income and dependent student earnings. Twenty-eight percent of all recipients (772,075), or about 49 percent of all dependent recipients, reported dependent student earnings in 1981-82.

Eighty-nine percent of the recipients with dependent student earnings had earnings of \$1 to \$4,000, while only 11 percent had earnings over \$4,000.

Thirteen percent of the recipients with dependent student earnings had family incomes of \$6,000 or less, while 51 percent reported family incomes greater than \$15,000.

TABLE 11
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND DEPENDENT STUDENT EARNINGS
AWARD YEAR 1981-82

FAMILY INCOME	DEPENDENT STUDENT EARNINGS										TOTAL
	\$1 - 500	\$501 - 1,000	\$1,001 - 1,500	\$1,001 - 2,000	\$2,001 - 2,650	\$2,651 - 4,000	\$4,001 - 5,000	\$5,000 - 7,500	\$7,501 - 10,000	\$10,000 +	
LESS THAN \$1,001	2,305	2,529	1,845	1,565	1,372	2,061	751	973	338	182	13,921
R%	16.56	18.17	13.25	11.24	9.86	14.80	5.39	6.99	2.43	1.31	100.00
C%	2.20	1.90	1.59	1.53	1.36	1.61	2.06	2.80	0.00	2.91	1.80
\$1,001 - 3,000	3,137	3,788	2,921	2,529	2,458	3,578	1,446	1,933	583	309	22,682
R%	13.83	16.70	12.88	11.15	10.84	15.77	6.38	8.52	2.57	1.36	100.00
C%	3.00	2.85	2.52	2.46	2.44	2.79	3.96	5.56	0.00	4.95	2.94
\$3,001 - 6,000	8,805	10,855	8,521	7,108	6,895	11,050	4,515	4,945	1,324	647	64,665
R%	13.62	16.79	13.18	10.99	10.66	17.09	6.98	7.65	2.05	1.00	100.00
C%	8.42	8.16	7.36	6.93	6.84	8.61	12.36	14.24	0.00	10.36	8.38
\$6,001 - 9,000	11,644	14,312	11,601	9,661	9,721	14,457	4,937	5,229	1,688	609	83,859
R%	13.89	17.07	13.83	11.52	11.59	17.24	5.89	6.24	2.01	0.73	100.00
C%	11.13	10.76	10.02	9.41	9.64	11.26	13.51	15.05	0.00	9.75	10.86
\$9,001 - 15,000	25,857	32,469	27,759	24,271	23,953	33,393	9,247	8,315	2,503	1,833	189,600
R%	13.64	17.13	14.64	12.80	12.63	17.61	4.88	4.39	1.32	0.97	100.00
C%	24.72	24.42	23.97	23.65	23.75	26.01	25.30	23.94	0.00	29.36	24.56
\$15,001 - 20,000	20,117	25,505	22,638	20,500	20,016	24,721	5,928	5,454	1,219	1,213	147,311
R%	13.66	17.31	15.37	13.92	13.59	16.78	4.02	3.70	0.83	0.82	100.00
C%	19.23	19.18	19.55	19.98	19.84	19.25	16.22	15.70	0.00	19.43	19.08
\$20,001 - 30,000	25,758	33,692	31,128	28,418	28,094	30,728	7,657	6,398	1,314	1,208	194,395
R%	13.25	17.33	16.01	14.62	14.45	15.81	3.94	3.29	0.68	0.62	100.00
C%	24.63	25.34	26.88	27.69	27.85	23.93	20.95	18.42	0.00	19.35	25.18
\$30,001 +	6,971	9,828	9,394	8,564	8,366	8,418	2,062	1,490	306	243	55,642
R%	12.53	17.66	16.88	15.39	15.04	15.13	3.71	2.68	0.55	0.44	100.00
C%	6.66	7.39	8.11	8.35	8.29	6.56	5.64	4.29	0.00	3.89	7.21
TOTAL	104,594	132,978	115,807	102,616	100,875	128,406	36,543	34,737	9,275	6,244	772,075
R%	13.55	17.22	15.00	13.29	13.07	16.63	4.73	4.50	1.20	0.81	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE 12

Summary Statistics for Pell Grant Applicants
Reporting Veteran's Benefits
Award Period 1981-82

Table 12 presents data on Pell Grant applicants reporting Veteran's Educational Benefits (VEB). During award period 1981-82, 162,664 applicants, constituting approximately 3 percent of all Pell Grant applicants, reported VEB on an "official" application. Seventy-seven percent of the applicants reporting VEB were independent.

Last year, 214,701 applicants reported VEB on an "official" application. The 24 percent decrease in applicants reporting VEB from 1980-81 to 1981-82 reflects changes in VEB eligibility requirements and increasing chronological distance from the Vietnam War.

Almost 7 percent of the applications listing VEB were returned for insufficient data and never resubmitted for processing. Thus they did not generate valid Student Eligibility Reports. Likewise almost 7 percent of the entire Pell population did not generate valid SERs. Sixty-eight percent of all applicants with VEB were qualified to receive Pell Grants, with a higher proportion of independent (70%) than dependent (61%) applicants qualified for an award. This is the same as the 1980-81 pattern in which independent applicants were 11 percent more likely to qualify than dependents.

Approximately 82 percent of the qualified applicants who reported VEB received a Pell Grant, with dependent and independent applicants equally likely to receive a grant. These patterns were nearly identical in 1980-81.

o Qualified applicants reporting VEB who received grants:

Total	-	90,607	(82% of all qualified applicants with VEB)
-------	---	--------	--

Dependent	-	18,386	(82% of qualified dependent applicants with VEB)
Independent	-	72,221	(82% of qualified independent applicants with VEB)

Veterans were selected for validation at a higher rate than Pell applicants as a group, 14 percent of qualified veterans in contrast to 9 percent of all qualified Pell applicants. Dependent applicants reporting VEB were almost 4 times as likely to be selected for validation as independent applicants. This finding is in keeping with the findings from the 1980-81 school year when dependents were nearly five times as likely to be chosen.

o Applicants reporting VEB who were selected for validation:

1981-82 Total	-	15,532	(14% of all qualified applicants with VEB)
1981-82 Dependent	-	7,416	(33% of all qualified dependent applicants with VEB)
1981-82 Independent	-	8,116	(9% of all qualified independent applicants with VEB)
1980-81 Total	-	21,598	(15% of all qualified applicants with VEB)
1980-81 Dependent	-	13,171	(39% of all qualified dependent applicants with VEB)
1980-81 Independent	-	8,427	(8% of all qualified independent applicants with VEB)

The average grant for recipients reporting VEB was \$881. In contrast, the average grant for all Pell Grant recipients was \$923. This difference in grant level may be due to veterans choosing lower cost institutions, having relatively higher eligibility indices, or being selected for validation more frequently than other recipients.

TABLE 12
**SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR PELL GRANT APPLICANTS
REPORTING VETERAN'S BENEFITS
AWARD YEAR 1981-82**

	DEPENDENT	INDEPENDENT	TOTAL
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING OFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	36,644	126,020	162,664
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING VALID APPLICATIONS	31,684	119,704	151,388
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	22,531 61.49	87,915 69.76	110,446 67.90
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	9,153 24.98	31,789 25.23	40,942 25.17
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF APPLICATIONS RETURNED FOR INSUFFICIENT DATA	4,960	6,316	11,276
NEVER RE-SUBMITTED FOR PROCESSING	13.54	5.01	6.93
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING UNOFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	1,919	5,076	6,995
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SELECTED FOR VALIDATION	7,416	8,116	15,532
NUMBER OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS	18,386	72,221	90,607
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$16,325,746	\$63,530,123	\$79,855,869
AVERAGE GRANT	\$887	\$879	\$881

TABLE 13

Summary Statistics for Pell Grant Applicants
Reporting Student Social Security Benefits
Award Period 1981-82

Table 13 displays information on Pell Grant applicants reporting Social Security Benefits (SSB).

During award period 1981-82, 464,727 applicants, constituting 9 percent of the Pell Grant population, reported SSB on an "official" application. Seventy-six percent of the applicants reporting SSB were dependent. By comparison, dependent applicants accounted for only 23 percent of those reporting Veteran's Educational Benefits. (See Table 12)

More than 5 percent of the applications reporting SSB were returned for insufficient data and never resubmitted for processing, and thus did not generate valid Student Eligibility Reports. By comparison, almost 7 percent of the entire population did not generate valid SERs. Seventy-three percent of all applicants with SSB were qualified to receive Pell Grants, with a higher proportion of independent (81%) than dependent (70%) applicants qualified for awards. By comparison, 69 percent of the total applicant population was eligible to receive a grant.

Approximately 83 percent of the qualified applicants who reported SSB received Pell Grants, with a higher proportion of qualified dependent than independent students eventually awarded grants.

o Qualified applicants reporting SSB who received a grant:

Total	- 282,318	(83% of all qualified applicants with SSB)
Dependent	- 212,013	(86% of qualified dependent applicants with SSB)
Independent	- 70,305	(78% of qualified independent applicants with SSB)

Applicants who reported SSB were selected for validation at a higher rate than applicants in general. Nine percent of all qualified Pell applicants were selected for validation in comparison to 18 percent of all applicants with SSB. A comparison of applicants reporting SSB with applicants reporting Veteran's Educational Benefits (See Table 12) reveals that, overall, applicants with SSB were selected for validation at a higher rate than applicants with VEB. It is noteworthy that dependent applicants reporting SSB were selected for validation over twice as frequently as independent applicants with SSB.

Applicants reporting SSB who were selected for validation:

Total	-	59,841	(18% of all qualified applicants with SSB)
Dependent	-	51,448	(21% of qualified dependent applicants with SSB)
Independent	-	8,393	(9% of qualified independent applicants with SSB)

The average grant for Pell applicants reporting Social Security Benefits was \$946. By comparison, the average grant for the entire applicant population was \$923. This larger average award is especially interesting since dependent recipients with SSB outnumbered independent recipients by over three to one and independent recipients in general received higher average awards (see Tables 21b and 21c). By comparison, the average grant for recipients reporting Veteran's Educational Benefits was \$881.

TABLE 13
**SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR PELL GRANT APPLICANTS
REPORTING STUDENT SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS
AWARD YEAR 1981-82**

	DEPENDENT	INDEPENDENT	TOTAL
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING OFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	352,593	112,134	464,727
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING VALID APPLICATIONS	333,665	106,021	439,686
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	247,840 70.29	90,621 80.81	338,461 72.83
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	85,825 24.34	15,400 13.73	101,225 21.78
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF APPLICATIONS RETURNED FOR INSUFFICIENT DATA	18,928	6,113	25,041
NEVER RE-SUBMITTED FOR PROCESSING	5.37	5.45	5.39
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SUBMITTING UNOFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	22,626	6,890	29,516
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS SELECTED FOR VALIDATION	51,448	8,393	59,841
NUMBER OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS	212,013	70,305	282,318
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$198,112,717	\$69,089,297	\$267,202,014
AVERAGE GRANT	\$934	\$982	\$946

TABLE 14

Distribution of Pell Grant Applicants By
Eligibility Status and Income Range
Award Period 1981-82

Tables 14 presents a distribution of Pell Grant applicants by eligibility status and income range. This table is based on data from the 1981-82 National Applicant Profile Tables and employs income categories which differ from those on the other tables in this report.

Half of all qualified applicants had family incomes of \$7,500 or less. The percentage of independent students who reported in this income range was nearly three times the percentage of dependent students who reported in this range.

o Qualified applicants with family incomes of \$7,500 or less:

Total	- 1,716,914	(51% of all qualified applicants)
Dependent	- 494,427	(27% of qualified dependents)
Independent	- 1,222,487	(80% of qualified independents)

The percentage of applicants who qualified for a grant was highest in the lower income ranges. This was particularly true for independent applicants.

o Qualified applicants as a percentage of all applicants with family incomes of \$7,500 or less:

Total	- 1,716,914	(96% of all applicants with incomes of \$7,500 or less)
Dependent	- 494,427	(98% of dependent applicants with incomes of \$7,500 or less)
Independent	- 1,222,487	(96% of independent applicants with incomes of \$7,500 or less)

o Qualified applicants as a percentage of all applicants with family incomes over \$15,000:

Total	- 815,348	(46% of all applicants with incomes of \$15,000 or more)
Dependent	- 786,718	(48% of dependent applicants with incomes of \$15,000 or more)
Independent	- 28,630	(18% of independent applicants with incomes of \$15,000 or more)

TABLE 14
**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT APPLICANTS
 BY ELIGIBILITY STATUS AND INCOME RANGE**
ALL APPLICANTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

<u>ALL APPLICANTS</u>	INCOME RANGE								TOTAL
	\$0 - 4,000	\$4,001 - 7,500	\$7,501 - 10,000	\$10,001 - 12,000	\$12,001 - 15,000	\$15,001 - 20,000	\$20,001 - 30,000	\$30,001 AND UP	
TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	1,013,877	703,037	337,568	234,164	289,355	338,664	379,837	96,847	3,393,349
PERCENT OF TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	29.9	20.7	9.9	6.9	8.5	10.0	11.2	2.9	100.0
TOTAL APPLICANTS	1,020,222	763,768	405,800	273,181	352,828	486,377	750,632	550,198	4,603,006
PERCENT OF TOTAL APPLICANTS	22.2	16.6	8.8	5.9	7.7	10.6	16.3	12.0	100.0

<u>DEPENDENT APPLICANTS</u>	INCOME RANGE								TOTAL
	\$0 - 4,000	\$4,001 - 7,500	\$7,501 - 10,000	\$10,001 - 12,000	\$12,001 - 15,000	\$15,001 - 20,000	\$20,001 - 30,000	\$30,001 AND UP	
TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	203,422	291,005	207,828	157,399	218,482	312,673	377,257	96,788	1,864,854
PERCENT OF TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	10.9	15.6	11.1	8.4	11.7	16.8	20.2	5.2	100.0
TOTAL APPLICANTS	206,587	299,311	222,663	175,126	254,437	400,760	693,893	537,482	2,790,259
PERCENT OF TOTAL APPLICANTS	7.4	10.7	8.0	6.3	9.1	14.4	24.9	19.3	100.0

<u>INDEPENDENT APPLICANTS</u>	INCOME RANGE								TOTAL
	\$0 - 4,000	\$4,001 - 7,500	\$7,501 - 10,000	\$10,001 - 12,000	\$12,001 - 15,000	\$15,001 - 20,000	\$20,001 - 30,000	\$30,001 AND UP	
TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	810,455	412,032	129,740	76,765	70,873	25,991	2,580	59	1,528,495
PERCENT OF TOTAL QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	53.0	27.0	8.5	5.0	4.6	1.7	0.2	0.0	100.0
TOTAL APPLICANTS	813,635	464,457	183,137	98,055	98,391	85,617	56,739	12,716	1,812,747
PERCENT OF TOTAL APPLICANTS	44.9	25.6	10.1	5.4	5.4	4.7	3.1	0.7	100.0

TABLE 15

Pell Grant Recipient Enrollment Status
By Type and Control of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

Table 15 is produced for the first time in the 1981-82 End-of-Year Report. This table shows the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by enrollment status and type and control of institution attended. Some 93 percent of recipients are full time students while 3 percent are three-quarter time students and 4 percent are half-time students.

Full-time recipients are more likely to be dependent on their parent's (60%) than recipients attending on a three-quarter-time (37%) or half-time (28%) basis. In addition, full-time recipients are more likely to attend institutions which offer programs of four years or more (61%) as opposed to three-quarter-time recipients (33%) or half-time recipients (28%).

TABLE 15
PELLGRANT RECIPIENT ENROLLMENT STATUS
BY TYPE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
ALL INSTITUTIONS - AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TYPE OF INSTITUTION	FULL TIME			THREE QUARTER TIME			HALF TIME		
	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	1,605,682	960,086	645,596	65,188	23,807	41,381	69,504	19,891	49,613
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	816,962	535,919	281,043	12,460	5,025	7,435	13,494	4,214	9,280
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	161,272	107,625	53,647	3,003	1,250	1,753	4,012	1,101	2,911
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	749	317	432	93	24	69	102	12	90
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	607,458	308,828	298,630	49,278	17,396	31,882	51,292	14,368	36,924
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	15,183	5,824	9,359	350	110	240	487	156	331
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	4,058	1,573	2,485	4	2	2	117	40	77
TOTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	567,593	404,959	162,634	16,207	6,575	9,632	14,539	4,194	10,345
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	223,255	163,428	59,827	8,063	3,980	4,083	6,121	1,996	4,125
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	284,578	205,917	78,661	6,309	2,082	4,227	6,060	1,559	4,501
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	4,929	3,280	1,649	52	22	30	61	27	34
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	48,236	29,655	18,581	1,578	427	1,151	2,145	573	1,572
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	2,534	1,149	1,385	45	6	39	101	25	76
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	4,061	1,530	2,531	160	58	102	51	14	37
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	291,424	121,900	169,524	11,733	4,222	7,511	21,709	5,633	16,076
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	1,597	732	865	27	12	15	30	9	21
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	13,008	7,588	5,420	607	165	442	135	32	103
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	2,748	1,858	890	19	5	14	16	6	10
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	101,607	48,582	53,025	1,115	356	759	2,845	927	1,918
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	63,121	26,551	36,570	2,353	975	1,378	3,586	1,068	2,518
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	109,343	36,589	72,754	7,612	2,709	4,903	15,097	3,591	11,506
TOTAL	2,464,699	1,486,945	977,754	93,128	34,604	58,524	105,752	29,718	76,034

CHAPTER 4

DISTRIBUTION OF VALIDATED PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS

INCOME BY GRANT LEVEL

AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TABLE 16

Distribution of Validated Pell Grant Recipients
Income By Grant Level
Award Period 1981-82

Table 16 examines the relationship of family income to grant level for validated recipients. Like Table 3 it shows that the higher the family income the lower the potential grant.

The percentage of students awarded the maximum grant of \$1,670 is approximately the same for validated recipients as for recipients in general - 8 1/2 percent as opposed to 9 percent. The percentages of lower income and higher income students receiving the maximum award are also similar for the two populations.

Students with incomes of \$15,000 or less who received the maximum award:

Validated and Unvalidated Recipients	9%
Validated Recipients	11%

Students with incomes greater than \$15,000 who received the maximum award:

Validated and Unvalidated Recipients	.13%
Validated Recipients	.23%

The income distribution of validated recipients is similar to that for all recipients. Thirty percent of all validated recipients reported incomes of \$6,000 or less. By comparison, 19 percent of all recipients reported incomes in this range. The percentage of validated recipients (30%) who reported incomes greater than \$15,000 was less than that of recipients in general (43%).

TABLE 16
DISTRIBUTION OF VALIDATED PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS BY FAMILY INCOME AND GRANT LEVEL
ALL VALIDATED RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

CHAPTER 5

SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR THE MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY SYSTEM
AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TABLE 17

Multiple Data Entry Summary Statistics
Award Period 1981-82

Table 17 displays summary statistics by Multiple Data Entry (MDE) source for all Pell Grant applicants.

During the 1981-82 award period, students could apply for a Pell Grant using one of the following applications: The Pell Grant application; American College Testing (ACT) Program's Family Financial Statement; College Scholarship Service's (CSS) Financial Aid Form; or the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency (PHEAA) Form. As in 1980-81, larger average grants went to PHEAA and CSS applicants than to Pell and ACT applicants. The difference between PHEAA and Pell was only \$17, but it is surprising since Pell applicants tended to have lower incomes (see Table 20). The reason for this may be that lower income recipients more often attend schools with lower educational costs (see Table 8). It is also possible that more lower income than higher income applicants enrolled less than full-time.

Of the four applications, CSS's Financial Aid Form was used most frequently by Pell Grant applicants. Approximately 47 percent of the 4,945,760 applications processed were from CSS. The form an applicant used did not affect his or her success in obtaining a valid Student Eligibility Report. The choice of form did, however, affect the likelihood that a qualified applicant would ultimately receive an award. Qualified students who had applied directly to Pell were less likely than other students to ultimately receive a grant. Applicants using Pell forms are likely to have lower incomes than other applicants (see Table 20). They may become recipients less often because they enroll less often, finding it difficult - even with a grant - to gather sufficient funds for schools. The choice of form also affected the applicant's chance of being selected for validation. Students applying for grants with the PHEAA, CSS or ACT forms were selected for validation more frequently than students applying with Pell forms. This difference may occur because a larger percentage of Pell applicants qualify for awards.

- o Applicants whose applications were returned for insufficient data and never resubmitted for processing (that is, who never obtained a valid SER):

Total	-	331,170	(7% of all applications processed)
Pell	-	124,534	(7% of Pell applicants)
ACT	-	43,302	(6% of ACT applicants)
CSS	-	153,469	(7% of CSS applicants)
PHEAA	-	9,865	(5% of PHEAA applicants)

- o Qualified applicants who received grants:

Total	-	2,709,076	(80% of all qualified applicants)
Pell	-	975,808	(74% of qualified Pell applicants)
ACT	-	428,046	(83% of qualified ACT applicants)
CSS	-	1,212,672	(83% of qualified CSS applicants)
PHEAA	-	92,550	(81% of qualified PHEAA applicants)

- o Applicants selected for validation:

Total	-	313,791	(9% of all qualified applications)
Pell	-	91,596	(7% of qualified Pell applicants)
ACT	-	57,784	(11% of qualified ACT applicants)
CSS	-	153,631	(11% of qualified CSS applicants)
PHEAA	-	10,780	(9% of qualified PHEAA applicants)

BREAKDOWN OF APPLICANTS BY MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY SOURCE AND OUTCOME OF APPLICATION PROCESSING AWARD PERIOD 1981-82








TABLE 17
MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY SUMMARY STATISTICS
ALL APPLICANTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

	PELL	ACT	CSS	PHEAA	TOTAL
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS					
SUBMITTING OFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	1,682,212	760,687	2,319,828	183,033	4,945,760
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS					
SUBMITTING VALID APPLICATIONS	1,557,678	717,385	2,166,359	173,168	4,614,590
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	1,310,530 77.91	513,443 67.50	1,459,674 62.92	114,590 62.61	3,398,237 68.71
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NON-QUALIFIED APPLICANTS	247,148 14.69	203,942 26.81	706,685 30.46	58,578 32.00	1,216,353 24.59
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF APPLICATIONS RETURNED FOR INSUFFICIENT DATA	124,534	43,302	153,469	9,865	331,170
NEVER RE-SUBMITTED FOR PROCESSING	7.40	5.69	6.62	5.39	6.70
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS					
SUBMITTING UNOFFICIAL APPLICATIONS	91,723	28,808	122,461	23,205	266,197
NUMBER OF APPLICANTS					
SELECTED FOR VALIDATION	91,596	57,784	153,631	10,780	313,791
NUMBER OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS	975,808	428,046	1,212,672	92,550	2,709,076
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$897,876,335	\$386,490,351	\$1,128,035,666	\$86,724,282	\$2,499,126,634
AVERAGE GRANT	\$920	\$903	\$930	\$937	\$923

TABLE 18

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients By
Family Income and Multiple Data Entry Application
Award Period 1981-82

18A - Total

18B - Dependent

18C - Independent

Tables 18A, 18B, and 18C present a distribution of Pell Grant recipients by family income and Multiple Data Entry application.

During award period 1981-82, College Scholarship Service's (CSS) Financial Aid Form was used most frequently by Pell Grant recipients. Over half of all dependent recipients applied with the CSS form, while almost half of all independent recipients utilized the Pell Grant application.

o Recipients using CSS applications:

Total	-	1,212,672	(45% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	792,151	(50% of dependent recipients)
Independent	-	420,521	(37% of independent recipients)

o Recipients using Pell applications:

Total	-	975,808	(36% of all recipients)
Dependent	-	440,235	(28% of dependent recipients)
Independent	-	535,573	(47% of independent recipients)

Approximately 46 percent of the recipients reporting incomes of \$6,000 or less used the Pell application; 38 percent of this income group used the CSS form. In contrast, 57 percent of the recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000 applied through CSS, whereas only 18 percent of the recipients in this income category used the Pell application.

o Recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less by type of application:

Pell	-	515,032	(46% of all recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less)
CSS	-	429,644	(38% of all recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less)
ACT	-	156,865	(14% of all recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less)
PHEAA	-	25,556	(2% of all recipients with incomes of \$6,000 or less)

o Recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000 by type of application:

Pell	-	128,682	(18% of all recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000)
CSS	-	398,776	(57% of all recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000)
ACT	-	129,753	(19% of all recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000)
PHEAA	-	38,781	(6% of all recipients with incomes greater than \$15,000)

TABLE 18-A
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

FAMILY INCOME	MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION				
	PELL	ACT	CSS	PHEAA	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	91,618	29,171	81,675	3,672	206,136
R%	44.45	14.15	39.62	1.78	100.00
C%	9.39	6.81	6.74	3.97	7.61
\$1,001 - 3,000	161,110	50,487	133,229	7,098	351,924
R%	45.78	14.35	37.86	2.02	100.00
C%	16.51	11.79	10.99	7.67	12.99
\$3,001 - 6,000	262,304	77,207	214,740	14,786	569,037
R%	46.10	13.57	37.74	2.60	100.00
C%	26.88	18.04	17.71	15.98	21.00
\$6,001 - 9,000	149,332	52,228	138,772	9,102	349,434
R%	42.74	14.95	39.71	2.60	100.00
C%	15.30	12.20	11.44	9.83	12.90
\$9,001 - 15,000	182,762	89,200	245,480	19,111	536,553
R%	34.06	16.62	45.75	3.56	100.00
C%	18.73	20.84	20.24	20.65	19.81
\$15,001 - 20,000	66,498	52,675	152,921	14,185	286,279
R%	23.23	18.40	53.42	4.95	100.00
C%	6.81	12.31	12.61	15.33	10.57
\$20,001 - 30,000	53,569	62,039	190,336	19,695	325,639
R%	16.45	19.05	58.45	6.05	100.00
C%	5.49	14.49	15.70	21.28	12.02
\$30,001 +	8,615	15,039	55,519	4,901	84,074
R%	10.25	17.89	66.04	5.83	100.00
C%	0.88	3.51	4.58	5.30	3.10
TOTAL	975,808	428,046	1,212,672	92,550	2,709,076
R%	36.02	15.80	44.76	3.42	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE 18-B
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION
DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

FAMILY INCOME	MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION				
	PELL	ACT	CSS	PHEAA	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	12,239	7,008	13,171	683	33,101
R%	36.97	21.17	39.79	2.06	100.00
C%	2.78	2.57	1.66	0.98	2.10
\$1,001 - 3,000	38,030	10,414	22,471	1,368	72,283
R%	52.61	14.41	31.09	1.89	100.00
C%	8.64	3.82	2.84	1.97	4.59
\$3,001 - 6,000	88,306	26,996	72,959	6,062	194,323
R%	45.44	13.89	37.55	3.12	100.00
C%	20.06	9.90	9.21	8.71	12.34
\$6,001 - 9,000	74,868	33,422	92,552	6,751	207,593
R%	36.06	16.10	44.58	3.25	100.00
C%	17.01	12.26	11.68	9.70	13.18
\$9,001 - 15,000	107,984	67,982	198,507	16,310	390,783
R%	27.63	17.40	50.80	4.17	100.00
C%	24.53	24.94	25.06	23.44	24.82
\$15,001 - 20,000	57,499	49,950	147,249	13,874	268,572
R%	21.41	18.60	54.83	5.17	100.00
C%	13.06	18.32	18.59	19.94	17.06
\$20,001 - 30,000	52,704	61,777	189,735	19,639	323,855
R%	16.27	19.08	58.59	6.06	100.00
C%	11.97	22.66	23.95	28.22	20.57
\$30,001 +	8,605	15,036	55,507	4,899	84,047
R%	10.24	17.89	66.04	5.83	100.00
C%	1.95	5.52	7.01	7.04	5.34
TOTAL	440,235	272,585	792,151	69,586	1,574,557
R%	27.96	17.31	50.31	4.42	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE 18-C
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY FAMILY INCOME AND MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

FAMILY INCOME	MULTIPLE DATA ENTRY APPLICATION				
	PELL	ACT	CSS	PHEAA	TOTAL
LESS THAN \$1,001	79,379	22,163	68,504	2,989	173,035
R%	45.87	12.81	39.59	1.73	100.00
C%	14.82	14.26	16.29	13.02	15.25
\$1,001 - 3,000	123,080	40,073	110,758	5,730	279,641
R%	44.01	14.33	39.61	2.05	100.00
C%	22.98	25.78	26.34	24.95	24.65
\$3,001 - 6,000	173,998	50,211	141,781	8,724	374,714
R%	46.43	13.40	37.84	2.33	100.00
C%	32.49	32.30	33.72	37.99	33.03
\$6,001 - 9,000	74,464	18,806	46,220	2,351	141,841
R%	52.50	13.26	32.59	1.66	100.00
C%	13.90	12.10	10.99	10.24	12.50
\$9,001 - 15,000	74,778	21,218	46,973	2,801	145,770
R%	51.30	14.56	32.22	1.92	100.00
C%	13.96	13.65	11.17	12.20	12.85
\$15,001 - 20,000	8,999	2,725	5,672	311	17,707
R%	50.82	15.39	32.03	1.76	100.00
C%	1.68	1.75	1.35	1.35	1.56
\$20,001 - 30,000	865	262	601	56	1,784
R%	48.49	14.69	33.69	3.14	100.00
C%	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.24	0.16
\$30,001 +	10	3	12	2	27
R%	37.04	11.11	44.44	7.41	100.00
C%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
TOTAL	535,573	155,461	420,521	22,964	1,134,519
R%	47.21	13.70	37.07	2.02	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

CHAPTER 6

INSTITUTIONS PARTICIPATING IN THE PELL GRANT

PROGRAM - SUMMARY INFORMATION

AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TABLE 19

Summary Statistics by Type of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

Table 19 displays summary data by type of institution for award period 1981-82.

A comparison of data from award periods 1980-81 and 1981-82 shows a 2 percent increase in the number of institutions participating in the Pell Grant Program, from 6014 in 1980-81 to 6147 in 1981-82. Most of this increase was in the 6 months to one year category which grew from 1446 to 1538 (6%).

The 2038 schools which offer programs of two to three years in duration represent the largest number from any one type of institution participating in the Pell Grant Program during the 1981-82 award period. Although constituting only 12 percent of all institutions, five-year schools attracted over 40 percent of all recipients. An average of 1508 recipients were enrolled in five-year or more institutions as compared with 457 recipients at each four-year school without graduate programs, 62 at each three to four year school, 435 at each two to three year school, and 110 at each school with a program of less than two years in duration.

Students attending four-year schools without graduate programs received the highest average Pell Grants (\$1022); those enrolled in two to three-year institutions were awarded the lowest average grants (\$791). Students at five-year institutions received average grants of \$969. Expected disbursements to students enrolled in five-year schools in 1981-82 totaled \$1,056,331,194, representing the largest expected expenditure of Pell Grant funds among institution types.

It is difficult to compare 1981-82 data on institution type with data from the previous year because institution classifications have changed. One difference, however, is outstanding. The number of students enrolled in schools offering one to two-year programs has continued to increase from 40,993 in 1979-80 to 81,043 in 1980-81 and 90,869 in 1981-82.

TABLE 19
SUMMARY STATISTICS BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION
AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TYPE OF INSTITUTION:	NUMBER OF INSTITUTIONS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	NUMBER OF RECIPIENTS	AVERAGE GRANT
5 YEARS OR MORE	723	\$1,056,331,194	1,090,387	\$969
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE PROGRAM	1,055	\$492,524,031	481,792	\$1,022
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	144	\$8,625,122	8,897	\$969
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	2,038	\$701,904,825	887,519	\$791
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	641	\$87,709,764	90,868	\$965
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	1,538	\$151,689,961	149,259	\$1,016
OTHER	8	\$341,737	354	\$965
TOTAL	6,147	\$2,499,126,634	2,709,076	\$923

TABLE 20

Pell Grant Expenditures, Recipients, and Average
Grant By Type and Control of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

20A - Total

20B - RDS

20C - ADS

Table 20A summarizes information on Pell Grant expenditures, recipients, and average grant by type and control of institution. Tables 20B and 20C show the same summary as Table 20A, but for recipients enrolled in schools under the Regular Disbursement System (RDS) and Alternate Disbursement System (ADS), respectively. As a point of reference, RDS institutions are responsible for computing Pell Grants and disbursing grant funds to their own students, whereas the Office of Student Financial Assistance computes the grant for and disburses the funds to students attending ADS schools. ADS schools tend to be small, non-traditional schools, which do not have sufficient staff and resources to administer financial aid programs. As in previous years, about 1 percent of all recipients were enrolled in ADS institutions during award period 1981-82.

Sixty-five percent of all recipients attended public institutions. Dependent and independent students attended these institutions in approximately the same proportions. A higher percentage of dependent than independent students - 27 percent as opposed to 16 percent - were enrolled in private, non-profit schools. The proportion of independent students who attended private, profit-making institutions was more than twice that of dependent students (17% as opposed to 9%). These figures were nearly identical in the 1980-81 school year.

The percentage of RDS recipients enrolled in public institutions was nearly twice that of ADS recipients whereas the percentage of ADS recipients at private non-profit schools was more than twice that of RDS students.

o Recipients enrolled in public institutions:

RDS	-	1,756,512	(66% of RDS recipients)
ADS	-	12,780	(38% of ADS recipients)

o Recipients enrolled in private, non-profit institutions:

RDS	-	591,000	(22% of RDS recipients)
ADS	-	15,349	(45% of ADS recipients)

These data were nearly identical in the 1980-81 school year.

There were 848,342 recipients enrolled in schools with programs of five years or more, comprising the largest group of recipients attending any type of public institution. By comparison, the largest group (298,674) of recipients enrolled in private, non-profit schools went to four-year institutions without graduate programs. Most recipients (138,470) in private, profit-making schools were enrolled in institutions having programs six months to a year in length.

Overall, the average Pell Grant was \$923, with the highest average grant (\$1154) for students at private, non-profit institutions in programs of at least six months but less than one year and the lowest average grant (\$618) for students enrolled in public institutions in programs of at least six months but less than one year. RDS recipients were awarded an average grant of \$923, while the average grant for ADS recipients was \$864. Independent students received larger grants at both RDS and ADS schools.

At public institutions, independent students received slightly higher awards than dependent students. At private institutions, independent recipients received higher awards than dependent recipients, with nearly a \$194 difference at private non-profit schools - \$1211 for independent and \$1017 for dependent recipients.

TABLE 20-A
PELL GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, & AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE & CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
ALL INSTITUTIONS - AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TYPE OF INSTITUTION	TOTAL EXPENDITURES			TOTAL RECIPIENTS			AVERAGE GRANT		
	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	\$1,494,960,544	\$853,761,860	\$641,198,684	1,769,292	1,016,596	752,696	\$845	\$840	\$852
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	791,051,871	487,427,641	303,624,230	847,342	547,646	299,696	934	890	1,013
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	156,650,365	100,108,133	56,542,232	169,321	110,572	58,749	925	905	962
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	779,107	289,697	489,410	977	374	603	797	775	812
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	531,116,630	260,291,175	270,825,455	727,412	348,888	378,524	730	746	715
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	11,162,777	4,150,711	7,012,066	18,061	6,852	11,209	618	606	626
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	4,195,870	1,494,193	2,701,677	6,174	2,263	3,911	680	660	691
OTHER	3,924	310	3,614	5	1	4	785	310	904
TOTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	\$652,499,898	\$428,293,051	\$224,206,847	606,349	421,140	185,209	\$1,076	\$1,017	\$1,211
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	263,877,221	179,573,397	84,303,824	241,722	172,814	68,908	1,092	1,039	1,223
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	321,365,641	211,927,705	109,437,936	298,674	210,484	88,190	1,076	1,007	1,241
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	5,006,479	2,947,941	2,058,538	5,125	3,376	1,749	977	873	1,177
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	53,810,386	30,605,258	23,205,128	53,279	31,399	21,880	1,010	975	1,061
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	3,112,688	1,388,944	1,723,744	2,934	1,283	1,651	1,061	1,083	1,044
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	5,327,483	1,849,806	3,477,677	4,615	1,784	2,831	1,154	1,037	1,228
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	\$351,666,192	\$138,661,450	\$213,004,742	333,435	136,821	196,614	\$1,055	\$1,013	\$1,083
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	1,402,102	628,163	773,939	1,323	591	732	1,060	1,063	1,057
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	14,508,025	7,740,342	6,767,683	13,797	7,812	5,985	1,052	991	1,131
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	2,839,536	1,808,720	1,030,816	2,795	1,877	918	1,016	964	1,123
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	116,977,809	52,345,567	64,632,242	106,828	50,394	56,434	1,095	1,039	1,145
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	73,434,299	29,145,622	44,288,677	69,873	28,905	40,968	1,051	1,008	1,081
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	142,166,608	46,843,283	95,323,325	138,470	47,074	91,396	1,027	995	1,043
OTHER	337,813	149,753	188,060	349	168	181	968	891	1,039
TOTAL	\$2,499,126,634	\$1,420,716,361	\$1,078,410,273	2,709,076	1,574,557	1,134,519	\$923	\$902	\$951

TABLE 20-B
PELL GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, & AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE & CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
RDS INSTITUTIONS - AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TYPE OF INSTITUTION	TOTAL EXPENDITURES			TOTAL RECIPIENTS			AVERAGE GRANT		
	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	\$1,487,054,951	\$850,610,592	\$636,444,359	1,756,512	1,011,458	745,054	\$847	\$841	\$854
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	791,046,521	487,425,307	303,621,214	847,335	547,643	299,692	934	890	1,013
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	156,526,527	100,069,366	56,457,161	169,157	110,522	58,635	925	905	963
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	779,107	289,697	489,410	977	374	603	797	775	812
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	525,980,479	258,071,860	267,908,619	719,438	345,413	374,025	731	747	716
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	9,789,194	3,660,564	6,128,630	15,657	5,948	9,709	625	615	631
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	2,933,123	1,093,798	1,839,325	3,948	1,558	2,390	743	702	770
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	\$636,444,550	\$421,004,005	\$215,440,545	591,000	413,334	177,666	\$1,077	\$1,019	\$1,213
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	258,006,036	177,558,694	80,447,342	236,051	170,586	65,465	1,093	1,041	1,229
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	318,771,217	210,589,034	108,182,183	296,293	209,055	87,238	1,076	1,007	1,240
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	4,988,098	2,937,284	2,050,814	5,100	3,360	1,740	978	874	1,179
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	48,826,187	27,757,395	21,068,792	48,313	28,339	19,974	1,011	979	1,055
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	2,642,692	1,189,446	1,453,246	2,458	1,071	1,387	1,075	1,111	1,048
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	3,210,320	972,152	2,238,168	2,785	923	1,862	1,153	1,053	1,202
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	\$346,201,396	\$136,780,397	\$209,420,999	327,511	134,616	192,895	\$1,057	\$1,016	\$1,086
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	1,367,513	607,024	760,489	1,278	565	713	1,070	1,074	1,067
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	14,453,083	7,731,452	6,721,631	13,707	7,798	5,909	1,054	991	1,138
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	2,839,536	1,808,720	1,030,816	2,795	1,877	918	1,016	964	1,123
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	115,035,655	51,709,934	63,325,721	105,064	49,731	55,333	1,095	1,040	1,144
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	73,093,491	29,007,830	44,085,661	69,400	28,710	40,690	1,053	1,010	1,083
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	139,074,305	45,765,684	93,308,621	134,918	45,767	89,151	1,031	1,000	1,047
OTHER	337,813	149,753	188,060	349	168	181	0	0	0
TOTAL	\$2,469,700,897	\$1,408,394,994	\$1,061,305,903	2,675,023	1,559,408	1,115,615	\$923	\$903	\$951

TABLE 20-C
PELL GRANT EXPENDITURES, RECIPIENTS, & AVERAGE GRANT BY TYPE & CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
ADS INSTITUTIONS - AWARD PERIOD 1981-82

TYPE OF INSTITUTION	TOTAL EXPENDITURES			TOTAL RECIPIENTS			AVERAGE GRANT		
	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS	TOTAL	DEPENDENTS	INDEPENDENTS
TOTAL PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS	\$7,905,593	\$3,151,268	\$4,754,325	12,780	5,138	7,642	\$619	\$613	\$622
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	5,350	2,334	3,016	7	3	4	764	778	754
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	123,838	38,767	85,071	164	50	114	755	775	746
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	5,136,151	2,219,315	2,916,836	7,974	3,475	4,499	644	639	648
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	1,373,583	490,147	883,436	2,404	904	1,500	571	542	589
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	1,262,747	400,395	862,352	2,226	705	1,521	567	568	567
OTHER	3,924	310	3,614	5	1	4	0	0	0
TOTAL PRIVATE, NON-PROFIT	\$16,055,348	\$7,289,046	\$8,766,302	15,349	7,806	7,543	\$1,046	\$934	\$1,162
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	5,871,185	2,014,703	3,856,482	5,671	2,228	3,443	1,035	904	1,120
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	2,594,424	1,338,671	1,255,753	2,381	1,429	952	1,090	937	1,319
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	18,381	10,657	7,724	25	16	9	735	666	858
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	4,984,199	2,847,863	2,136,336	4,966	3,060	1,906	1,004	931	1,121
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	469,996	199,498	270,498	476	212	264	987	941	1,025
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	2,117,163	877,654	1,239,509	1,830	861	969	1,157	1,019	1,279
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL PRIVATE, PROFIT-MAKING	\$5,464,796	\$1,881,053	\$3,583,743	5,924	2,205	3,719	\$922	\$853	\$964
FIVE YEARS OR MORE	34,589	21,139	13,450	45	26	19	769	813	708
FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	54,942	8,890	46,052	90	14	76	610	635	606
THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	1,942,154	635,633	1,306,521	1,764	663	1,101	1,101	959	1,187
ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	340,808	137,792	203,016	473	195	278	721	707	730
SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	3,092,303	1,077,599	2,014,704	3,552	1,307	2,245	871	824	897
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	\$29,425,737	\$12,321,367	\$17,104,370	34,053	15,149	18,904	\$864	\$813	\$905

TABLE 21

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients, and Average
Grant By Grant Level and Type of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

21A - Total
21B - Dependent
21C - Independent

Tables 21A, 21B, and 21C present the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by grant level and type of institution.

Students attending four year institutions without graduate programs received the highest average Pell Grants (\$1022). Students who attended schools with programs of at least six months but less than one year received average grants of \$1016. The lowest average grant, \$790, went to students enrolled in schools offering two to three year programs.

During award period 1981-82, the average grant for independent recipients was higher than that for dependent recipients (\$950 for independent recipients, \$902 for dependent recipients). For all types of institutions except two to three-year institutions, dependent applicants received lower average grants than independent applicants.

Only 8 percent of the students enrolled in institutions with programs of at least five years in length received the maximum grant of \$1,670 while 17 percent of the students attending institutions with programs of less than one year received such awards.

Approximately 52 percent of all students enrolled in two to three-year institutions received grants less than \$800. In contrast, only 35 percent of the students enrolled at four-year schools without graduate programs received grants below \$800.

TABLE 21-A
**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT
 BY GRANT LEVEL AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION**
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

GRANT LEVEL	TYPE OF INSTITUTION							TOTAL
	FIVE YEARS OR MORE	FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	OTHER	
\$1 - 399	137,766	64,969	1,444	153,573	13,926	16,941	40	388,659
R%	35.45	16.72	0.37	39.51	3.58	4.36	0.01	100.00
C%	12.63	13.48	16.23	17.30	15.33	11.35	11.30	14.35
AVE-GRANT	\$270	\$267	\$259	\$263	\$248	\$256	\$277	\$265
\$400 - 799	226,377	103,445	2,215	310,395	24,602	36,777	64	703,875
R%	32.16	14.70	0.31	44.10	3.50	5.22	0.01	100.00
C%	20.76	21.47	24.90	34.97	27.07	24.64	18.08	25.98
AVE-GRANT	\$609	\$611	\$606	\$607	\$602	\$616	\$594	\$609
\$800 - 999	197,100	59,777	1,001	202,275	12,184	23,035	30	495,402
R%	39.79	12.07	0.20	40.83	2.46	4.65	0.01	100.00
C%	18.08	12.41	11.25	22.79	13.41	15.43	8.47	18.29
AVE-GRANT	\$904	\$900	\$905	\$898	\$875	\$860	\$886	\$898
\$1,000 - 1,199	204,453	72,374	1,045	119,037	9,594	18,105	175	424,783
R%	48.13	17.04	0.25	28.02	2.26	4.26	0.04	100.00
C%	18.75	15.02	11.75	13.41	10.56	12.13	49.44	15.68
AVE-GRANT	\$1,099	\$1,114	\$1,113	\$1,096	\$1,108	\$1,111	\$1,110	\$1,101
\$1,200 - 1,399	156,358	61,937	1,131	28,145	7,472	14,445	9	269,497
R%	58.02	22.98	0.42	10.44	2.77	5.36	0.00	100.00
C%	14.34	12.86	12.71	3.17	8.22	9.68	2.54	9.95
AVE-GRANT	\$1,288	\$1,301	\$1,294	\$1,295	\$1,294	\$1,293	\$1,304	\$1,292
\$1,400 - 1,669	83,861	44,313	828	28,544	7,611	14,369	18	179,544
R%	46.71	24.68	0.46	15.90	4.24	8.00	0.01	100.00
C%	7.69	9.20	9.31	3.22	8.38	9.63	5.08	6.63
AVE-GRANT	\$1,530	\$1,542	\$1,538	\$1,536	\$1,548	\$1,531	\$1,561	\$1,535
\$1,670	84,472	74,977	1,233	45,550	15,479	25,587	18	247,316
R%	34.16	30.32	0.50	18.42	6.26	10.35	0.01	100.00
C%	7.75	15.56	13.86	5.13	17.03	17.14	5.08	9.13
AVE-GRANT	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670
TOTAL	1,090,387	481,792	8,897	887,519	90,868	149,259	354	2,709,076
R%	40.25	17.78	0.33	32.76	3.35	5.51	0.01	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
AVE-GRANT	\$968	\$1,022	\$969	\$790	\$965	\$1,016	\$965	\$922

TABLE 21-B
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT
BY GRANT LEVEL AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION
DEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

GRANT LEVEL	TYPE OF INSTITUTION							TOTAL
	FIVE YEARS OR MORE	FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	OTHER	
\$1 - 399	108,705	51,555	1,083	72,033	6,458	6,860	26	246,720
R%	44.06	20.90	0.44	29.20	2.62	2.78	0.01	100.00
C%	15.08	15.68	19.25	16.73	17.44	13.42	15.38	15.67
AVE-GRANT	\$270	\$267	\$260	\$264	\$247	\$248	\$266	\$267
\$400 - 799	167,201	77,439	1,576	147,909	10,079	13,464	46	417,714
R%	40.03	18.54	0.38	35.41	2.41	3.22	0.01	100.00
C%	23.19	23.55	28.01	34.34	27.21	26.34	27.22	26.53
AVE-GRANT	\$613	\$614	\$610	\$612	\$606	\$615	\$601	\$613
\$800 - 999	131,965	41,033	695	101,493	4,839	7,139	12	287,176
R%	45.95	14.29	0.24	35.34	1.69	2.49	0.00	100.00
C%	18.30	12.48	12.35	23.57	13.06	13.96	7.10	18.24
AVE-GRANT	\$914	\$908	\$908	\$896	\$885	\$875	\$912	\$905
\$1,000 - 1,199	119,181	48,280	662	59,596	3,928	6,563	62	238,272
R%	50.02	20.26	0.28	25.01	1.65	2.75	0.03	100.00
C%	16.53	14.68	11.76	13.84	10.60	12.84	36.69	15.13
AVE-GRANT	\$1,101	\$1,117	\$1,112	\$1,100	\$1,109	\$1,110	\$1,109	\$1,105
\$1,200 - 1,399	95,877	42,299	608	16,124	3,159	4,672	6	162,745
R%	58.91	25.99	0.37	9.91	1.94	2.87	0.00	100.00
C%	13.30	12.86	10.81	3.74	8.53	9.14	3.55	10.34
AVE-GRANT	\$1,295	\$1,305	\$1,305	\$1,298	\$1,304	\$1,296	\$1,319	\$1,298
\$1,400 - 1,669	60,175	33,595	479	15,390	3,661	5,414	10	118,724
R%	50.68	28.30	0.40	12.96	3.08	4.56	0.01	100.00
C%	8.35	10.22	8.51	3.57	9.88	10.59	5.92	7.54
AVE-GRANT	\$1,531	\$1,546	\$1,545	\$1,535	\$1,546	\$1,540	\$1,601	\$1,537
\$1,670	37,947	34,667	524	18,136	4,916	7,009	7	103,206
R%	36.77	33.59	0.51	17.57	4.76	6.79	0.01	100.00
C%	5.26	10.54	9.31	4.21	13.27	13.71	4.14	6.55
AVE-GRANT	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670
TOTAL	721,051	328,868	5,627	430,681	37,040	51,121	169	1,574,557
R%	45.79	20.89	0.36	27.35	2.35	3.25	0.01	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
AVE-GRANT	\$925	\$972	\$896	\$796	\$936	\$981	\$887	\$902

TABLE 20-C
**DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS AND AVERAGE GRANT
 BY GRANT LEVEL AND TYPE OF INSTITUTION**
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

GRANT LEVEL	TYPE OF INSTITUTION							TOTAL
	FIVE YEARS OR MORE	FOUR-YEAR NO GRADUATE	THREE YEARS BUT LESS THAN FOUR YEARS	TWO YEARS BUT LESS THAN THREE YEARS	ONE YEAR BUT LESS THAN TWO YEARS	SIX MONTHS BUT LESS THAN ONE YEAR	OTHER	
\$1 - 399	29,061	13,414	361	81,540	7,468	10,081	14	141,939
R%	20.47	9.45	0.25	57.45	5.26	7.10	0.01	100.00
C%	7.87	8.77	11.04	17.85	13.87	10.27	7.57	12.51
AVE-GRANT	\$270	\$265	\$256	\$262	\$250	\$255	\$297	\$263
\$400 - 799	59,176	26,006	639	162,486	14,523	23,313	18	286,161
R%	20.68	9.09	0.22	56.78	5.08	8.15	0.01	100.00
C%	16.02	17.01	19.54	35.57	26.98	23.76	9.73	25.22
AVE-GRANT	\$596	\$603	\$596	\$603	\$599	\$616	\$576	\$603
\$800 - 999	65,135	18,744	306	100,782	7,345	15,896	18	208,226
R%	31.28	9.00	0.15	48.40	3.53	7.63	0.01	100.00
C%	17.64	12.26	9.36	22.06	13.65	16.20	9.73	18.35
AVE-GRANT	\$883	\$882	\$896	\$899	\$869	\$854	\$868	\$888
\$1,000 - 1,199	85,272	24,094	383	59,441	5,666	11,542	113	186,511
R%	45.72	12.92	0.21	31.87	3.04	6.19	0.06	100.00
C%	23.09	15.76	11.71	13.01	10.53	11.76	61.08	16.44
AVE-GRANT	\$1,096	\$1,106	\$1,115	\$1,093	\$1,107	\$1,111	\$1,111	\$1,097
\$1,200 - 1,399	60,481	19,638	523	12,021	4,313	9,773	3	106,752
R%	56.66	18.40	0.49	11.26	4.04	9.15	0.00	100.00
C%	16.38	12.84	15.99	2.63	8.01	9.96	1.62	9.41
AVE-GRANT	\$1,277	\$1,290	\$1,282	\$1,291	\$1,288	\$1,292	\$1,273	\$1,283
\$1,400 - 1,669	23,686	10,718	349	13,154	3,950	8,955	8	60,820
R%	38.94	17.62	0.57	21.63	6.49	14.72	0.01	100.00
C%	6.41	7.01	10.67	2.88	7.34	9.12	4.32	5.36
AVE-GRANT	\$1,527	\$1,531	\$1,528	\$1,537	\$1,549	\$1,526	\$1,510	\$1,531
\$1,670	46,525	40,310	709	27,414	10,563	18,578	11	144,110
R%	32.28	27.97	0.49	19.02	7.33	12.89	0.01	100.00
C%	12.60	26.36	21.68	6.00	19.62	18.93	5.95	12.70
AVE-GRANT	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670	\$1,670
TOTAL	369,336	152,924	3,270	456,838	53,828	98,138	185	1,134,519
R%	32.55	13.48	0.29	40.27	4.74	8.65	0.02	100.00
C%	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
AVE-GRANT	\$1,052	\$1,129	\$1,094	\$785	\$985	\$1,034	\$1,036	\$950

TABLE 22

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By Educational Cost and Grant Level
Award Period 1981-82

22A - Total
22B - Dependent
22C - Independent

Tables 22A, 22B, and 22C present the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by educational cost and grant level.

During award period 1981-82, 92 percent of all Pell Grant recipients attended postsecondary institutions with educational costs over \$1,800.

Ninety-four percent of dependent recipients and 96 percent of independent recipients reported costs in this range.

A higher proportion of dependent than independent recipients - 34 percent as opposed to 25 percent - were enrolled in schools having educational costs of \$3,600 or more.

Educational costs for Pell Grant recipients increased from award period 1980-81 to 1981-82. The percentage of recipients who attended schools where costs were over \$3,600 increased significantly; the percentage at institutions where costs were \$1,800 or less decreased slightly.

o Recipients with educational costs greater than \$3,600:

1980-81	-	683,486	(25% of all recipients)
1981-82	-	806,880	(30% of all recipients)

o Recipients with educational costs \$1,800 or less:

1980-81	-	279,794	(10% of all recipients)
1981-82	-	215,394	(8% of all recipients)

Tables 22A, 22B, and 22C show that the higher the recipient's educational costs, the greater his or her potential for receiving a large Pell Grant. Of the recipients with educational costs over \$3,000, 36 percent received a grant of \$1,400 or greater, while 35 percent were awarded a grant less than \$800. By comparison, of the recipients with educational costs of \$3,000 or less, only 2 percent were awarded a grant of \$1,400 or greater, while 44 percent were given a grant of less than \$800.

TABLE 22-A
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY EDUCATIONAL COST AND GRANT LEVEL
ALL RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 22-C
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY EDUCATIONAL COST AND GRANT LEVEL
INDEPENDENT RECIPIENTS - AWARD YEAR 1981-82

[illegible]

TABLE 23

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients
By State and Control of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

Table 23 presents the distribution of Pell Grant recipients by state and control of institution.

The number of Pell Grant recipients enrolled in post secondary institutions varied greatly from state to state. In general, the larger the population of a state, the larger the number of Pell recipients enrolled at institutions within that state. Of the fifty states, New York and California together had 543,947 recipients attending institutions in those states or 20 percent of the total number of Pell Grant recipients. (It should be noted that the category "All Others", which includes Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and the U.S. Trust Territories, had 156,917 recipients.) In contrast, the following 13 states had fewer than 9,000 recipients each and together accounted for less than 3 percent (76,986) of all Pell recipients: Alaska, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Hampshire, Nevada, Vermont, Wyoming, Maine, N. Dakota, Rhode Island, and S. Dakota.

An examination of the general enrollment pattern shows that approximately 65 percent of the total recipient population attended public institutions, 22 percent attended private, non-profit institutions, and 12 percent attended private, profit-making institutions. However, there was considerable variety among states in the type of institution most often attended by Pell Grant recipients.

In 14 states, over 80 percent of the recipients attended public institutions. States with the highest proportion of recipients enrolled in public institutions included: Alaska, Delaware, Idaho, Maryland, Mississippi, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Utah, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

In 8 states, the U.S. Territories and Washington, D.C., over 40 percent of the recipients attended private institutions. States with the highest proportions of recipients enrolled in private institutions included: Connecticut, Indiana, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and U.S. Territories.

TABLE 23
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY STATE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
AWARD YEAR 1981-82

	PUBLIC		PRIVATE NON-PROFIT		PRIVATE PROFIT-MAKING		TOTAL	
	RECIPS	AWARDS	RECIPS	AWARDS	RECIPS	AWARDS	RECIPS	AWARDS
ALABAMA	46,774	\$37,876,044	9,010	\$11,173,806	5,292	\$6,136,698	61,076	\$55,186,548
ALASKA	1,451	1,205,621	106	121,870	92	86,982	1,649	1,414,473
ARIZONA	24,312	20,400,931	657	769,726	8,932	8,383,602	33,901	29,554,259
ARKANSAS	22,405	19,386,373	3,766	4,074,194	2,212	2,365,667	28,383	25,826,234
CALIFORNIA	159,252	115,833,374	21,414	22,243,125	35,920	40,288,679	216,586	178,365,178
COLORADO	20,308	18,666,468	2,962	2,799,424	4,899	4,581,734	28,169	26,047,626
CONNECTICUT	11,382	9,036,646	6,059	5,825,418	3,567	3,291,049	21,008	18,153,113
DELAWARE	4,681	4,289,280	874	871,249	0	0	5,555	5,160,529
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4,214	3,210,145	8,572	9,845,334	4,123	4,525,659	16,909	17,581,138
FLORIDA	67,095	52,525,902	19,482	22,343,133	12,658	13,949,534	99,235	88,818,569
GEORGIA	28,827	25,489,894	11,671	13,273,591	6,289	6,409,874	46,787	45,173,359
HAWAII	3,769	2,625,065	783	1,015,632	545	496,445	5,097	4,137,142
IDAHO	7,322	6,060,466	598	611,678	0	0	7,920	6,672,144
ILLINOIS	77,395	63,565,806	26,898	28,536,276	13,791	14,865,541	118,084	106,967,623
INDIANA	32,356	27,986,755	11,231	10,262,818	14,254	13,987,827	57,841	52,237,400
IOWA	20,622	18,138,854	11,275	11,518,249	1,651	1,402,366	33,548	31,059,469
KANSAS	20,406	17,299,271	5,703	5,474,223	2,129	2,255,271	28,238	25,028,765
KENTUCKY	29,013	23,059,288	7,422	7,953,588	7,887	8,308,550	44,322	39,321,426
LOUISIANA	34,258	30,570,117	4,513	5,231,606	4,696	5,136,712	43,467	40,938,435
MAINE	9,530	9,124,890	2,735	2,683,823	927	1,069,269	13,192	12,877,982
MARYLAND	32,683	28,484,333	3,822	3,821,304	2,620	2,468,367	39,125	34,774,004
MASSACHUSETTS	36,447	31,537,349	34,912	34,523,710	4,427	4,585,747	75,786	70,646,806
MICHIGAN	80,479	71,671,217	17,114	18,064,490	7,634	7,616,780	105,227	97,352,487
MINNESOTA	44,681	37,179,416	10,004	9,653,889	2,613	2,308,227	57,298	49,141,532
MISSISSIPPI	37,019	33,441,982	4,067	5,009,868	1,378	1,458,206	42,464	39,910,056
MISSOURI	35,702	28,810,694	12,936	13,003,190	7,607	8,368,267	56,245	50,182,151
MONTANA	8,681	6,428,613	1,115	1,065,731	132	95,184	9,928	7,589,528
NEBRASKA	16,361	13,566,383	4,399	4,428,682	1,567	1,285,532	22,327	19,280,597
NEVADA	3,220	2,428,517	60	56,317	605	513,785	3,885	2,998,619
NEW HAMPSHIRE	5,209	4,796,607	3,567	3,424,304	783	821,273	9,559	9,042,184
NEW JERSEY	44,603	40,015,604	12,151	13,104,443	12,290	12,835,005	69,044	65,955,052
NEW MEXICO	16,271	13,372,632	1,306	1,598,048	838	813,609	18,415	15,784,289
NEW YORK	181,615	165,405,356	91,374	98,773,085	54,372	58,768,351	327,361	322,946,792
NORTH CAROLINA	49,082	38,632,111	15,173	16,886,169	3,550	3,727,113	67,805	59,245,393
NORTH DAKOTA	8,905	7,740,398	1,446	1,550,098	422	377,508	10,773	9,668,004
OHIO	64,548	59,259,474	20,514	21,018,859	19,751	21,261,970	104,813	101,540,303
OKLAHOMA	24,347	19,275,559	4,479	4,783,093	1,604	1,644,566	30,430	25,703,218
OREGON	26,566	23,490,659	3,372	3,688,094	1,833	1,875,463	31,771	29,054,216
PENNSYLVANIA	71,529	65,668,042	41,692	39,709,899	21,773	21,910,679	134,994	127,288,620
RHODE ISLAND	8,129	7,007,314	5,942	5,610,278	2,260	2,195,218	16,331	14,812,810
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,769	23,056,709	8,226	9,384,692	4,640	5,213,512	40,635	37,654,913
SOUTH DAKOTA	9,423	8,840,489	3,606	3,619,168	444	442,524	13,473	12,902,181
TENNESSEE	35,044	30,170,342	15,108	17,041,247	6,047	7,049,338	56,199	54,260,927
TEXAS	89,376	64,991,343	17,395	18,506,044	9,882	9,225,302	116,653	97,722,689
UTAH	11,516	10,059,160	189	206,098	868	776,383	12,573	11,041,641
VERMONT	3,827	3,621,854	2,787	2,876,034	0	0	6,614	6,497,888
VIRGINIA	34,412	30,773,501	8,561	9,288,046	6,872	6,984,722	49,845	47,046,269
WASHINGTON	28,598	24,180,180	5,620	6,196,306	3,018	3,415,112	37,236	33,791,598
WEST VIRGINIA	12,181	10,452,125	2,881	2,777,688	3,007	2,839,541	18,069	16,069,354
WISCONSIN	44,199	39,871,717	7,003	6,888,647	2,048	2,095,424	53,250	48,855,788
WYOMING	2,839	2,339,627	0	0	225	207,897	3,064	2,547,524
ALL OTHERS	48,659	42,040,047	89,797	109,313,614	18,461	20,944,128	156,917	172,297,789
TOTAL	1,769,292	\$1,494,960,544	606,349	\$652,499,898	333,435	\$351,666,192	2,709,076	\$2,499,126,634


[illegible]

TABLE 24

Distribution of Pell Grant Recipients By
Recipients' State of Legal Residence and
Control of Institution
Award Period 1981-82

Table 24 shows a distribution of Pell Grant recipients by the recipients' state of legal residence and control of institution.

The larger a state's population, the larger the number of Pell recipients legally residing in that state. During award period 1981-82, over 100,000 recipients resided in each of the following 9 states: California, Florida, Illinois, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Federal Territories. The collective number of recipients living in those states equalled 1,418,972 and constituted 52 percent of the total number of Pell Grant recipients. In contrast, each of the following 10 states had fewer than 10,000 recipients: Alaska, Delaware, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Vermont, and Wyoming.

A comparison with the data from Table 23 shows that over 10 percent more recipients were enrolled in institutions in each of the following 7 states than recipients resided in them: Arizona, District of Columbia, Indiana, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Rhode Island, and West Virginia. On the other hand, the comparison indicates that over 10 percent more recipients resided in each of the following seven states than were enrolled in an institution in them: Alaska, Connecticut, Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Jersey, Utah, and U.S. Territories. In most states the number of recipients attending within a state is close to the number of recipients residing in the state.

TABLE 24
DISTRIBUTION OF PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS
BY RECIPIENTS' STATE OF LEGAL RESIDENCE AND CONTROL OF INSTITUTION
AWARD YEAR 1981-82

	PUBLIC		PRIVATE NON-PROFIT		PRIVATE PROFIT-MAKING		TOTAL	
	RECIPS	AWARDS	RECIPS	AWARDS	RECIPS	AWARDS	RECIPS	AWARDS
ALABAMA	45,335	\$36,474,509	7,421	\$8,787,355	5,519	\$6,309,552	58,275	\$51,571,416
ALASKA	1,896	1,664,038	446	489,626	198	203,909	2,540	2,357,573
ARIZONA	21,873	17,795,826	1,961	2,008,889	5,666	5,359,455	29,500	25,164,170
ARKANSAS	21,749	18,679,644	3,621	3,960,179	2,560	2,662,327	27,930	25,302,150
CALIFORNIA	155,999	113,504,995	23,695	25,374,856	32,029	35,970,378	211,723	174,850,229
COLORADO	18,965	17,148,518	3,917	3,716,713	3,894	3,722,440	26,776	24,587,671
CONNECTICUT	12,445	10,110,026	8,822	8,306,389	3,647	3,357,899	24,914	21,774,314
DELAWARE	3,849	3,433,410	1,149	1,139,431	182	180,487	5,180	4,753,328
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	3,311	3,238,386	2,654	3,126,941	1,989	2,091,594	7,954	8,456,921
FLORIDA	67,641	53,531,194	20,533	23,417,736	12,587	13,766,510	100,761	90,715,440
GEORGIA	32,962	28,081,126	11,252	12,780,259	5,332	5,459,997	49,546	46,321,382
HAWAII	3,740	2,708,938	1,395	1,586,504	551	494,957	5,686	4,790,399
IDAHO	7,150	5,850,140	1,704	1,733,348	637	670,768	9,491	8,254,256
ILLINOIS	80,367	67,490,010	30,426	32,375,328	16,671	17,934,597	127,464	117,799,935
INDIANA	30,987	26,584,571	10,029	9,221,181	7,998	7,153,791	49,014	42,959,543
IOWA	20,953	18,264,408	9,386	9,424,550	2,045	1,743,458	32,384	29,432,416
KANSAS	18,846	15,617,674	4,449	4,430,064	2,383	2,345,330	25,678	22,393,068
KENTUCKY	26,992	21,101,519	6,770	7,241,833	7,371	7,444,473	41,133	35,787,825
LOUISIANA	33,500	28,820,035	4,195	4,765,906	5,331	5,768,567	43,026	39,354,508
MAINE	9,461	9,086,246	3,387	3,456,834	1,223	1,375,265	14,071	13,918,345
MARYLAND	29,081	24,859,242	5,994	6,145,560	3,471	3,324,435	38,546	34,329,237
MASSACHUSETTS	38,195	33,209,703	30,233	29,577,183	5,031	5,168,719	73,459	67,955,605
MICHIGAN	79,835	71,307,337	18,968	20,077,334	9,981	9,815,232	108,784	101,199,903
MINNESOTA	44,979	37,437,911	9,116	8,840,218	3,281	2,994,062	57,376	49,272,191
MISSISSIPPI	35,458	31,771,172	4,197	5,126,162	1,780	1,925,056	41,435	38,822,390
MISSOURI	35,611	28,871,457	10,850	10,891,051	6,948	7,570,259	53,409	47,332,767
MONTANA	8,414	6,232,479	1,692	1,646,734	403	344,451	10,509	8,223,664
NEBRASKA	16,149	13,411,656	3,849	3,913,928	1,581	1,359,893	21,579	18,685,477
NEVADA	3,334	2,537,611	414	404,171	674	601,228	4,422	3,543,010
NEW HAMPSHIRE	4,459	4,119,933	2,780	2,642,841	730	748,412	7,969	7,511,186
NEW JERSEY	49,006	44,549,778	21,920	22,530,492	13,079	13,575,013	84,005	80,655,283
NEW MEXICO	15,752	13,076,413	2,024	2,259,397	1,390	1,424,054	19,166	16,759,864
NEW YORK	183,780	168,503,980	96,810	104,689,420	54,647	59,542,073	335,237	332,735,473
NORTH CAROLINA	46,398	35,995,425	12,241	13,482,881	4,113	4,278,237	62,752	53,756,543
NORTH DAKOTA	7,913	6,876,322	1,371	1,395,070	422	379,770	9,706	8,651,162
OHIO	64,619	59,214,071	20,090	20,449,088	19,554	21,084,706	104,263	100,747,865
OKLAHOMA	22,911	17,982,410	3,345	3,546,205	2,039	2,115,628	28,295	23,644,243
OREGON	25,736	22,781,011	3,599	3,868,507	1,837	1,843,428	31,172	28,492,946
PENNSYLVANIA	72,606	66,234,818	41,687	40,337,717	22,498	22,726,812	136,791	129,299,347
RHODE ISLAND	7,978	6,851,118	4,255	4,003,633	1,983	1,947,225	14,216	12,801,976
SOUTH CAROLINA	27,023	22,681,989	9,194	10,660,785	3,994	4,405,802	40,211	37,748,576
SOUTH DAKOTA	9,498	8,843,428	3,165	3,355,527	453	447,945	13,116	12,646,900
TENNESSEE	34,597	28,466,923	9,952	11,376,475	6,561	7,319,903	51,110	47,163,301
TEXAS	85,025	61,453,225	15,909	16,565,313	9,561	9,010,307	110,495	87,028,845
UTAH	10,089	8,599,137	3,009	3,124,714	787	695,248	13,885	12,419,099
VERMONT	3,754	3,517,211	2,383	2,392,321	194	187,313	6,331	6,096,845
VIRGINIA	32,854	29,096,023	8,128	8,730,084	6,961	7,486,152	47,943	45,312,259
WASHINGTON	27,623	23,238,129	5,619	6,176,461	3,327	3,647,759	36,569	33,062,349
WEST VIRGINIA	10,076	8,318,665	2,145	2,117,204	2,692	2,507,026	14,913	12,942,895
WISCONSIN	42,740	38,279,069	7,433	7,435,022	1,755	1,626,121	51,928	47,340,212
WYOMING	2,546	2,070,357	325	330,582	113	103,971	2,984	2,504,910
ALL OTHERS	73,232	65,387,328	86,440	107,063,896	23,782	27,444,198	183,454	199,895,422
TOTAL	1,769,292	\$1,494,960,544	606,349	\$652,499,898	333,435	\$351,666,192	2,709,076	\$2,499,126,634

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Pell Grant Program

Alternate Disbursement System: One of two methods by which Pell Grant awards are paid. Because of size or other factors which affect a school's ability to process award payments, a student is paid his or her Pell Grant directly by the Department of Education, instead of by the institution the student attends.

Average Grant: The sum of all grant awards divided by the number of Pell Grant recipients.

Award Period: That period of time from July 1 of one year to June 30 of the next year. (The award period covered in this 1981-82 End-of-Year Report is July 1, 1981 - June 30, 1982).

C%: Column Percent. The number of responses in each cell within a column as a percent of the total number of responses in the column.

CSS: College Scholarship Service. Organization which collects information needed to determine student eligibility for various types of financial aid, including Pell Grants. CSS is one of four sources through which an individual can apply for a Pell Grant. (See MDE for list of other sources).

Control of Institution: This refers to whether an educational institution is public, private non-profit, or private profit-making.

Dependent Recipient: An individual receiving a Pell Grant as well as significant financial support from his or her parent. To be considered dependent, an individual must meet at least one of the following criteria. The individual must have:

- (1) lived with a parent for six weeks or more at any time during 1980, or 81; or
- (2) been listed as an exemption on the parent's federal income tax form in either 1980, or 81; or
- (3) received assistance worth more than \$1000 from the parent at any time during 1980, or 81.

Educational Cost: The total cost of attending an institution offering postsecondary education course work for a full academic year. Factors included in calculating educational costs are: (1) tuition and fees; (2) living expenses, and (3) miscellaneous expenses, i.e., books, supplies.

Expenditures: Funds awarded to Pell Grant recipients through either the regular or the alternate disbursement system for an award period.

Expected Disbursement: The amount of money expected to be received by a Pell Grant recipient based on a student's SEI, educational costs and expected enrollment status. Expected disbursements do not necessarily correspond with actual grant expenditures.

Family Income: One of the primary factors considered in determining eligibility for a Pell Grant. In this report family income is considered to be the sum of a family's adjusted gross income, non-taxable income (including Social Security benefits and AFDC), and one-half of any veteran's educational benefits received by the applicant.

Grant Level: The amount of money expected to be received by a Pell Grant recipient for a year. The grant level is based on the Pell Student Eligibility Index (SEI), the educational cost and the enrollment status (full-time, 3/4 time, half-time). In general, educational costs must exceed the SEI by about 150 for a full-time student to qualify for the minimum award of \$120. For the lowest SEI of zero, the grant level may be half of the educational costs, subject to an overall maximum of \$1,670.

Independent Recipient: An individual receiving a Pell Grant who is not dependent on his or her parent for financial support. To be considered independent, an individual must not have:

- (1) lived with a parent for more than six weeks in 1980, or 81; or
- (2) been listed as an exemption on the parent's income tax form for any one of the above years, or
- (3) received financial assistance worth over \$1000 from the parent at any time during 1980, or 81.

Institution: Schools participating in the Pell Program. Institutions are classified in the following manner:

- o Five Year or More - schools offering programs of at least five years in duration.
- o Four Year No Graduate - schools offering programs leading to an undergraduate degree only.
- o Three to Four Year - schools requiring at least three but less than four years of course work, and awarding a degree or a certificate of proficiency.

- o Two to Three Year - schools requiring at least two but less than three years of course work, and awarding a degree or a certificate of proficiency. (Often these are community colleges).
- o One Year But Less Than Two Years - schools requiring course work of at least one but less than two years, and awarding degrees or certificates of proficiency.
- o Six Months But Less Than One Year - schools requiring course work of at least six months but less than one year, and awarding degrees or certificates of proficiency. (Often these are technical or secretarial schools).

MDE: Multiple Data Entry. Process by which an individual can apply for a Pell using any one of the following four different application forms:

- o The College Scholarship Service's Financial Aid Form (FAF);
- o The American College Testing Program's Family Financial Statement (FFS);
- o The U.S. Department of Education's Pell Grant Application;
- o The Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency's Application for State Grant and Pell Grant (PHEAA).

MISAA: Middle-Income Student Assistance Act. Act passed by Congress in 1978 which expanded eligibility for Pell Grants.

N: Number. The number of applicants, recipients or other values found in each table cell.

Net Asset Level: Estimated monetary value of an applicant's (or applicant's family if applicant is a dependent) property minus the applicant's liabilities. Factors considered in estimating net asset level include the value of the applicant's home, investments, business, cash and savings, farm, and debt.

Non-Qualified Applicant: Individual who has submitted an official application for a Pell Grant but has been determined ineligible to receive a grant because of insufficient financial need. A non-qualified applicant will have an SEI greater than 1550.

Official Application: The first application to be processed by the central processor.

Payment Schedule: A table showing a full-time student's Scheduled Award for a given award period. The Payment Schedule also includes the Disbursement Schedules which are tables showing the grant amounts three-quarter and half-time students would receive for an academic year.

PELL: Pell Grant. One of six major financial aid programs offered by the office of Student Financial Assistance to assist individuals in furthering their postsecondary education.

Qualified Applicant: Individual who has submitted an official application for a Pell Grant and has been determined eligible to receive a grant award. A qualified applicant has an SEI of 1550 or less.

R%: Row Percent. The number of responses in each cell within a row as a percent of the total number of responses in the row.

Regular Disbursement System: Method by which most students are paid Pell Grant Awards. Institutions on the Regular Disbursement System receive funds during the year, with the amount of funds received based on the projected number of students attending the institution. Students then receive Pell Grant payments directly from the institution where they are enrolled.

Scheduled Award: The amount that a full-time student enrolled for a full academic year is entitled to receive for a given year.

SEI: Student Eligibility Index. Number given to applicant, based on applicant's financial strength as indicated by factors such as family income, net assets, and household size, which is combined with applicant's educational cost and enrollment status (full-time, 3/4 time, half-time) to determine applicant's grant level. For a given educational cost and enrollment status, a lower SEI results in a higher grant level. The Student Eligibility Index will be called the Student Aid Index (SAI) starting with the 1982-83 application processing year.

SER: Student Eligibility Report. A report provided to an applicant showing the amount of the applicant's expected family contribution. The applicant must submit an SER to the institution he or she plans to attend before a Pell Grant will be awarded.

SVR: Student Validation Roster. Report filled out by institutions and returned to the Department of Education at the end of each fiscal year, which verifies each recipient's status and the actual amount paid to the recipient.

Unofficial Application: Any Pell Grant application form or MDE record (other than a Supplemental Form) received by the central processor subsequent to processing the first application.

Valid Application: An application from which an SEI can be calculated.

Validation: The process by which a small percentage of Pell applicants is selected and required to present to their financial aid officers certain documents, such as Federal Income Tax Return 1040 or 1040A, and W-2 statements, which confirm the accuracy of the information on the student's application form.