CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:
Parts I and II

for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

For reporting on
School Year 2009-10

KENTUCKY

PART I DUE FRIDAY, DECEMBER 17, 2010
PART II DUE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2011

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, DC 20202

INTRODUCTION

Sections 9302 and 9303 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act* (*ESEA*), as amended by the *No Child Left Behind Act* of 2001 (*NCLB*) provide to States the option of applying for and reporting on multiple *ESEA* programs through a single consolidated application and report. Although a central, practical purpose of the Consolidated State Application and Report is to reduce "red tape" and burden on States, the Consolidated State Application and Report are also intended to have the important purpose of encouraging the integration of State, local, and *ESEA* programs in comprehensive planning and service delivery and enhancing the likelihood that the State will coordinate planning and service delivery across multiple State and local programs. The combined goal of all educational agencies–State, local, and Federal–is a more coherent, well-integrated educational plan that will result in improved teaching and learning. The Consolidated State Application and Report includes the following *ESEA* programs:

- o Title I, Part A – *Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies*
- o Title I, Part B, Subpart 3 – *William F. Goodling Even Start Family Literacy Programs*
- o Title I, Part C – *Education of Migratory Children* (Includes the Migrant Child Count)
- o Title I, Part D – *Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk*
- o Title II, Part A – *Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund)*
- o Title III, Part A – *English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act*
- o Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 – *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants*
- o Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2 – *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Activities (Community Service Grant Program)*
- o Title V, Part A – *Innovative Programs*
- o Title VI, Section 6111 – *Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities*
- o Title VI, Part B – *Rural Education Achievement Program*
- o Title X, Part C – *Education for Homeless Children and Youths*

The *NCLB* Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) for school year (SY) 2009-10 consists of two Parts, Part I and Part II.

PART I

Part I of the CSPR requests information related to the five *ESEA* Goals, established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application, and information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in Section 1111(h)(4) of the *ESEA*. The five *ESEA* Goals established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application are:

- **Performance Goal 1:**   By SY 2013-14, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 2:**   All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 3:**   By SY 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
- **Performance Goal 4:**   All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.
- **Performance Goal 5:**   All students will graduate from high school.

Beginning with the CSPR SY 2005-06 collection, the Education of Homeless Children and Youths was added. The Migrant Child count was added for the SY 2006-07 collection.

PART II

Part II of the CSPR consists of information related to State activities and outcomes of specific *ESEA* programs. While the information requested varies from program to program, the specific information requested for this report meets the following criteria:

1.   The information is needed for Department program performance plans or for other program needs.
2.   The information is not available from another source, including program evaluations pending full implementation of required EDFacts submission.
3.   The information will provide valid evidence of program outcomes or results.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND TIMELINES

All States that received funding on the basis of the Consolidated State Application for the SY 2009-10 must respond to this Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR). Part I of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, December 17, 2010**. Part II of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, February 18, 2011**. Both Part I and Part II should reflect data from the SY 2009-10, unless otherwise noted.

The format states will use to submit the Consolidated State Performance Report has changed to an online submission starting with SY 2004-05. This online submission system is being developed through the Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and will make the submission process less burdensome.   Please see the following section on transmittal instructions for more information on how to submit this year's Consolidated State Performance Report.

TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) data will be collected online from the SEAs, using the EDEN web site. The EDEN web site will be modified to include a separate area (sub-domain) for CSPR data entry. This area will utilize EDEN formatting to the extent possible and the data will be entered in the order of the current CSPR forms. The data entry screens will include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, an effort will be made to design the screens to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2009-10 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data, by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY 2009-10 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN web site (https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/).

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1965, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0614. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 111 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimates(s) contact School Support and Technology Programs, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20202-6140. Questions about the new electronic CSPR submission process, should be directed to the EDEN Partner Support Center at 1-877-HLP-EDEN (1-877-457-3336).

	OMB Number: 1810-0614
	Expiration Date: 10/31/2010

Consolidated State Performance Report
For
State Formula Grant Programs
under the
Elementary And Secondary Education Act
as amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting:
___ Part I, 2009-10   _X_ Part II, 2009-10

Name of State Educational Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report:
Kentucky Department of Education

Address:
500 Mero Street, First Floor, Capital Plaza Tower
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Person to contact about this report:

Name: Mary Ann Miller

Telephone: 502-564-3141

Fax: 502-564-5680

e-mail: MaryAnn.Miller@education.ky.gov

Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type):
Terry Holliday

Wednesday, June 1, 2011, 6:08:53 PM

Signature

2.1   **IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE I, PART A)**

This section collects data on Title I, Part A programs.

2.1.1   Student Achievement in Schools with Title I, Part A Programs

The following sections collect data on student academic achievement on the State's assessments in schools that receive Title I, Part A funds and operate either Schoolwide programs or Targeted Assistance programs.

2.1.1.1 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

In the format of the table below, provide the number of students in SWP schools who completed the assessment and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	38,583	29,197	75.7
4	38,354	28,361	73.9
5	37,479	24,712	65.9
6	27,805	18,314	65.9
7	25,337	15,221	60.1
8	25,511	13,404	52.5
High School	9,528	3,295	34.6
Total	202,597	132,504	65.4
Comments:			

2.1.1.2 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's reading/language arts assessment in SWP.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	38,583	29,228	75.8
4	38,354	30,014	78.3
5	37,479	27,350	73.0
6	27,805	18,784	67.6
7	25,337	16,866	66.6
8	25,511	17,559	68.8
High School	10,607	6,038	56.9
Total	203,676	145,839	71.6
Comments:			

2.1.1.3 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of all students in TAS who completed the assessment and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	2,696	2,197	81.5
4	2,598	2,076	79.9
5	2,708	2,047	75.6
6	2,082	1,603	77.0
7	1,763	1,314	74.5
8	1,756	1,124	64.0
High School	685	391	57.1
Total	14,288	10,752	75.3
Comments:			

2.1.1.4 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.3. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's reading/language arts assessment by all students in TAS.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	2,696	2,235	82.9
4	2,598	2,229	85.8
5	2,708	2,217	81.9
6	2,082	1,652	79.3
7	1,763	1,347	76.4
8	1,756	1,413	80.5
High School	751	534	71.1
Total	14,354	11,627	81.0
Comments:			

2.1.2   Title I, Part A Student Participation

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

2.1.2.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I SW or TAS programs at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

	# Students Served
Children with disabilities (*IDEA*)	23,878
Limited English proficient students	13,611
Students who are homeless	15,747
Migratory students	2,138
Comments:	

2.1.2.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either public Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Race/Ethnicity	# Students Served
American Indian or Alaska Native	513
Asian or Pacific Islander	3,609
Black, non-Hispanic	45,608
Hispanic	13,613
White, non-Hispanic	304,718
Total	368,061
Comments:	

2.1.2.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the underline number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public targeted assistance programs (Public TAS), Title I schoolwide programs (Public SWP), private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected). The totals column by type of program will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	Public TAS	Public SWP	Private	Local Neglected	Total
Age 0-2	N<10	1,147	N<10	N<10	
Age 3-5 (not Kindergarten)	N<10	21,285	12	N<10	
K	128	40,910	174	N<10	
1	274	41,015	257	10	41,556
2	189	39,648	261	27	40,125
3	232	41,190	226	51	41,699
4	290	39,365	219	46	39,920
5	216	38,535	167	51	38,969
6	86	28,477	116	65	28,744
7	73	25,165	78	77	25,393
8	77	25,354	54	113	25,598
9	N<10	9,386	25	172	
10	N<10	8,464	30	154	
11	N<10	7,509	16	95	
12	N<10	7,052	N<10	72	
Ungraded	N<10	53	N<10	11	
TOTALS		374,555			378,704

Comments:

2.1.2.4   Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional and Support Services

The following sections collect data about the participation of students in TAS.

2.1.2.4.1 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed instructional services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one instructional service. However, students should be reported only once for each instructional service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Mathematics	449
Reading/language arts	1,294
Science	10
Social studies	N<10
Vocational/career	N<10
Other instructional services	
Comments:	

2.1.2.4.2 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Support Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed support services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one support service. However, students should be reported only once for each support service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Health, dental, and eye care	N<10
Supporting guidance/advocacy	N<10
Other support services	N<10
Comments:	

2.1.3 Staff Information for Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff funded by a Title I, Part A TAS in each of the staff categories. For staff who work with both TAS and SWP, report only the FTE attributable to their TAS responsibilities.

For paraprofessionals only, provide the percentage of paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of *ESEA*.

See the FAQs following the table for additional information.

Staff Category	Staff FTE	Percentage Qualified
Teachers	54	
Paraprofessionals[1]	55	100.0
Other paraprofessionals (translators, parental involvement, computer assistance)[2]		
Clerical support staff		
Administrators (non-clerical)		
Comments: This is a pre-populated section. The other paraprofessionals, clerical support staff and administrators should all be		

[1] Consistent with *ESEA*, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

[2] Consistent with *ESEA*, Title I, Section 1119(e).

2.1.3.1 Paraprofessional Information for Title I, Part A Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of FTE paraprofessionals who served in SWP and the percentage of these paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of *ESEA*. Use the additional guidance found below the previous table.

	Paraprofessionals FTE	Percentage Qualified
Paraprofessionals[3]	824.00	100.0
Comments:		

[3] Consistent with *ESEA*, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

2.2   WILLIAM F. GOODLING EVEN START FAMILY LITERACY PROGRAM (TITLE I, PART B, SUBPART 3)

2.2.1   Subgrants and Even Start Program Participants

In the tables below, please provide information requested for the reporting program year July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010.

2.2.1.1 Federally Funded Even Start Subgrants in the State

Number of federally funded Even Start subgrants	8
Comments:	

2.2.1.2 Even Start Families Participating During the Year

In the table below, provide the number of participants for each of the groups listed below. The following terms apply:

1. "Participating" means enrolled and participating in all four core instructional components.
2. "Adults" includes teen parents.
3. For continuing children, calculate the age of the child on July 1, 2009. For newly enrolled children, calculate their age at the time of enrollment in Even Start.
4. Do not use rounding rules to calculate children's ages .

The total number of participating children will be calculated automatically.

	# Participants
1. Families participating	151
2. Adults participating	157
3. Adults participating who are limited English proficient (Adult English Learners)	39
4. Participating children	210
a. Birth through 2 years	72
b. Ages 3 through 5	89
c. Ages 6 through 8	49
c. Above age 8	N<10
Comments:	

2.2.1.3 Characteristics of Newly Enrolled Families at the Time of Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of newly enrolled families for each of the groups listed below. The term "newly enrolled family" means a family who enrolls for the first time in the Even Start project or who had previously been in Even Start and re-enrolls during the year.

	#
1. Number of newly enrolled families	109
2. Number of newly enrolled adult participants	115
3. Number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level at the time of enrollment	109
4. Number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment	93
5. Number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9th grade at the time of enrollment	45
Comments:	

2.2.1.4 Retention of Families

In the table below, provide the number of families who are newly enrolled, those who exited the program during the year, and those continuing in the program. For families who have exited, count the time between the family's start date and exit date. For families continuing to participate, count the time between the family's start date and the end of the reporting year (June 30, 2010). For families who had previously exited Even Start and then enrolled during the reporting year, begin counting from the time of the family's original enrollment date. **Report each family only once in lines 1-4.** Note enrolled families means a family who is participating in all four core instructional components. The total number of families participating will be automatically calculated.

Time in Program	#
1. Number of families enrolled 90 days or less	41
2. Number of families enrolled more than 90 but less than 180 days	21
3. Number of families enrolled 180 or more days but less than 365 days	65
4. Number of families enrolled 365 days or more	24
5. Total families enrolled	151
Comments:	

2.2.2 Federal Even Start Performance Indicators

This section collects data about the federal Even Start Performance Indicators

2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading. Only report data from the TABE reading test on the TABE line. Likewise, only report data from the CASAS reading test on the CASAS line. Data from the other TABE or CASAS tests or combination of both tests should be reported on the "other" line.

To be counted under "pre- and post-test", an individual must have completed **both** the pre- and post-tests.

The definition of "significant learning gains" for adult education is determined at the State level either by your State's adult education program in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE), or as defined by your Even Start State Performance Indicators.

These instructions/definitions apply to both 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.2.2.

Note: Do not include the Adult English Learners counted in 2.2.2.2.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	39	33	
CASAS			
Other			
Comments:			

2.2.2.2 Adult English Learners Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of Adult English Learners who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE			
CASAS			
BEST	36	16	
BEST Plus			
BEST Literacy			
Other			
Comments:			

2.2.2.3 Adults Earning a High School Diploma or GED

In the table below, provide the number of school-age and non-school age adults who earned a high school diploma or GED during the reporting year.

The following terms apply:

1. "School-age adults" is defined as any parent attending an elementary or secondary school. This also includes those adults within the State's compulsory attendance range who are being served in an alternative school setting, such as directly through the Even Start program.
2. "Non-school-age" adults are any adults who do not meet the definition of "school-age."
3. Include only the number of adult participants who had a realistic goal of earning a high school diploma or GED. Note that age limitations on taking the GED differ by State, so you should include only those adult participants for whom attainment of a GED or high school diploma is a possibility.

School-Age Adults	# With Goal	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	N<10	N<10	
GED	N<10	N<10	
Other			
Comments:			

Non-School-Age Adults	# With Goal	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma			
GED	13	N<10	
Other	N<10	N<10	Post Secondary Placement
Comments:			

2.2.2.4 Children Age-Eligible for Kindergarten Who Are Achieving Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Language Development

In the table below, provide the number of children who are achieving significant learning gains on measures of language development.

The following terms apply:

1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year who have been in Even Start for at least six months.
2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took both a pre- and post-test with at least 6 months of Even Start service in between.
3. A "significant learning gain" is considered to be a standard score increase of 4 or more points.
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children who could not take the test (based on the practice items) due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions.

	# Age-Eligible	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)
PPVT-III	27	23	20	N<10	3 children withdrew from the program.
PPVT-IV					
TVIP					
Comments:					

2.2.2.4.1 Children Age-Eligible for Kindergarten Who Demonstrate Age-Appropriate Oral Language Skills

The following terms apply:

1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year and who have been enrolled in Even Start for at least six months.
2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took the PPVT-III or TVIP in the spring of or latest test within the reporting year.
3. # Who met goal includes children who score a Standard Score of 85 or higher on the spring (or latest test within the reporting year) TVIP, PPVT-III or PPVT-IV
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children who could not take the test (based on the practice items) due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions .

Note: Projects may use the PPVT-III or the PPVT-IV if the PPVT-III is no longer available, but results for the two versions of the assessment should be reported separately.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)
PPVT-III	27	23	18	N<10	3 children withdrew.
PPVT-IV					
TVIP					
Comments:					

2.2.2.5 The Average Number of Letters Children Can Identify as Measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask

In the table below, provide the average number of letters children can identify as measure by PALS subtask.

The following terms apply:

1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year and who have been enrolled in Even Start for at least six months.
2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who received Even Start services and who took the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask in the spring of 2010 (or latest test within the reporting year).
3. "Exempted" includes the number of children exempted from testing due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.
4. "Average number of letters" includes the average score for the children in your State who participated in this assessment. This should be provided as a <u>weighted</u> average (An example of how to calculate a weighted average is included in the program training materials) and rounded to one decimal.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	# Exempted	Average Number of Letters (Weighted Average)	Explanation (if applicable)
PALS PreK Upper Case	27	24	N<10	18.0	1 withdrew, 1 exempted with special needs, 1 exempted English Language Learner (ELL)
Comments:					

2.2.2.6 School-Aged Children Reading on Grade Level

In the table below, provide the number of school-age children who read on or above grade level ("met goal"). The source of these data is usually determined by the State and, in some cases, by the school district. Please indicate the source(s) of the data in the "Explanation" field.

Grade	# in Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (include source of data)
K	27	27	Minimum improvement is the letter grade of C (average) or better in reading or a score of Proficient or Above on school-administered measures of reading (ex., DIBELS).
1	14	14	Minimum improvement is the letter grade of C (average) or better in reading or a score of Proficient or Above on school-administered measures of reading (ex., DIBELS).
2	11	11	Minimum improvement is the letter grade of C (average) or better in reading or a score of Proficient or Above on school-administered measures of reading (ex., DIBELS).
3	10	10	Minimum improvement is the letter grade of C (average) or better in reading or a score of Proficient or Above on school-administered measures of reading (ex., DIBELS).
Comments:			

2.2.2.7 Parents Who Show Improvement on Measures of Parental Support for Children's Learning in the Home, School Environment, and Through Interactive Learning Activities

In the table below, provide the number of parents who show improvement ("met goal") on measures of parental support for children's learning in the home, school environment, and through interactive learning activities.

While many states are using the PEP, other assessments of parenting education are acceptable. Please describe results and the source(s) of any non-PEP data in the "Other" field, with appropriate information in the Explanation field.

	# in Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
PEP Scale I	139	113	157 Parents, 139 with pre/post scores.
PEP Scale II	139	116	157 Parents, 139 with pre/post scores.
PEP Scale III	20	20	38 parents, 20 with pre/post scores.
PEP Scale IV	20	20	38 parents, 20 with pre/post scores.
Other			
Comments: Grantees were required to collect pre/post scores on the PEP scales I and II. Scores III and IV were optional this year. The goal was for any improvement in the score. This measure has been modified during the 2010-11 year as we require all 4 scores and improvement will be more closely measured as per the updated program indicators.			

2.3   EDUCATION OF MIGRANT CHILDREN (TITLE I, PART C)

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (Title I, Part C) for the reporting period of September 1, 2009 through August 31, 2010. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migrant children;
- Academic data of eligible migrant students;
- Participation data of migrant children served during either the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year;
- School data;
- Project data;
- Personnel data.

Where the table collects data by age/grade, report children in the highest age/grade that they attained during the reporting period. For example, a child who turns 3 during the reporting period would only be reported in the "Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)" row.

FAQs in section 1.10 contain definitions of out-of-school and ungraded that are used in this section.

2.3.1   Population Data

The following questions collect data on eligible migrant children.

2.3.1.1 Eligible Migrant Children

In the table below, provide the underlined <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children by age/grade. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Eligible Migrant Children
Age birth through 2	237
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	629
K	219
1	226
2	188
3	192
4	159
5	143
6	119
7	137
8	122
9	89
10	82
11	59
12	26
Ungraded	54
Out-of-school	1,317
Total	3,998
Comments: Data comes from the MIS2000 database, the migrant database.	

2.3.1.2 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant children who have been classified as having "Priority for Services." The total is calculated automatically. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	18
K	43
1	55
2	42
3	45
4	38
5	28
6	34
7	24
8	25
9	17
10	22
11	14
12	N<10
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	
Comments: This is certified as coming from MIS2000,the migrant database. Kentucky reworked the PFS form and data collection process associated with it. This has resulted in a more streamlined process as well as providing clearer instructions on who qualifies as PFS.	

FAQ on priority for services:
Who is classified as having "priority for service?" Migratory children who are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet the State''s challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, <u>and</u> whose education has been interrupted during the regular school year.

2.3.1.3 Limited English Proficient

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant children who are also limited English proficient (LEP). The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	124
K	77
1	72
2	57
3	47
4	34
5	24
6	21
7	23
8	22
9	25
10	16
11	10
12	N<10
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	
Comments: The data is certified from MIS2000, the migrant database. The number of migrant children in Kentucky has increased 21.5% from the previous year. Therefore, the number of LEP migrant children has increased as well. Also, more students have been given the WIDA/WAPT test, which has led to an increase of LEP-identified students who were not tested in the past. A large number of children 0-2 years old have "transitioned" into the count and have been tested as well, which contributes to the increase.	

2.3.1.4 Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*)

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant children who are also Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*) under Part B or Part C of the *IDEA*. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*)
Age birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	27
K	21
1	26
2	19
3	19
4	18
5	16
6	15
7	22
8	13
9	12
10	10
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	
Comments: The data is certified from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.1.5 Last Qualifying Move

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant children by when the last qualifying move occurred. The months are calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31, 2009. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Last Qualifying Move Is within X months from the last day of the reporting period			
	12 Months	**Previous 13 – 24 Months**	**Previous 25 – 36 Months**	**Previous 37 – 48 Months**
Age birth through 2	146	59	27	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	209	222	124	57
K	84	66	48	21
1	72	81	46	27
2	64	55	40	26
3	60	62	36	29
4	59	41	30	26
5	63	32	23	23
6	47	32	22	18
7	46	31	30	29
8	46	34	25	15
9	29	29	13	16
10	29	18	22	13
11	20	15	11	12
12	N<10	N<10	N<10	N<10
Ungraded	15	19	13	N<10
Out-of-school	552	516	166	79
Total				
Comments: The data is certified as having come from MIS2000, the Kentucky migrant database. There has been a 21.5% increase in Kentucky's migrant population; therefore, the amount of children having mobility in the past 12 months has increased.				

2.3.1.6 Qualifying Move During Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children with any qualifying move during the regular school year within the previous 36 months calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31, 2009. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Move During Regular School Year
Age birth through 2	230
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	610
K	218
1	225
2	185
3	184
4	157
5	141
6	119
7	136
8	120
9	87
10	82
11	58
12	26
Ungraded	54
Out-of-school	1,313
Total	3,945
Comments: The data is certified from MIS2000, the migrant database. Kentucky has seen an increase in migrant children that has caused our numbers to increase from last year. There has also been a data cleanup, improving the accuracy of numbers from last year.	

2.3.2   Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of **eligible** migrant students.

2.3.2.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Grade	Dropped Out
7	
8	
9	N<10
10	N<10
11	10
12	N<10
Ungraded	
Total	
Comments: The assessment and accountability office is responsible for reporting this information from Infinite Campus (IC), the state student database.	

FAQ on Dropouts:
How is "dropped out of school" defined? The term used for students, who, during the reporting period, were enrolled in a public school for at least one day, but who subsequently left school with no plans on returning to enroll in a school and continue toward a high school diploma. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2008-09 reporting period should be classified NOT as "dropped-out-of-school" but as "out-of-school youth."

2.3.2.2 GED

In the table below, provide the total underlined number of **eligible** migrant students who obtained a General Education Development (GED) Certificate in your state.

Obtained a GED in your state	N<10
Comments: This number is verified by MIS2000, the Kentucky Migrant database.	

2.3.2.3   Participation in State Assessments

The following questions collect data about the participation of eligible migrant students in State Assessments.

2.3.2.3.1 Reading/Language Arts Participation

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant students enrolled in school during the State testing window and tested by the State reading/language arts assessment by grade level. The totals are calculated automatically.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	186	186
4	153	153
5	179	179
6	178	178
7	216	216
8	184	184
HS	139	139
Ungraded		
Total	1,235	1,235

Comments: After a conference call with USDOE migrant staff, we are providing the correct data for this section here in the comment section:
GRADE ENROLLED TESTED
3 123 81
4 98 61
5 92 64
6 77 51
7 91 58
8 74 55
HS 211 24
Total 766 394

The KYMEP has decided that it will find a way to link MIS2000, the migrant database, and Infinite Camppus, the state student data system. Specifically, the migrant student's SSID (student identifier) from Infinite Campus will be used in MIS2000. This will allow for electronic transfer of student records and will also allow for key information to be uploaded to MSIX.

2.3.2.3.2 Mathematics Participation

This section is similar to 2.3.2.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on migrant students and the State's mathematics assessment.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	186	186
4	153	153
5	179	179
6	178	178
7	216	216
8	184	184
HS	129	129
Ungraded		
Total	1,225	1,225

Comments: After a conference call with USDOE migrant staff, we are providing the correct data for this section here in the comment section:
GRADE ENROLLED TESTED
3 123 82
4 98 63
5 92 65
6 77 52
7 91 58
8 74 55

HS 211 24
Total 766 399

The KYMEP has decided that it will find a way to link MIS2000, the migrant database, and Infinite Campus, the state student data system. Specifically, the migrant student's SSID (student identifier) from Infinite Campus will be used in MIS2000. This will allow for electronic transfer of student records and will also allow for key information to be uploaded to MSIX.

2.3.3   MEP Participation Data

The following questions collect data about the participation of migrant students served during the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year.

Unless otherwise indicated, participating migrant children include:

- Children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds.
- Children who received a MEP-funded service, even those children who continued to receive services (1) during the term their eligibility ended, (2) for one additional school year after their eligibility ended, if comparable services were not available through other programs, and (3) in secondary school after their eligibility ended, and served through credit accrual programs until graduation (e.g., children served under the continuation of services authority, Section 1304(e)(1–3)).

Do not include:

- Children who were served through a Title I SWP where MEP funds were consolidated with those of other programs.
- Children who were served by a "referred" service only.

2.3.3.1   MEP Participation Regular School Year

The following questions collect data on migrant children who participated in the MEP during the **regular** school year. Do not include:

- Children who were only served during the summer/intersession term.

2.3.3.1.1 MEP Students Served During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the regular school year. Do **not** count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Regular School Year
Age Birth through 2	156
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	97
K	149
1	142
2	107
3	133
4	100
5	82
6	84
7	86
8	87
9	57
10	57
11	35
12	17
Ungraded	29
Out-of-school	898
Total	2,316

Comments: These numbers are certified as coming from MIS2000, the migrant database. The numbers were updated after a glitch in the report was found and corrections were made.

2.3.3.1.2 Priority for Services- During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the regular school year. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	N<10
K	28
1	25
2	11
3	15
4	22
5	17
6	11
7	16
8	18
9	17
10	15
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	
Comments: This data is certified as coming from M152000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.1.3 Continuation of Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the regular school year served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten	
K	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	N<10
6	N<10
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	

Comments: This is certified as coming from MIS2000, the migrant database. Training was done with district staff to ensure that kids who were served throughout the school year when eligibility had already expired were not included in the count.

2.3.3.1.4   Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the regular school year.

FAQ on Services:
What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are not considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

2.3.3.1.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the regular school year. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten	55
K	69
1	78
2	67
3	83
4	55
5	42
6	40
7	39
8	34
9	24
10	32
11	21
12	N<10
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	
Comments: This data came from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.1.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the regular school year. Include children who received such instructional services provided by <u>a teacher only</u>. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	N<10	N<10	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	51	38	
K	66	48	
1	71	52	
2	56	46	
3	70	58	
4	47	45	
5	37	26	
6	32	30	
7	34	30	
8	26	22	
9	16	15	54
10	23	21	49
11	18	17	32
12	N<10	N<10	16
Ungraded	N<10	N<10	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10	N<10	N<10
Total			
Comments: This data came from MIS2000, the migrant database.			

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is *"high school credit accrual"?* Instruction in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a <u>teacher</u> for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. Includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher.

2.3.3.1.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> MEP-funded support service during the regular school year. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the regular school year. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	N<10	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	82	N<10
K	108	N<10
1	98	N<10
2	97	N<10
3	91	N<10
4	81	13
5	67	N<10
6	65	N<10
7	72	N<10
8	66	N<10
9	38	N<10
10	38	10
11	33	N<10
12	12	N<10
Ungraded	N<10	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10	N<10
Total		
Comments: This data came from the migrant database, MIS2000.		

FAQs on Support Services:

a. *What are support services?* These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. *What are counseling services?* Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

2.3.3.1.4.4 Referred Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who, during the regular school year, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. <u>Do not include children who were referred, but received no services</u>. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	89
K	115
1	124
2	103
3	105
4	83
5	76
6	72
7	74
8	58
9	45
10	36
11	30
12	13
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	
Comments: The data comes from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.2   MEP Participation Summer/Intersession Term

The questions in this subsection are similar to the questions in the previous section with one difference. The questions in this subsection collect data on the **summer/intersession term** instead of the regular school year.

2.3.3.2.1 MEP Students Served During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. Do <u>not</u> count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Summer/Intersession Term
Age Birth through 2	17
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	173
K	97
1	106
2	101
3	81
4	71
5	64
6	55
7	46
8	54
9	41
10	32
11	25
12	16
Ungraded	12
Out-of-school	51
Total	1,042
Comments: The data is verified as coming from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.2.2 Priority for Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	N<10
K	N<10
1	N<10
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	N<10
10	N<10
11	
12	N<10
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	
Comments: The data is verified as coming from MIS2000, the migrant database. It was cross-checked with a list of all PFS students from the 09-10 school year and then the students who attended summer camps.	

2.3.3.2.3 Continuation of Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	N<10
K	
1	N<10
2	N<10
3	
4	N<10
5	
6	N<10
7	
8	N<10
9	
10	
11	N<10
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	
Comments: This is verified as coming from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.2.4   Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the summer/intersession term.

FAQ on Services:
What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are NOT considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

2.3.3.2.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	13
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	163
K	90
1	96
2	94
3	70
4	64
5	57
6	51
7	44
8	52
9	39
10	31
11	19
12	14
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	51
Total	
Comments: This data comes from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.2.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	N<10	N<10	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	132	115	
K	86	75	
1	89	79	
2	85	74	
3	67	61	
4	61	54	
5	54	43	
6	44	42	
7	37	35	
8	43	37	
9	27	23	
10	25	18	
11	17	15	
12	11	10	
Ungraded	N<10	N<10	
Out-of-school	38	11	
Total			
Comments: The data comes from MIS2000, the migrant database.			

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is *"high school credit accrual"?* Instruction in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a teacher for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. Includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher.

2.3.3.2.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any MEP-funded support service during the summer/intersession term. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the summer/intersession term. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	15	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	136	N<10
K	74	N<10
1	81	N<10
2	71	N<10
3	64	N<10
4	49	N<10
5	39	N<10
6	34	N<10
7	36	N<10
8	42	N<10
9	29	N<10
10	20	N<10
11	20	N<10
12	12	N<10
Ungraded	10	N<10
Out-of-school	51	N<10
Total	783	
Comments: The data comes from MIS2000, the migrant database.		

FAQs on Support Services:

a. *What are support services?* These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. *What are counseling services?* Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

2.3.3.2.4.4 Referred Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who, during the summer/intersession term, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	73
K	50
1	43
2	44
3	30
4	28
5	28
6	22
7	21
8	26
9	13
10	N<10
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	N<10
Out-of-school	15
Total	
Comments: The data comes from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.3.3 MEP Participation – Program Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the program year. Do <u>not</u> count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During the Program Year
Age Birth through 2	N<10
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	425
K	165
1	170
2	136
3	155
4	105
5	94
6	93
7	96
8	91
9	63
10	63
11	42
12	18
Ungraded	31
Out-of-school	811
Total	
Comments: This data is verified as coming from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.4   School Data

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migrant children in schools during the regular school year.

2.3.4.1 Schools and Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled **eligible** migrant children at any time during the <u>regular school year</u>. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	#
Number of schools that enrolled eligible migrant children	305
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	1,671
Comments: This is verified as having come from MIS2000, the migrant database.	

2.3.4.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the <u>regular school year</u>. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	#
Number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in a schoolwide program	
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	
Comments: Kentucky does not allow MEP funds to be consolidated in a schoolwide program. Last year's data was inaccurate as it was discovered that KY does not allow this, and never has.	

2.3.5   MEP Project Data

The following questions collect data on MEP projects.

2.3.5.1 Type of MEP Project

In the table below, provide the number of projects that are funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. A MEP project is the entity that receives MEP funds by a subgrant from the State or through an intermediate entity that receives the subgrant and provides services directly to the migrant child. Do not include projects where MEP funds were consolidated in SWP.

Also, provide the number of migrant children **participating** in the projects. Since children may participate in more than one project, the number of children may include duplicates.

Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Type of MEP Project	Number of MEP Projects	Number of Migrant Children Participating in the Projects
Regular school year – school day only	3	36
Regular school year – school day/extended day	1	20
Summer/intersession only	0	
Year round	48	3,752
Comments: This information was provided by the regional offices and local programs.		

FAQs on type of MEP project:

a. *What is a project?* A project is any entity that receives MEP funds either as a subgrantee or from a subgrantee and provides services directly to migrant children in accordance with the State Service Delivery Plan and State approved subgrant applications. A project's services may be provided in one or more sites.

b. *What are Regular School Year – School Day Only projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the school day during the regular school year.

c. *What are Regular School Year – School Day/Extended Day projects?* Projects where some or all MEP services are provided during an extended day or week during the regular school year (e.g., some services are provided during the school day and some outside of the school day; e.g., all services are provided outside of the school day).

d. *What are Summer/Intersession Only projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the summer/intersession term.

e. *What are Year Round projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the regular school year and summer/intersession term.

2.3.6   MEP Personnel Data

The following questions collect data on MEP personnel data.

2.3.6.1   Key MEP Personnel

The following questions collect data about the key MEP personnel.

2.3.6.1.1 MEP State Director

In the table below, provide the FTE amount of time the State director performs MEP duties (<u>regardless of whether the director is funded by State, MEP, or other funds</u>) during the reporting period (e.g., September 1 through August 31). Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

State Director FTE	0.80
Comments: This is verified by the State Director of the KYMEP.	

FAQs on the MEP State director

a. *How is the FTE calculated for the State director?* Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked for the MEP. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one *FTE* for the State director in your State for the reporting period. To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the State director worked for the MEP during the reporting period and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in the reporting period.

b. *Who is the State director?* The manager within the SEA who administers the MEP on a statewide basis.

2.3.6.1.2 MEP Staff

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE by job classification of the staff funded by the MEP. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Job Classification	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Teachers	65	33.72	77	61.38
Counselors	1	1.00	0	0.00
All paraprofessionals	27	18.92	52	46.11
Recruiters	43	26.82	14	11.30
Records transfer staff	9	6.63	2	1.15
Comments: This information has been verified as accurate by the local school districts. The numbers of MEP recruiters have decreased because many more are wearing multiple hats and can be classified as paraprofessionals, teachers,and/or advocates. Also, more recruiting is being done at the regional level by regional offices, which employ less staff than a district program.				

Note: The Headcount value displayed represents the greatest whole number submitted in file specification N/X065 for the corresponding Job Classification. For example, an ESS submitted value of 9.8 will be represented in your CSPR as 9.

FAQs on MEP staff:

a. *How is the FTE calculated?* The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
   1. To calculate the FTE, in each job category, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
   2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for each job classification in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked in a particular job classification for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.

b. *Who is a teacher?* A classroom instructor who is licensed and meets any other teaching requirements in the State.

c. *Who is a counselor?* A professional staff member who guides individuals, families, groups, and communities by assisting them in problem-solving, decision-making, discovering meaning, and articulating goals related to personal, educational, and career development.

d. *Who is a paraprofessional?* An individual who: (1) provides one-on-one tutoring if such tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher; (2) assists with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials; (3) provides instructional assistance in a computer laboratory; (4) conducts parental involvement activities; (5) provides support in a library or media center; (6) acts as a translator; or (7) provides instructional support services under the direct supervision of a teacher (Title I, Section 1119(g)(2)). Because a paraprofessional provides instructional support, he/she should not be providing planned direct instruction or introducing to students new skills, concepts, or academic content. Individuals who work in food services, cafeteria or playground supervision, personal care services, non-instructional computer assistance, and similar positions are not considered paraprofessionals under Title I.

e. *Who is a recruiter?* A staff person responsible for identifying and recruiting children as eligible for the MEP and documenting their eligibility on the Certificate of Eligibility.

f. *Who is a record transfer staffer?* An individual who is responsible for entering, retrieving, or sending student records from or to another school or student records system.

2.3.6.1.3 Qualified Paraprofessionals

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE of the qualified paraprofessionals funded by the MEP. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Qualified Paraprofessionals	27	18.90	52	46.10
Comments: This data has been verified as accurate by the local school districts.				

FAQs on qualified paraprofessionals:

a. *How is the FTE calculated?* The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
   1. To calculate the FTE, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
   2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.

b. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional?* A qualified paraprofessional must have a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent and have (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Sections 1119(c) and (d) of *ESEA*).

2.4   **PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK (TITLE I, PART D, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)**

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
  - **Adult Corrections:** An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
  - **At-Risk Programs:** Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
  - **Juvenile Corrections:** An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
  - **Juvenile Detention Facilities:** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.
  - **Multiple Purpose Facility:** An institution/facility/program that serves more than one programming purpose. For example, the same facility may run both a juvenile correction program and a juvenile detention program.
  - **Neglected Programs:** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
  - **Other:** Any other programs, not defined above, which receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

2.4.1   State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities- Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

2.4.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
Neglected programs	0	0
Juvenile detention	0	0
Juvenile corrections	36	85
Adult corrections	10	82
Other	0	0
Total	46	85

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	0
Comments:	

FAQ on Programs and Facilities - Subpart I:
How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

2.4.1.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
Neglected Programs	0
Juvenile Detention	0
Juvenile Corrections	36
Adult Corrections	10
Other	0
Total	46
Comments:	

2.4.1.2 Students Served – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 that are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served			2,755	86	
Long Term Students Served			1,397	40	

Race/Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native			N<10	N<10	
Asian or Pacific Islander			10	N<10	
Black, non-Hispanic			766	44	
Hispanic			73	N<10	
White, non-Hispanic			1,904	38	
Total					

Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Male			2,281	81	
Female			474	N<10	
Total			2,755		

Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3 through 5			N<10	N<10	
6			N<10	N<10	
7			N<10	N<10	
8			N<10	N<10	
9			N<10	N<10	
10			N<10	N<10	
11			N<10	N<10	
12			78	N<10	
13			177	N<10	
14			305	N<10	
15			484	N<10	
16			697	N<10	
17			699	N<10	
18			266	N<10	
19			36	20	
20			N<10	60	
21			N<10	N<10	
Total					

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain in comment box below.

This response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments:

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:
What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

2.4.1.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

# Programs That	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Awarded high school course credit(s)	0	36	0	0
Awarded high school diploma(s)	0	33	0	0
Awarded GED(s)	0	25	9	0
Comments:				

2.4.1.4   Academic Outcomes Subpart 1

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

2.4.1.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Earned high school course credits		2,099	N<10	
Enrolled in a GED program		220	72	
Comments:				

2.4.1.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in their local district school		1,280	N<10	
Earned a GED		162	24	
Obtained high school diploma		154	N<10	
Were accepted into post-secondary education		73	N<10	
Enrolled in post-secondary education		50	N<10	
Comments:				

2.4.1.5   Vocational Outcomes Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

2.4.1.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the underlined number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs		671	N<10	
Comments:				

2.4.1.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the underlined number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in external job training education		96	N<10	
Obtained employment		221	21	
Comments:				

2.4.1.6   Academic Performance Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

2.4.1.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the underlined number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in reading testing. In the first table, report the number of students who tested below grade level upon entry based on their pre-test. A post-test is not required to answer this item. Then, indicate the number of students who completed both a pre-test and a post-test. In the second table, report only students who participated in both pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories in the second table below.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2009, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Below the tables is an FAQ about the data collected in these tables.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		1,109	39	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		1,014	37	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		176	N<10	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		92	N<10	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		141	N<10	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		118	10	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		487	17	
Comments:				

FAQ on long-term students:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

2.4.1.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

This section is similar to 2.4.1.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		1,118	39	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		1,021	40	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		189	N<10	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		103	N<10	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		170	N<10	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		157	N<10	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		402	22	
Comments:				

2.4.2   LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities‑ Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

2.4.2.1 Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

LEA Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay (# days)
At-risk programs	10	41
Neglected programs	0	0
Juvenile detention	12	22
Juvenile corrections	10	91
Other	0	0
Total	32	29

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	2
Comments:	

FAQ on average length of stay:
How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

2.4.2.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

LEA Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
At-risk programs	10
Neglected programs	0
Juvenile detention	12
Juvenile corrections	10
Other	0
Total	32
Comments:	

2.4.2.2 Students Served – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the underlined number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served	1,668		5,565	593	
Total Long Term Students Served	263		298	303	

Race/Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native	N<10		N<10	N<10	
Asian or Pacific Islander	15		14	N<10	
Black, non-Hispanic	249		1,722	137	
Hispanic	37		126	19	
White, non-Hispanic	1,363		3,700	434	
Total					

Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Male	862		4,076	424	
Female	806		1,489	169	
Total	1,668		5,565	593	

Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
3-5	N<10		N<10	N<10	
6	N<10		N<10	N<10	
7	N<10		N<10	N<10	
8	N<10		N<10	N<10	
9	N<10		N<10	N<10	
10	N<10		N<10	N<10	
11	10		13	N<10	
12	61		86	12	
13	86		307	37	
14	133		577	73	
15	453		1,008	133	
16	351		1,342	151	
17	356		1,762	136	
18	178		468	46	
19	31		N<10	N<10	
20	N<10		N<10	N<10	
21					
Total					

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain. The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments:

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

2.4.2.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

LEA Programs That	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention/ Corrections	Other Programs
Awarded high school course credit(s)	10	0	18	0
Awarded high school diploma(s)	10	0	14	0
Awarded GED(s)	3	0	9	0
Comments:				

2.4.2.4   Academic Outcomes– Subpart 2

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

2.4.2.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Earned high school course credits	402		1,401	
Enrolled in a GED program	N<10		140	
Comments:				

2.4.2.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Enrolled in their local district school	681		3,586	
Earned a GED	N<10		63	
Obtained high school diploma	61		83	
Were accepted into post-secondary education	N<10		46	
Enrolled in post-secondary education	N<10		41	
Comments:				

2.4.2.5   Vocational Outcomes Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

2.4.2.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs	39		991	
Comments:				

2.4.2.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Enrolled in external job training education	N<10		12	
Obtained employment	20		58	
Comments:				

2.4.2.6   Academic Performance - Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

2.4.2.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in reading testing. In the first table, report the number of students who tested below grade level upon entry based on their pre-test. A post-test is not required to answer this item. Then, indicate the number of students who completed both a pre-test and a post-test. In the second table, report only students who participated in both pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories in the second table below.
Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2009, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Below the tables is an FAQ about the data collected in these tables.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry	135		470	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)	162		511	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	38		114	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	14		64	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	28		61	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	42		62	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	40		210	
Comments:				

FAQ on long-term:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010.

2.4.2.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

This section is similar to 2.4.2.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry	150		511	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)	168		531	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	34		98	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	20		59	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	36		64	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	40		68	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	38		242	
Comments:				

2.7 *SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES ACT* (TITLE IV, PART A)

This section collects data on student behaviors under the *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act.*

2.7.1 Performance Measures

In the table below, provide actual performance data.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
Safe schools or behavior data is collected and reported annually by the school and district. KDE receives reports of all law and board violations that result in out-of-school suspension, expulsion with/without services, and corporal punishment(where applicable).	Safe school or behavior data is reported at the school level in the student information system used in all school districts. This data is submitted either by export or data pull on or after June 30 of each school year to the Kentucky Department of Education. The raw data is sent to the Kentucky Center for School Safety at Eastern Kentucky University for analysis and publication as the Kentucky Annual Safe Schools Data Report.	annually	2009-10	2007-08: NA 2008-09: NA 2009-10: NA 2010-11: NA 2011-12:	2007-08: NA 2008-09: NA 2009-10: NA	Kentucky has no targets, other than to decrease the total number of discipline incidents in our schools each year.	1993
Comments:							

2.7.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

The following questions collect data on the out-of-school suspension and expulsion of students by grade level (e.g., K through 5, 6 through 8, 9 through 12) and type of incident (e.g., violence, weapons possession, alcohol-related, illicit drug-related).

2.7.2.1 State Definitions

In the spaces below, provide the State definitions for each type of incident.

Incident Type	State Definition
Alcohol related	The refers to possession and use, and/or distribution of a liquor, brew, or mixture containing alcohol. This category also includes driving under the influence of alcohol with blood alcohol levels of .08 for those above age 21, and .02 for those below age 21.
Illicit drug related	Refers to the illegal possession and use, and/or distribution of the following drugs: marijuana/hashish, hallucinogenics, amphetamines, barbiturates, heroin, crack/cocaine, prescription drugs, inhalants, and other drugs not listed above.
Violent incident without physical injury	Refers to fighting with three categories of minor physical aggression: Fighting - student to student; Fighting - student to staff; Fighting- student to other (non-students or non-school adults).
Violent incident with physical injury	Refers to assault with two categories: Assault in the 1st degree - causes serious physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument; or manifests extreme indifference to the value of human life by wantonly engaging in conduct which creates a grave risk of death or causes serious physical injury to another person. Assault in the 2nd degree - intentionally causes serious physical injury to another person; or intentionally causes physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument.
Weapons possession	Refers to possession of a handgun, a rifle, other firearm, or other weapon (knife, etc.)
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.	

2.7.2.2   Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on <u>violent incident without physical injury</u>.

2.7.2.2.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for <u>violent incident without physical injury</u> by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on <u>violent incident without physical injury</u>, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	1,930	174
6 through 8	6,044	174
9 through 12	5,776	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.2.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for <u>violent incident without physical injury</u> by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on <u>violent incident without physical injury</u>, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	N<10	174
9 through 12	11	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.3   Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident with physical injury.

2.7.2.3.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	14	174
9 through 12	36	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.3.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	N<10	174
9 through 12	N<10	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.4   Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Weapons Possession

The following sections collect data on weapons possession.

2.7.2.4.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	29	174
6 through 8	56	174
9 through 12	104	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.4.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	N<10	174
9 through 12	11	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.5   Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on alcohol-related incidents.

2.7.2.5.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	74	174
9 through 12	209	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.5.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	N<10	174
9 through 12	N<10	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.6   Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on illicit drug-related incidents.

2.7.2.6.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	15	174
6 through 8	331	174
9 through 12	1,453	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.2.6.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	N<10	174
6 through 8	22	174
9 through 12	83	174
Comments: Source: Manual entry by the SEA into the online student information system.		

2.7.3 Parent Involvement

In the table below, provide the types of efforts your State uses to inform parents of, and include parents in, drug and violence prevention efforts. Place a check mark next to the five <u>most common</u> efforts underway in your State. If there are other efforts underway in your State not captured on the list, add those in the other specify section.

Y	Parental Involvement Activities
Yes	Information dissemination on Web sites and in publications, including newsletters, guides, brochures, and "report cards" on school performance
Yes	Training and technical assistance to LEAs on recruiting and involving parents
Yes	State requirement that parents must be included on LEA advisory councils
Yes	State and local parent training, meetings, conferences, and workshops
Yes	Parent involvement in State-level advisory groups
Yes	Parent involvement in school-based teams or community coalitions
Yes	Parent surveys, focus groups, and/or other assessments of parent needs and program effectiveness
Yes	Media and other campaigns (Public service announcements, red ribbon campaigns, kick-off events, parenting awareness month, safe schools week, family day, etc.) to raise parental awareness of drug and alcohol or safety issues
No Response	Other Specify 1
No Response	Other Specify 2

In the space below, specify 'other' parental activities.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Outside of schools, Kentucky parents are also involved in local activities and regional "town meetings" held by Kentucky ASAP (Agency for Substance Abuse Policy) Boards to talk about teenage drug/alcohol use and prevention.

2.9   **RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP) TITLE VI, PART B, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)**

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title VI, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

2.9.1 LEA Use of Alternative Funding Authority Under the Small Rural Achievement (SRSA) Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 1)

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that notified the State of their intent to use the alternative uses funding authority under Section 6211.

	# LEAs
# LEA's using SRSA alternative uses of funding authority	0
Comments:	

2.9.2 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEA
Teacher recruitment and retention, including the use of signing bonuses and other financial incentives	6
Teacher professional development, including programs that train teachers to utilize technology to improve teaching and to train special needs teachers	36
Educational technology, including software and hardware as described in Title II, Part D	21
Parental involvement activities	5
Activities authorized under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program (Title IV, Part A)	8
Activities authorized under Title I, Part A	33
Activities authorized under Title III (Language instruction for LEP and immigrant students)	4
Comments:	

2.9.2.1 Goals and Objectives

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income Schools (RLIS) Program as described in its June 2002 Consolidated State application. Provide quantitative data where available.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Most districts continued to focus their RLIS funds on content area professional development and monitoring of instructional strategies for teachers, allowing districts to better target identified student academic needs and to increase the effectiveness of teachers. Additionally, there was a continued focus on assessment software to collect summative data on students, through tools such as ThinkLink, GRADE (Reading), G-MADE (Math) and MAPS. These assessments allowed districts to better track student progress and target them for interventions.

These uses of funds addressed the following Kentucky goals from the 2002 Consolidated State Application:

• 1.1: Every student in school and making strong progress.
• 1.2: Every student achieving at high levels.
• 2.1: Every teacher competent, caring and qualified.
• 3.3: Every school with sufficient instructional resources for high achievement.

Specific examples of how some districts used the RLIS funds are as follows:

Harlan County used their funds for a Technology Integration Specialist to provide technology-related trainings to teachers dealing with the use of SmartBoards to improve the delivery of instruction. He also provided training and support in the use of on-line formative assessments as well as on-line curriculum resources. Additionally, the funds were used for an Achievement Gap Coordinator to provide regular feedback and support to schools regarding the use of instructional strategies which have the most significant implications for overall student performance as well as in the reduction of achievement gaps. This was accomplished through constant tracking and monitoring of principal classroom observations.

Letcher County trained their Tier II Reading Intervention teachers in "Reading Revisited", a reading intervention program for Tier II students. The program was implemented district-wide at the middle school level. Math teachers, grades 3-5, participated in a research-based professional development project entitled, "Rational Numbers Project." The goal of this project was to deliver research-based instructional practices pertaining to teaching the concept of rational numbers.

Adair County utilized their funds for a Curriculum Specialist for the middle school. ThinkLink and learning checks three times a year show that students are narrowing the gaps which exist between students on free and reduced lunch and students with disabilities. The Curriculum Specialist has made the difference.

Campbellsville Independent hired an Instructional Assistant to assist students with IEPs in reading and math instruction. They have had increased overall Kentucky Core Content Test and ACT scores over the past two years in the district.

Metcalfe County purchased Smart Tools for the Smart Board to help a math teacher with effective implementation of strategies in the classroom. PLATO licenses were purchased as a supplemental instructional resource to use with students to improve student learning in the classroom. ACT on-line prep license agreements were purchased to help build skills in order for students to be successful. The Measures of Academic Progress assessment was purchased to help monitor student progress throughout the year in reading, math, and science.

These are only a few examples from a random sample of districts outlining how the districts used RLIS funds to increase student achievement and to prevent student dropouts.

2.10 **FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE VI, PART A, SUBPART 2)**

2.10.1 State Transferability of Funds

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 6123(a) during SY 2009-10?	_____No
Comments:	

2.10.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

	#
LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 6123(b).	3
Comments:	

2.10.2.1 LEA Funds Transfers

In the table below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds from an eligible program to another eligible program.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds FROM Eligible Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds TO Eligible Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)		1
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))		2
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	3	
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))		
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs		

In the table below provide the total amount of FY 2010 appropriated funds transferred from and to each eligible program.

Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred FROM Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred TO Eligible Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)		3,000.00
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))		8,380.00
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	11,380.00	
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))		
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs		
Total	11,380.00	11,380.00
Comments:		

The Department plans to obtain information on the use of funds under both the State and LEA Transferability Authority through evaluation studies.