

# **CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:**

**Parts I and II**

**for  
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS  
under the  
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT  
As amended by the  
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001**

For reporting on  
**School Year 2006-07**

**TENNESSEE**



**PART I DUE FRIDAY, DECEMBER 28, 2007  
PART II DUE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2008**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20202**

## INTRODUCTION

Sections 9302 and 9303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB) provide to States the option of applying for and reporting on multiple ESEA programs through a single consolidated application and report. Although a central, practical purpose of the Consolidated State Application and Report is to reduce "red tape" and burden on States, the Consolidated State Application and Report are also intended to have the important purpose of encouraging the integration of State, local, and ESEA programs in comprehensive planning and service delivery and enhancing the likelihood that the State will coordinate planning and service delivery across multiple State and local programs. The combined goal of all educational agencies—State, local, and Federal—is a more coherent, well-integrated educational plan that will result in improved teaching and learning.

- The Consolidated State Application and Report includes the following ESEA programs:
  - Title I, Part A – Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies
  - Title I, Part B, Subpart 3 – William F. Goodling Even Start Family Literacy Programs
  - Title I, Part C – Education of Migratory Children
  - Title I, Part D – Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk
  - Title I, Part F – Comprehensive School Reform
  - Title II, Part A – Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund)
  - Title II, Part D – Enhancing Education through Technology
  - Title III, Part A – English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act
  - Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 – Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants
  - Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2 – Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Activities (Community Service Grant Program)
  - Title IV, Part B – 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers.
  - Title V, Part A – Innovative Programs
  - Title VI, Section 6111 – Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities
  - Title VI, Part B – Rural Education Achievement Program
  - Title X, Part C – Education for Homeless Children and Youths

The NCLB Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) for school year (SY) 2006-07 consists of two information collections.

## **PART I**

Part I of the CSPR requests information related to the five ESEA Goals, established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application, and information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in Section 1111(h)(4) of the ESEA. The five ESEA Goals established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application are:

**Performance Goal 1: By SY 2013-14, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.**

**Performance Goal 2: All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.**

**Performance Goal 3: By SY 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.**

**Performance Goal 4: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.**

**Performance Goal 5: All students will graduate from high school.**

Starting with SY 2005-06, collection of data for the Education of Homeless Children and Youths was added to Part I in order to provide timely data for the program's performance measures. This change allowed ED to retire OMB collection 1810-0650. For SY 2006-07, Migrant Education Program child count information that is used for funding purposes is now collected via Part I. This change allowed ED to retire OMB collection 1810-0519

## **PART II**

Part II of the CSPR consists of information related to State activities and outcomes of specific ESEA programs. While the information requested varies from program to program, the specific information requested for this report meets the following criteria:

1. The information is needed for Department program performance plans or for other program needs.
2. The information is not available from another source, including program evaluations.
3. The information will provide valid evidence of program outcomes or results.
4. The CSPR is the best vehicle for collection of the data.

## **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND TIMELINES**

All States that received funding on the basis of the Consolidated State Application for the SY 2006-07 must respond to this Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR). Part I of the Report is due to the Department by Friday, December 28, 2007. Part II of the Report is due to the Department by Friday, February 22, 2008. Both Part I and Part II should reflect data from the SY 2006-07, unless otherwise noted.

The format states will use to submit the Consolidated State Performance Report has changed to an online submission starting with SY 2004-05. This online submission system is being developed through the Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and will make the submission process less burdensome. Please see the following section on transmittal instructions for more information on how to submit this year's Consolidated State Performance Report.

## **TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS**

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) data will be collected online from the SEAs, using the EDEN web site. The EDEN web site will be modified to include a separate area (sub-domain) for CSPR data entry. This area will utilize EDEN formatting to the extent possible and the data will be entered in the order of the current CSPR forms. The data entry screens will include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, an effort will be made to design the screens to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2006-07 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data, by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY

2006-07 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN web site (<https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/>).

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1965, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0614. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 111 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimates(s) contact School Support and Technology Programs, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20202-6140. Questions about the new electronic CSPR submission process, should be directed to the EDEN Partner Support Center at 1-877-HLP-EDEN (1-877-457-3336).

OMB Number: 1810-0614 Expiration Date:  
10/31/2010

Consolidated State Performance Report  
For  
State Formula Grant Programs  
under the  
Elementary And Secondary Education Act  
as amended by the  
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting: Part I, 2006-07 X Part II, 2006-07

Name of State Educational Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report:

Tennessee Department of Education

Address:

710 James Robertson Pkwy, 5th Floor

Nashville, TN 37243 Person to contact about this report:

Name: Sherry Perry

Telephone: 615-253-5209

Fax: 615-253-5706

e-mail: [Sherry.Perry@state.tn.us](mailto:Sherry.Perry@state.tn.us)

Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type):

Julie McCargar

Wednesday, April 30, 2008, 4:40:37 PM

Signature Date

**CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:  
PART II**

For reporting on  
**School Year 2006-07**



**PART II DUE FEBRUARY 22, 2008**

## 2.1 IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE I, PART A)

This section collects data on Title I, Part A programs.

### 2.1.1 Student Achievement in Schools with Title I, Part A Programs

The following sections collect data on student academic achievement on the State's NCLB assessments in schools that receive Title I, Part A funds and operate either Schoolwide programs or Targeted Assistance programs.

#### 2.1.1.1 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

In the format of the table below, provide the number of students in SWP schools who completed the assessment and for whom a performance level was reported, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's NCLB mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of ESEA. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	41440	35390	85.4
4	40412	35043	86.7
5	38768	35063	90.4
6	29169	24796	85.0
7	26146	21839	83.5
8	26073	21829	83.7
High School	18126	10187	56.2
Total	220134	184147	83.7
<b>Comments: Edited to show only SWP programs.</b>			

Source – The table above is produced through EDFacts. The SEA submits the data in file N/X075 that is data group 583. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

**Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

#### 2.1.1.2 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's NCLB reading/language arts assessment in SWP.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	41293	37331	90.4
4	40287	34048	84.5
5	38661	36204	93.6
6	29111	25762	88.5
7	26056	22234	85.3
8	26022	23161	89.0
High School	12418	10709	86.2
Total	213848	189449	88.6
<b>Comments: Edited to show only SWP programs.</b>			

Source – The table above is produced through EDFacts. The SEA submits the data in files N/X076, N/X077, or N/X078 that are data group 584. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

**Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.1.1.3 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of students in TAS who completed the assessment and for whom a performance level was reported, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's NCLB mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b) (3) of ESEA. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	6986	6382	91.4
4	6633	6112	92.1
5	6059	5682	93.8
6	4750	4328	91.1
7	3737	3381	90.5
8	3624	3316	91.5
High School	77	62	80.5
Total	31866	29263	91.8
<b>Comments: Edited to show only TAS programs.</b>			

Source – The table above is produced through ED Facts. The SEA submits the data in file N/X075 that is data group 583. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

**Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.1.1.4 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.3. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's NCLB reading/language arts assessment in TAS.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment & a Performance Level Reported	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	6975	6549	93.9
4	6627	5999	90.5
5	6047	5812	96.1
6	4729	4457	94.2
7	3731	3448	92.4
8	3621	3444	95.1
High School	39	37	94.9
Total	31769	29746	93.6
<b>Comments: Edited to show only TAS programs.</b>			

Source – The table above is produced through ED Facts. The SEA submits the data in files N/X076, N/X077, or N/X078 that are data group 584. In addition, the SEA submits the data in file N/X101 that includes data group 22.

**Note: New data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**



## 2.1.2 Title I, Part A Student Participation

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

### 2.1.2.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I SWP or TAS programs at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

	# Students Served
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	58144
Limited English proficient students	17776
Students who are homeless	5499
Migratory students	755
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X037 that is data group 538, category sets C-F. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly section 2.1.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.1.2.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either public Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Race/Ethnicity	# Students Served
American Indian or Alaska Native	611
Asian or Pacific Islander	3617
Black, non-Hispanic	160397
Hispanic	23565
White, non-Hispanic	214681
Total	402871
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – The table above is produced through EDFacts. The SEA submits the data in file N/X037, that is data group ID 548, category set B.

**Note: This table was formerly section 2.1.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The total row is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.1.2.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public targeted assistance programs (Public TAS), Title I schoolwide programs (Public SWP), private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected). The totals column by type of program will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	Public TAS	Public SWP	Private	Local Neglected	Total
Age 0-2	0	11	0	0	11
Age 3-5 (not Kindergarten)	3801	5327	N<10	N<10	9131
K	1881	45056	80	N<10	47023
1	3139	44081	96	N<10	47317
2	2653	42091	115	N<10	44860
3	2290	40631	119	N<10	43044
4	2029	39717	93	0	41839
5	1600	38026	98	18	39742
6	1073	28183	80	23	29359
7	1118	25635	44	70	26867
8	1107	25117	30	186	26440
9	213	13891	N<10	335	14443
10	234	11261	N<10	191	11688
11	224	9460	N<10	135	9821
12	194	8640	N<10	130	8969
Ungraded	62	2204	0	51	2317
TOTALS	21618	379331	769	1153	402871
<b>Comments:</b>					

Source – The table above is produced through ED Facts. The SEA submits the data in file N/X037, that is data group ID 548, category set A.

**Note: This table was formerly section 2.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The percent of total column has been deleted for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

#### 2.1.2.4 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional and Support Services

The following sections request data about the participation of students in TAS.

##### 2.1.2.4.1 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed instructional services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one instructional service. However, students should be reported only once for each instructional service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Mathematics	6767
Reading/language arts	11184
Science	335
Social studies	335
Vocational/career	60
Other instructional services	2260
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – The table above is produced through ED Facts. The SEA submits the data in file N/X036 that is data group ID 549, category set A.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.1.3.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

##### 2.1.2.4.2 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Support Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed support services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one support service. However, students should be reported only once for each support service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Health, dental, and eye care	232
Supporting guidance/advocacy	221
Other support services	N<10
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – The table above is produced through ED Facts. The SEA submits the data in file N/X036 that is data group ID 549, category set B.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.1.3.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.1.3 Staff Information for Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff funded by a Title I, Part A TAS in each of the staff categories. For staff who work with both TAS and SWP, report only the FTE attributable to their TAS responsibilities.

For paraprofessionals only, provide the percentage of paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) of 2002.

See the FAQs following the table for additional information.

Staff Category	Staff FTE	Percentage Qualified
Teachers	255.1	
Paraprofessionals <sup>1</sup>	332.1	100.0
Other paraprofessionals (translators, parental involvement, computer assistance) <sup>2</sup>	10.4	
Clerical support staff	13.4	
Administrators (non-clerical)	20.2	
<b>Comments:</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.1.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The following changes have been made to this table for the SY 2006-07 CSPR: Instructional Paraprofessionals has been relabeled to paraprofessionals, Non-instructional paraprofessionals has been relabeled to other paraprofessionals(translators, parental involvement, computer assistance), Support staff (clerical and non-clerical) has been relabeled to Clerical support staff, Other (specify) has been deleted, and percentage qualified has been added.**

#### FAQs on staff information

- a. *What is a "paraprofessional?" An employee of an LEA who provides instructional support in a program supported with Title I, Part A funds. Instructional support includes the following activities:*
  - (1) Providing one-on-one tutoring for eligible students, if the tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher;
  - (2) Providing assistance with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials;
  - (3) Providing assistance in a computer laboratory;
  - (4) Conducting parental involvement activities;
  - (5) Providing support in a library or media center;
  - (6) Acting as a translator; or
  - (7) Providing instructional services to students.
  
- b. *What is an "other paraprofessional?" Paraprofessionals who do not provide instructional support, for example, paraprofessionals who are translators or who work with parental involvement or computer assistance.*
  
- c. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional? A paraprofessional who has (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and been able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Section 1119(c) and (d).) For more information on qualified paraprofessionals, please refer to the Title I paraprofessionals Guidance, available at: <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/paraguidance.doc>.*
  - 1 Consistent with ESEA as amended by NCLB, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).
  - 2 Consistent with ESEA as amended by NCLB, Title I, Section 1119(e).

### 2.1.3.1 Paraprofessional Information for Title I, Part A Schoolwide Programs (formerly 1.5.4.)

In the table below, provide the number of FTE paraprofessionals who served in SWP and the percentage of these paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of ESEA. Use the additional guidance found below the previous table.

	<b>Paraprofessionals FTE</b>	<b>Percentage Qualified</b>
Paraprofessionals3	1826.7	100.0
<b>Comments:</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly section 1.5.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the paraprofessional FTE count has been added to this data collection.

3 Consistent with ESEA as amended by NCLB, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

## 2.2 WILLIAM F. GOODLING EVEN START FAMILY LITERACY PROGRAMS (TITLE I, PART B, SUBPART 3)

### 2.2.1 Subgrants and Even Start Program Participants

For the reporting program year July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007, please provide the following information:

#### 2.2.1.1 Federally Funded Even Start Subgrants in the State

Number of federally funded Even Start subgrants	18
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool

#### 2.2.1.2 Even Start Families Participating During the Year

In the table below, provide the number of participants for each of the groups listed below. The following terms apply:

1. "Participating" means enrolled and participating in all required core services.
2. "Adults" include teen parents. The number of participating children will be calculated automatically.

	# Participants
1. Families participating	348
2. Adults participating	371
3. Adults participating who are limited English proficient (LEP)	68
4. Participating children	492
a. Infants and toddlers (birth through 2 years)	164
b. Preschool age (age 3 through 5)	223
c. School age (age 6 through 8)	105
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: The participating children subcategories have been added to this data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

### 2.2.1.3 Characteristics of Newly Enrolled Families at the Time of Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of families at the time of enrollment for each of the groups listed below. The term "newly enrolled family" means a family who enrolls for the first time in the Even Start project at any time during the year.

	#
1. Number of newly enrolled families	82
2. Number of newly enrolled adult participants	91
3. Number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level	80
4. Number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment	67
5. Number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9th grade	15
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, three new rows have been added: the number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level, the number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment, and the number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9<sup>th</sup> grade** data collections have been changed from percent to number.

### 2.2.1.4 Retention of Families

In the table below, provide the number of families who are newly enrolled, those who exited the program during the year, and those continuing in the program. For families who have exited, count the time between the family's start date and exit date. For families still participating, count the time between the family's start date and the end of the reporting year (June 30, 2007). Report each family only once in lines 1-4. The total number of families participating will be automatically calculated.

Time in Program	# Families
1. Number of families participating 3 months or less	11
2. Number of families participating more than 3 months and fewer than 6 months	13
3. Number of families participating more than 6 months and fewer than 12 months	73
4. Number of families participating 12 months or longer	251
5. Total families participating	348
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: The additional calculation of total families participating is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR. This data collection has been changed from collecting percent of families to collecting number of families for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

## 2.2.2 Federal Even Start Performance Indicators

This section collects data about the federal Even Start Performance Indicators.

Describe your State's progress in meeting the federal performance indicators listed for Even Start participants. States should always provide an explanation if they are using measures that differ from what is specified.

â€¢ Reduction of funding has imposed additional constraints on the programs â€¢ All programs are represented in the data

â€¢ Discrepancies between numbers served and numbers with reported data:

1 Adult attendance Out of 371 adults served during 2006-07, 115 exited prior to June 30, 2007. 115 adults attended ABE and/or ELL classes 25 adults attended regular or adult high school 24 adults attended postsecondary education programs 57 adults attended job skills and/or vocational classes 171 adults were employed (91 of them also participated in one or more educational activity) 302 adults attended Parent Education and PACT classes

2 PALS scores

In two programs, the staff conducted all PALS assessment prior to the Spring. It was explained to them that PALS data for the annual report are collected in Spring.

**Note: This is a new data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading. To be counted under "pre-and post-test", an individual must have completed both the pre-and post-tests. Do not include LEP adults.

The definition of "significant learning gains" for adult education is determined by your State's adult education program in conjunction with the Department of Education's Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE).

These instructions/definitions apply to both 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.2.2.

	# Pre-and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	45	17	Additional 8 adults pre-tested at Level 6 (the highest level)
CASAS			Not applicable
Other			
<b>Comments:</b>			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of adults pre-and post-tested has been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection requests the number of adults who showed significant gains. This is different from the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which requested the percentage of adults who showed significant gains.**



### 2.2.2.2 LEP Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of LEP adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading.

	# Pre-and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	20	16	
CASAS			Not applicable
Other	25	15	BEST/BEST Plus
<b>Comments:</b>			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of adults pre-and post-tested has been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection requests the number of adults who showed significant gains. This is different from the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which requested the percentage of adults who showed significant gains.

### 2.2.2.3 Adults Earning a High School Diploma or GED

In the table below, provide the number of school-age adults who earned a high school diploma or GED.

The following terms apply:

1. "School-age adults" is defined as any parent attending an elementary or secondary school. This also includes those adults within the State's compulsory attendance range who are being served in an alternative school setting, such as directly through the Even Start program.
2. "Non-school-age" adults are any adults who do not meet the definition of "school-age."
3. "Cohort" includes only those adult participants who had a realistic goal of earning a high school diploma or GED.

Note that age limitations on taking the GED differ by State, so you should include only those adult participants for whom attainment of a GED or high school diploma is a possibility.

School-Age Adults	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	14	10	
GED	N<10	N<10	
Other			In addition, 2 adults past state compulsory attendance rate were in Adult High School; 1 of them received a diploma.
<b>Comments:</b>			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of school age adults earning a diploma or GED, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage.

Non-School-Age Adults	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	N<10	N<10	
GED	26	14	
Other			
<b>Comments:</b>			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of non-school age adults earning a diploma or GED, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the collection of diploma or GED data has been split into two rows, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it was collected together.

### 2.2.2.4 Children Entering Kindergarten Who Are Achieving Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Language Development

In the table below, provide the number of children who are achieving significant learning gains on measures of language development.

The following terms apply to 2.2.2.4 through 2.2.2.7:

1. A "significant learning gain" is considered to be a standard score increase of 4 or more points with a minimum 6 months between pre-and post-test.
2. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are expected to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year.
3. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took both a pre-and post-test with at least 6 months of services in between.
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children exempted from testing due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.

	# Age-Eligible	#Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)
PPVT-III	87	52	22	N<10	There were 107 children who were age-eligible for kindergarten; however, 20 of them exited before May 1, 2007.
<b>Comments:</b>					

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number age eligible, the number tested and the number exempted have been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection is requesting the number of children entering kindergarten who are achieving significant learning gains, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage.

### 2.2.2.5 The Average Number of Letters Children Can Identify as Measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask

In the table below, provide the average number of letters children can identify as measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask.

The term "average number of letters" includes the average score for the children in your State who participated in this assessment. This should be provided as a weighted average and rounded to one decimal.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	Average Number of Letters (Weighted Average)	Explanation (if applicable)
PALS PreK Upper Case	87	27	19.6	8 additional children were tested earlier than March 2007; their average number of letters was 10.1.
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number age eligible, the number tested and the average number of letters (weighted average) have been added, but the number participating (cohort) has been deleted. This data collection is requesting the average number of letters children can identify, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage.

### 2.2.2.6 School-Aged Children Reading on Grade Level

In the table below, provide the number of school-age children who read on grade level. The source of these data is usually determined by the State and, in some cases, by school district. Please indicate the source(s) of the data in the "Explanation" field.

Grade	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (include source of data)
K	35	32	Teacher and school reports
1	36	30	Teacher and school reports
2	22	21	Teacher and school reports
3	11	11	Teacher and school reports
<b>Comments:</b>			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of school-age children reading on grade level, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage. The breakdown of grades K through 3<sup>rd</sup> is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.2.2.7 Parents Who Show Improvement on Measures of Parental Support for Children's Learning in the Home, School Environment, and Through Interactive Learning Activities

In the table below, provide the number of parents who show improvement on measures of parental support for children's learning in the home, school environment, and through interactive learning activities.

While many states are using the PEP, other assessments of parenting education are acceptable. Please describe results and the source(s) of any non-PEP data in the "Other" field, with appropriate information in the Explanation field.

	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
PEP Scale I	145	79	Score increase of 0.3 or more
PEP Scale II	154	74	Score increase of 0.3 or more
PEP Scale III	152	65	Score increase of 0.3 or more
PEP Scale IV	146	69	Score increase of 0.3 or more
Other			Not applicable

**Comments:** In addition, there were adults who were post-tested after less than 6 months; their results are below. # In Cohort # Who Met Goal Explanation (if applicable) PEP Scale I 29 16 Score increase of 0.3 or more PEP Scale II 29 17 Score increase of 0.3 or more PEP Scale III 32 13 Score increase of 0.3 or more PEP Scale IV 30 16 Score increase of 0.3 or more Other Additional PPVT Information Percent of children who are entering Kindergarten and who have been in Even Start for at least 6 months and who demonstrate age-appropriate oral language skills as measured by the PPVT (a standard score of 85 or above) at post-test.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection is requesting the number of parents who show improvement on measures of parental support, which is a change from the SY 2005-06 CSPR where it requested the percentage. The breakdown of PEP scales is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

## 2.3 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN (TITLE I, PART C)

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (Title I, Part C) for the reporting period of September 1, 2006 through August 31, 2007. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migrant children;
- Academic data of eligible migrant students;
- Participation data – migrant children served during either the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year;
- School data;
- Project data;
- Personnel data.

Where the table collects data by age/grade, report children in the highest age/grade that they attained during the reporting period. For example, a child who turns 3 during the reporting period would only be reported in the "Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)" row.

FAQs at 1.10 contain definitions of out-of-school and ungraded that are used in this section.

### 2.3.1 Population Data

The following questions collect data on eligible migrant children.

#### 2.3.1.1 Eligible Migrant Children

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant children by age/grade. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Eligible Migrant Children
Age birth through 2	42
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	398
K	194
1	175
2	148
3	152
4	130
5	128
6	108
7	107
8	124
9	114
10	72
11	55
12	31
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1836
Total	3814
<p><b>Comments: Verification was requested for an original report of 0 for Out-of-school youth. The number was misplaced. The number for Ungraded and Out-of-school had been reversed. Numbers have now been corrected to reflect the migrant population in Tennessee.</b></p>	

Source – All rows except for "age birth through 2" are populated with the data provided in Part I, Section 1.10, Question 1.10.1 Initially, the row "age birth through 2" is pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X121 that is data group 634, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

### 2.3.1.2 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant children who have been classified as having "Priority for Services." The total is calculated automatically. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	304
K	31
1	29
2	31
3	22
4	21
5	17
6	19
7	17
8	14
9	12
10	11
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	220
Total	755

**Comments: The difference in total priority for service students this year and last is two-fold. One -a decrease in the number of migrant students in the area due to extremes in weather and adverse effect on crops in TN and Two-a better understanding by our LEAs as to who exactly qualifies as priority for service.**

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X121 that is data group 634, category set B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

#### **FAQ on priority for services:**

*Who is classified as having "priority for service?" Migratory children who are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet the State's challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, and whose education has been interrupted during the regular school year.*

### 2.3.1.3 Limited English Proficient

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant children who are also limited English proficient (LEP). The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	15
K	124
1	121
2	83
3	111
4	78
5	72
6	58
7	61
8	58
9	60
10	36
11	25
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	907

**Comments: The difference in numbers from last year to this is due to the decrease in migrant students in the state. This decrease was due to adverse weather conditions in both the spring and summer which reduced the need for migrant workers in the state.**

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X121 that is data group 634, category set C. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**



### 2.3.1.4 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant children who are also Children with Disabilities (IDEA) under Part B or Part C of the IDEA. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)
Age birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	
K	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	

**Comments: This data breakdown was not collected for this school year. Procedures are in place for the collection and reporting of this data on all subsequent reports beginning SY 2008-09.**

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X121 that is data group 634, category set D. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.3.1.5 Last Qualifying Move

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant children by when the last qualifying move occurred. The months are calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Last Qualifying Move Is within X months from the last day of the reporting period			
	12 Months	Previous 13 – 24 Months	Previous 25 – 36 Months	Previous 37 – 48 Months
Age birth through 2	0	0	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	103	114	122	55
K	40	49	63	46
1	36	35	60	43
2	34	36	47	32
3	32	28	47	46
4	25	35	36	32
5	26	26	36	40
6	21	23	30	33
7	24	23	25	36
8	22	34	31	37
9	14	23	38	39
10	13	17	18	24
11	N<10	10	13	26
12	N<10	N<10	N<10	18
Ungraded	0	0	0	0
Out-of-school	636	732	300	170
Total	1031	1191	873	677
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. New for this data collection for the SY 200607 CSPR is the column requesting data on students whose qualifying move occurred in the previous 37-48 months and the date of August 31 as the last day of the reporting period.

### 2.3.1.6 Qualifying Move During Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant children with any qualifying move during the regular school year within the previous 36 months calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31. The total is calculated automatically.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b>Move During Regular School Year</b>
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	227
K	121
1	122
2	112
3	108
4	91
5	79
6	72
7	69
8	79
9	75
10	46
11	36
12	21
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1006
Total	2264
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. New for this data collection for the SY 200607 CSPR is the date of August 31 as the last day of the reporting period.

### 2.3.2 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migrant students.

#### 2.3.2.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Grade	Dropped Out
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Total	

**Comments: Drop-out information is currently not reported on migrants. Tennessee will begin collecting drop-out information through a flag in the student information system beginning in the school year 2008-09.**

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X032 that is data group 326, category set E. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQ on Dropouts:

*How is "dropped out of school" defined? The term used for students, who, during the reporting period, were enrolled in a public or private school for at least one day, but who subsequently left school with no plans on returning to enroll in a school and continue toward a high school diploma. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2006-07 reporting period should be classified NOT as "dropped-out-of-school" but as "out-of-school youth."*

#### 2.3.2.2 GED

In the table below, provide the total unduplicated number of eligible migrant students who obtained a General Education Development (GED) Certificate in your state.

Obtained a GED in your state
<b>Comments:</b> In order to produce an accurate report of the students in our public schools who receive GED diplomas we will create a data sharing arrangement with the Tennessee Department of Labor (which oversees GED data) which now asserts that it cannot share individual data with us for privacy reasons. The Labor Department's file includes many people who are not former students in the Tennessee public schools. This is going to be a complex merger since our business rules say that students must be enrolled in a public school on their completion dates, a condition which will probably not hold for any of these students. The problems will be overcome but it will be difficult. We expect to collect GED data on migrant students during the school year 2010-11.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.2.3 Participation in State NCLB Assessments

The following questions collect data about the participation of eligible migrant students in State NCLB Assessments.

#### 2.3.2.3.1 Reading/Language Arts Participation

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migrant students enrolled in school during the State testing window and tested by the State NCLB reading/language arts assessment by grade level. The totals are calculated automatically.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	70	70
4	60	60
5	59	59
6	52	51
7	40	39
8	60	60
9	0	0
10	11	11
11	N<10	N<10
12	N<10	N<10
Ungraded		
Total	367	365
<b>Comments: Manually Updated with Full Year and Not Full Year Data.</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X081 that includes data group 589, category set F. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

#### 2.3.2.3.2 Mathematics Participation

This section is similar to 2.3.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on migrant students and the State's NCLB mathematics assessment.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	70	70
4	60	60
5	59	59
6	52	52
7	40	39
8	60	60
9	N<10	N<10
10	N<10	N<10
11	N<10	N<10
12	N<10	N<10
Ungraded		
Total	367	366
<b>Comments: Manually Updated with Full Year and Not Full Year Data. These data are correct and Match the EDFacts data we re-submitted.</b>		

Source – Same as 2.3.3.1. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3 MEP Participation Data

The following questions collect data about the participation of migrant students served during the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year.

Unless otherwise indicated, participating migrant children include:

- Children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds.
- Children who received a MEP-funded service, even those children who continued to receive services (1) during the term their eligibility ended, (2) for one additional school year after their eligibility ended, if comparable services were not available through other programs, and (3) in secondary school after their eligibility ended, and served through credit accrual programs until graduation (e.g., children served under the continuation of services authority, Section 1304(e)(1– 3)).

Do not include:

- Children who were served through a Title I SWP where MEP funds were consolidated with those of other programs.
- Children who were served by a "referred" service only.

#### 2.3.3.1 MEP Participation – Regular School Year

The following questions collect data on migrant children who participated in the MEP during the regular school year. Do not include:

- Children who were only served during the summer/intersession term.

##### 2.3.3.1.1 MEP Students Served During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the regular school year. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Regular School Year
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	32
K	28
1	11
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	N<10
6	13
7	N<10
8	10
9	13
10	N<10
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	174
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X123 that includes data group 636, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.3.3.1.2 Priority for Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the regular school year. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	19
K	N<10
1	0
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	N<10
6	N<10
7	N<10
8	N<10
9	N<10
10	N<10
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	38
<b>Comments: The difference in total priority for service students this year and last is two-fold. One -a decrease in the number of migrant students in the area due to extremes in weather and adverse effect on crops in TN and Two-a better understanding by our LEAs as to who exactly qualifies as priority for service.</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X123 that includes data group 636, category set A. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**



### 2.3.3.1.3 Continuation of Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received instructional or support services during the regular school year served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do not include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b>Continuation of Services</b>
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	0
<b>Comments: Verification was requested on a previously reported total of 0. Zero is the correct total.</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.1.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the regular school year.

#### FAQ on Services:

*What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are **NOT** considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.*

#### 2.3.3.1.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the regular school year. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	32
K	28
1	11
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	N<10
6	12
7	N<10
8	10
9	13
10	N<10
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	172

**Comments: The difference in the number reported in this category last year, 658, and the number reported this year is due to a tightening of the understanding of what constitutes an instructional service. Activities counted last year in service to our out-of-school youth, a total of 284, were disallowed this year and not counted as an instructional service. Further decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.**

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.1.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of participating migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the regular school year. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	0	0	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	32	32	
K	26	26	
1	N<10	N<10	
2	N<10	N<10	
3	N<10	N<10	
4	N<10	N<10	
5	N<10	N<10	
6	10	10	
7	N<10	N<10	
8	10	10	
9	13	13	0
10	N<10	N<10	0
11	N<10	N<10	0
12	N<10	N<10	0
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out-of-school	N<10	N<10	0
Total	157	157	0

**Comments: The difference in the number reported in the reading category last year, 658, and the number reported this year is due to a tightening of the understanding of what constitutes an instructional service. Activities counted last year in service to our out-of-school youth, a total of 284, were disallowed this year and no counted as an instructional service. Additional decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record drought. Our records of number for math instruction show 301 for last year and 157 for this year. The decrease is due to the decrease in migrant students in the state for reasons already listed.**

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.1.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled Support Services, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received any MEP-funded support service during the regular school year. In the column titled Counseling Service, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received a counseling service during the regular school year. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	32	12
K	28	N<10
1	11	N<10
2	N<10	N<10
3	N<10	N<10
4	N<10	N<10
5	N<10	N<10
6	13	N<10
7	N<10	N<10
8	10	N<10
9	13	N<10
10	N<10	N<10
11	N<10	N<10
12	N<10	N<10
Ungraded	0	0
Out-of-school	N<10	N<10
Total	174	72
<p><b>Comments: The difference in the number reported in this category last year, 658, and the number reported this year is due to a tightening of the understanding of what constitutes an instructional service. Activities counted last year in service to our out-of-school youth, a total of 284, were disallowed this year and not counted as a support service. Further decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.</b></p>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

#### FAQs on Support Services:

- a. *What are support services? These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.*
- b. *What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.*

#### 2.3.3.1.4.4 Referred Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who, during the regular school year, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b>Referred Service</b>
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	32
K	25
1	N<10
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	N<10
6	N<10
7	N<10
8	N<10
9	N<10
10	N<10
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	123
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.2 MEP Participation – Summer/Intersession Term

The questions in this subsection are similar to the questions in the previous section. There are two differences. First, the questions in this subsection collect data on the summer/intersession term instead of the regular school year. The second is the source for the table on migrant students served during the summer/intersession is EDFacts file N/X124 that includes data group 637.

#### 2.3.3.2.1 MEP Students Served During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b>Served During Summer/Intersession Term</b>
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	80
K	73
1	56
2	45
3	49
4	50
5	47
6	41
7	43
8	35
9	30
10	11
11	12
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	578

**Comments: The difference in total number of students served in summer this year and last is due to a decrease in the number of migrant students in the area due to extremes in weather and adverse effect on crops in TN.**

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X124 that includes data group 637, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.3.3.2.2 Priority for Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	44
K	N<10
1	N<10
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	N<10
6	N<10
7	N<10
8	N<10
9	N<10
10	N<10
11	N<10
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	120
<b>Comments: The difference in total priority for service students in summer this year and last is two-fold. One -a decrease in the number of migrant students in the area due to extremes in weather and adverse effect on crops in TN and Two-a better understanding by our LEAs as to who exactly qualifies as priority for service.</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X124 that includes data group 637, category set A. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.3.3.2.3 Continuation of Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do not include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b>Continuation of Services</b>
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	N<10
10	N<10
11	N<10
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	9
<b>Comments: The increase in this number is due to the initiation of the PASS program in one of our counties during the summer session.</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.



### 2.3.3.2.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the summer/intersession term.

#### FAQ on Services:

*What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are **NOT** considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.*

#### 2.3.3.2.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	68
K	61
1	51
2	40
3	41
4	42
5	37
6	34
7	37
8	31
9	21
10	11
11	N<10
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	481
<b>Comments: Decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.2.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of participating migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	0	0	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	66	57	
K	56	56	
1	47	47	
2	37	37	
3	36	36	
4	39	39	
5	34	34	
6	31	31	
7	32	32	
8	25	25	
9	N<10	N<10	N<10
10	N<10	N<10	N<10
11	N<10	N<10	0
12	N<10	N<10	N<10
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0	0
Total	417	408	N<10

**Comments: Decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record drought. The increase in high school credit accrual is due to the initiation of the PASS program in one of our counties.**

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.2.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled Support Services, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received any MEP-funded support service during the summer/intersession term. In the column titled Counseling Service, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received a counseling service during the summer/intersession term. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	72	16
K	58	10
1	47	N<10
2	40	N<10
3	36	N<10
4	43	N<10
5	35	N<10
6	34	13
7	37	10
8	31	N<10
9	22	15
10	12	12
11	N<10	N<10
12	N<10	0
Ungraded	0	0
Out-of-school	N<10	N<10
Total	477	130
<b>Comments: Decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

#### FAQs on Support Services:

- a. *What are support services? These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.*
- b. *What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.*

#### 2.3.3.2.4.4 Referred Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who, during the summer/intersession term, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b>Referred Service</b>
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	N<10
K	N<10
1	N<10
2	N<10
3	N<10
4	N<10
5	N<10
6	N<10
7	N<10
8	N<10
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	33
<b>Comments: Decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.3.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.3.3.3 MEP Participation – Program Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of participating migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the program year. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During the Program Year
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	93
K	81
1	61
2	50
3	52
4	53
5	50
6	44
7	45
8	39
9	37
10	15
11	14
12	N<10
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	N<10
Total	648
<p><b>Comments: This file was not on the submission plan for this year. However, after initial submission and request for review of this file, we reviewed our data collection and were able to complete the file. We will provide this information for all subsequent years beginning SY 2008-09. 4/30/08 -Numbers were corrected due to a calculation error in the original data report used as the data source.</b></p>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X054 that includes data group 102, subtotal 1. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

### 2.3.4 School Data

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migrant children in schools during the regular school year.

#### 2.3.4.1 Schools and Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled eligible migrant children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of eligible migrant children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	Number
Number of schools that enrolled eligible migrant children	255
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	1359
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X102 that includes data group 110. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. This data collection has been changed to include public schools only.**

#### 2.3.4.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of eligible migrant children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	Number
Number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in a schoolwide program	0
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	0
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X102 that includes data groups 110 and 514. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

## 2.3.5 MEP Project Data

The following questions collect data on MEP projects.

### 2.3.5.1 Type of MEP Project

In the table below, provide the number of projects that are funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. A MEP project is the entity that receives MEP funds by a subgrant from the State or through an intermediate entity that receives the subgrant and provides services directly to the migrant child. Do not include projects where MEP funds were consolidated in SWP.

Also, provide the number of migrant children participating in the projects. Since children may participate in more than one project, the number of children may include duplicates.

Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Type of MEP Project	Number of MEP Projects	Number of Migrant Children Participating in the Projects
1. Regular school year – school day only	0	0
2. Regular school year – school day/extended day	0	0
3. Summer/intersession only	7	577
4. Year round	3	285
<b>Comments: Decrease in number is due to the decrease in number of migrants in the state due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.1 of the SY

2005-06 CSPR. FAQs on type of MEP project:

- a. *What is a project? A project is any entity that receives MEP funds either as a subgrantee or from a subgrantee and provides services directly to migrant children in accordance with the State Service Delivery Plan and State approved subgrant applications. A project's services may be provided in one or more sites.*
- b. *What are Regular School Year – School Day Only projects? Projects where all MEP services are provided during the school day during the regular school year.*
- c. *What are Regular School Year – School Day/Extended Day projects? Projects where some or all MEP services are provided during an extended day or week during the regular school year (e.g., some services are provided during the school day and some outside of the school day; e.g., all services are provided outside of the school day).*
- d. *What are Summer/Intersession Only projects? Projects where all MEP services are provided during the summer/intersession term.*
- e. *What are Year Round projects? Projects where all MEP services are provided during the regular school year and summer/intersession term.*

### 2.3.6 MEP Personnel Data

The following questions collect data on MEP personnel data.

#### 2.3.6.1 Key MEP Personnel

The following questions collect data about the key MEP personnel.

##### 2.3.6.1.1 MEP State Director

In the table below, provide the FTE amount of time the State director performs MEP duties (regardless of whether the director is funded by State, MEP, or other funds) during the reporting period (e.g., September 1 through August 31). Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

<b>State Director FTE</b>	0.6
<b>Comments:</b> The State had a full time director from Sept. 1 through March 1. From March 2 until August 1, the State employed a part-time director of migrant education.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of MEP funded staff in the regular school year, the number of MEP funded staff in summer term/intersession and the FTE amount of time in summer term/intersession have been deleted.

#### FAQs on the MEP State director

- How is the FTE calculated for the State director? Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked for the MEP. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for the State director in your State for the reporting period. To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the State director worked for the MEP during the reporting period and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in the reporting period.*
- Who is the State director? The manager within the SEA who administers the MEP on a statewide basis.*

##### 2.3.6.1.2 MEP Staff

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE by job classification of the staff funded by the MEP. Do not include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Job Classification	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Teachers	0	0.00	33	31.7
Counselors	0	0.00	0	0.00
All paraprofessionals	2	2.00	17	15.5
Recruiters	4	3.3	9	8.5
Records transfer staff	1	1.00	1	1.00
<b>Comments:</b> The state of TN verifies that the figures contained here are correct. Cells had been left blank but should have been 0.				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X065 that includes data groups 515 and 625, category A. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.



**FAQs on MEP staff:**

- a. *How is the FTE calculated? The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:*
  - To calculate the FTE, in each job category, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
  - Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for each job classification in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked in a particular job classification for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.
- b. *Who is a teacher? A classroom instructor who is licensed and meets any other teaching requirements in the State.*
- c. *Who is a counselor? A professional staff member who guides individuals, families, groups, and communities by assisting them in problem-solving, decision-making, discovering meaning, and articulating goals related to personal, educational, and career development.*
- d. *Who is a paraprofessional? An individual who: (1) provides one-on-one tutoring if such tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher; (2) assists with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials; (3) provides instructional assistance in a computer laboratory; (4) conducts parental involvement activities; (5) provides support in a library or media center; (6) acts as a translator; or (7) provides instructional support services under the direct supervision of a teacher (Title I, Section 1119(g)(2)). Because a paraprofessional provides instructional support, he/she should not be providing planned direct instruction or introducing to students new skills, concepts, or academic content. Individuals who work in food services, cafeteria or playground supervision, personal care services, non-instructional computer assistance, and similar positions are not considered paraprofessionals under Title I.*
- e. *Who is a recruiter? A staff person responsible for identifying and recruiting children as eligible for the MEP and documenting their eligibility on the Certificate of Eligibility.*
- f. *Who is a record transfer staffer? An individual who is responsible for entering, retrieving, or sending student records from or to another school or student records system.*

**2.3.6.1.3 Qualified Paraprofessionals**

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE of the qualified paraprofessionals funded by the MEP. Do not include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Job Classification	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Qualified paraprofessionals	2	2.00	2	2.00
<b>Comments: Because of actual numbers of qualified paraprofessionals is so low, mathematically it results in a greater than 25% increase for regular school year FTE. In actuality, we only went from a 1.4 to a 2 FTE. The decrease in paraprofessionals in the summer is due to the decrease in need. The number of migrants in the state decreased due to a failure of spring crops due to a prolonged freeze and failure of summer crops due to record draught.</b>				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.3.1.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

## FAQs on qualified paraprofessionals:

- a. *How is the FTE calculated? The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:*
- To calculate the FTE, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
  - Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.
- b. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional? A qualified paraprofessional must have a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent and have (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Section 1119(c) and (d) of ESEA).*

## 2.4 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK (TITLE I, PART D, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
  - **Adult Corrections:** An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
  - **At-Risk Programs:** Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
  - **Juvenile Corrections:** An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
  - **Juvenile Detention Facilities:** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.
  - **Multiple Purpose Facility:** An institution/facility/program that serves more than one programming purpose. For example, the same facility may run both a juvenile correction program and a juvenile detention program.
  - **Neglected Programs:** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
  - **Other:** Any other programs, not defined above, which receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

## 2.4.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

### 2.4.1.1 Programs and Facilities -Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
1. Neglected programs	0	
2. Juvenile detention	0	
3. Juvenile corrections	12	120
4. Adult corrections	2	103
5. Other	0	
Total	14	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	0
Comments:	

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.4.1.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The unduplicated count of Neglected and Delinquent students has been moved for the SY 2006-07 CSPR. The additional calculation of total number of programs/facilities is new for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

#### FAQ on Programs and Facilities -Subpart I:

*How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.*

#### 2.4.1.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported -Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

<b>State Program/Facility Type</b>	<b># Reporting Data</b>
1. Neglected Programs	0
2. Juvenile Detention	0
3. Juvenile Corrections	12
4. Adult Corrections	2
5. Other	0
Total	14
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This is a new data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

### 2.4.1.2 Students Served – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 that are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served			537	214	
Long Term Students Served			537	213	

Race/Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native			0	0	
Asian or Pacific Islander			N<10	0	
Black, non-Hispanic			258	159	
Hispanic			N<10	N<10	
White, non-Hispanic			264	47	
Total			537	214	

Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Male			501	210	
Female			36	N<10	
Total			537	214	

Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3 through 5			0	0	
6			0	0	
7			0	0	
8			0	0	
9			0	0	
10			0	0	
11			0	0	
12			0	0	
13			N<10	0	
14			49	0	
15			67	0	
16			161	13	
17			167	31	
18			91	32	
19			N<10	63	
20			0	75	
21			0	0	
Total			537	214	

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain.

**Comments:**

**Note:** For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the age groupings that were present in the SY 2005-06 CSPR have been changed to collect data by each age year.

**FAQ on Unduplicated Count:**

*What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.*

**FAQ on long-term:**

*What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.*

**Note:** In the remaining tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column.

### 2.4.1.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

# Programs That	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Awarded high school course credit(s)	0	12	0	0
2. Awarded high school diploma(s)	0	12	0	0
3. Awarded GED(s)	0	12	N<10	0
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.



#### 2.4.1.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

##### 2.4.1.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Earned high school course credits	0	65	0	0
2. Enrolled in a GED program	0	126	214	0
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

##### 2.4.1.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in their local district school	0	N<10	N<10	0
2. Earned a GED	0	74	15	0
3. Obtained high school diploma	0	31	0	0
4. Were accepted into post-secondary education	0	N<10	0	0
5. Enrolled in post-secondary education	0	N<10	0	0
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.4.1.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

#### 2.4.1.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs		N<10	N<10	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

#### 2.4.1.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in external job training education		N<10	0	
2. Obtained employment		N<10	0	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.4.1.6 Academic Performance – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

#### 2.4.1.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the format of the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in pre-and post-testing in reading. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2006, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories (rows 3 through 7). Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		537	96	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		537	192	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre-to post-test exams		0	30	
4. No change in grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		290	117	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		247	10	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		0	7	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		0	28	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by ED Facts file N/X113 that is data group 628, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

FAQ on long-term students:

*What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.*

### 2.4.1.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

This section is similar to 2.4.1.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		537	131	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre-and post-test results (data)		537	191	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre-to post-test exams		0	31	
4. No change in grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		15	116	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		375	10	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		125	11	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams		22	23	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X113 that is data group 628, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

## 2.4.2 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

### 2.4.2.1 Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
1. At-risk programs	0	0
2. Neglected programs	0	0
3. Juvenile detention	3	120
4. Juvenile corrections	0	0
5. Other	0	0
Total	3	120

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	0
Comments:	

**Note:** This table was formerly part of section 2.4.2.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the unduplicated count of neglected and delinquent children has been moved. The category At-risk or Other has been split into two separate categories for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

#### FAQ on average length of stay:

*How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.*

#### 2.4.2.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported -Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

<b>State Program/Facility Type</b>	<b># Reporting Data</b>
1. At-risk programs	0
2. Neglected programs	0
3. Juvenile detention	0
4. Juvenile corrections	0
5. Other	0
Total	0
<b>Comments: This data is from Memphis City Schools in Tennessee.</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This is a new data collection for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

### 2.4.2.2 Students Served – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served			432		
Total Long Term Students Served			228		

Race/Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native			0		
Asian or Pacific Islander			0		
Black, non-Hispanic			402		
Hispanic			16		
White, non-Hispanic			14		
Total			432		

Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Male			353		
Female			79		
Total			432		

Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
3-5			0		
6			0		
7			0		
8			0		
9			0		
10			0		
11			0		
12			0		
13			11		
14			44		
15			82		
16			111		
17			166		
18			14		
19			N<10		
20			N<10		
21			0		
Total			432		

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain. Comments: The correct gender count is 353 male and 79 female. This data was reversed Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: For this data collection, the age groupings that were present in the SY 2005-06 CSPR have been changed to collect data by each age year. In addition, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**

**FAQ on Unduplicated Count:**

*What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.*

**FAQ on long-term:**

*What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007.*

**Note: In the remaining tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column.**

**2.4.2.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 2**

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

<b>LEA Programs That</b>	<b>At-Risk Programs</b>	<b>Neglected Programs</b>	<b>Juvenile Detention/Corrections</b>	<b>Other Programs</b>
1. Awarded high school course credit(s)			3	
2. Awarded high school diploma(s)			2	
3. Awarded GED(s)			2	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. In addition, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**



#### 2.4.2.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

##### 2.4.2.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Earned high school course credits			22	
2. Enrolled in a GED program			153	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.1.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

##### 2.4.2.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in their local district school			97	
2. Earned a GED			37	
3. Obtained high school diploma			0	
4. Were accepted into post-secondary education			N<10	
5. Enrolled in post-secondary education			N<10	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. In addition, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**

#### 2.4.2.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

##### 2.4.2.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs			43	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**

##### 2.4.2.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/Detention	Other Programs
1. Enrolled in external job training education			0	
2. Obtained employment			10	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**

### 2.4.2.6 Academic Performance – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

#### 2.4.2.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the format of the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in pre-and post-testing in reading. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2006, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories (rows 3 through 7). Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry			66	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre-and post-test results (data)			29	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
4. No change in grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			10	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X125 that is data group 629, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**

#### **FAQ on long-term:**

*What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007.*

### 2.4.2.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

This section is similar to 2.4.2.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
1. Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry			60	
2. Long-term students who have complete pre-and post-test results (data)			31	

Of the students reported in row 2 above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
3. Negative grade level change from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
4. No change in grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
5. Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
6. Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			N<10	
7. Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre-to post-test exams			17	
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Initially, pre-populated by EDFacts file N/X125 that is data group 629, category sets A and B. If necessary, it is updated through manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This was formerly part of section 2.4.2.6 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the column At-risk and Other was split into two separate columns.**

## 2.5 COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL REFORM (CSR) (TITLE I, PART F)

This section collects information on Comprehensive School Reform.

### 2.5.1 CSR Grantee Schools Making AYP

In the table below, provide the percentage of CSR schools that have/had a CSR grant and that made AYP in reading/language arts and mathematics during SY 2006-07.

	Percentage
Reading/language	75.0
Mathematics	75.0
<b>Comments: The information reflects the 4 CSR schools in 2006-2007: Fayette County-Central Elementary, East Jr. High, and Fayette-ware High School, and Davidson County-Maplewood High School.</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: Mathematics was formerly part of section 2.5.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.5.2 CSR Grantees

In the table below, provide the number of schools that have/had a CSR grant since 1998.

	#
Schools that have/had a CSR grant since 1998?	117
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This was formerly part of section 2.5.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

## 2.7 SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES ACT (TITLE IV, PART A)

This section collects data on student behaviors under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act.

### 2.7.1 Performance Measures

In the table below, provide actual performance data. The first four columns (e.g., Performance Indicators, Instruments/Data Sources, Frequency of Collection/Baselines, and Targets) will be pre-populated from your State's SY 2005-06 CSPR submission.

**Note: The information in the first four columns is provided for reference purposes only.**

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
The number of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the State	Annual Report of Zero Tolerance Offenses/Unsafe School Choice Policy	Frequency: Annual	2004-05 0	2004-05 0
			2005-06 0	2005-06 0
			2006-07 0	2006-07 0
		Year of most recent collection: 2007	2007-08 0	Baseline: 0
			2008-09 0	Year Established: 2003
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
The percentage of students who carried a weapon (gun, knife, club) on school property (in the 30 days prior to the survey)	YRBS Tennessee High School Survey	Frequency: Biannual	2004-05 19%	2004-05 8.1%
			2005-06 18%	2005-06 8.1%
			2006-07 17%	2006-07 5.6
		Year of most recent collection: 2007	2007-08 16%	Baseline: 5.4%
			2008-09 5.5	Year Established: 2003
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
The percentage of students who engaged in a physical fight on school property (in the 12 months preceding the survey)	YRBS Tennessee High School Survey	Frequency: Biannual	2004-05 26%	2004-05 10.9%
			2005-06 25%	2005-06 10.9%
			2006-07 24%	2006-07 12.4%
		Year of most recent collection: 2007	2007-08 23%	Baseline: 12.2%
			2008-09 12.1 %	Year Established: 2003

**Comments:**

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool. Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
The percentage of students offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property (in the 12 months preceding the survey)	YRBS Tennessee High School Survey	Frequency: Biannual	2004-05 22%	2004-05 26.6%
			2005-06 21%	2005-06 26.6%
			2006-07 20%	2006-07 21.6%
		Year of most recent collection: 2007	2007-08 19%	Baseline: 24.3%
			2008-09 21.5%	Year Established: 2003
<b>Comments:</b>				

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
The incidents involving the possession or use of illegal drugs on a school campus or at a school sponsored event	Annual Report of Zero Tolerance Offenses	Frequency: Annual	2004-05 2200	2004-05 2623
			2005-06 2150	2005-06 2960
			2006-07 2100	2006-07 2919
		Year of most recent collection: 2007	2007-08 2050	Baseline: 2291
			2008-09 2915	Year Established: 2003
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Targets	Actual Performance
The number of incidents involving the possession of a firearm on a school campus or at a school sponsored event	Annual Report of Zero Tolerance Offenses	Frequency: Annual	2004-05 80	2004-05 75
			2005-06 78	2005-06 87
			2006-07 78	2006-07 93
		Year of most recent collection: 2007	2007-08 74	Baseline: 83
			2008-09 95	Year Established: 2003
<b>Comments:</b>				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

## 2.7.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

The following questions collect data on the out-of-school suspension and expulsion of students by grade level (e.g., K through 5, 6 through 8, 9 through 12) and type of incident (e.g., violence, weapons possession, alcohol-related, illicit drug-related).

### 2.7.2.1 State Definitions

In the spaces below, provide the State definitions for each type of incident.

Incident Type	State Definition
Alcohol related	
Illicit drug related	
Violent incident without physical injury	
Violent incident with physical injury	
Weapons possession	
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Initially, pre-populated with definition from the SY 2005-06 CSPR. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This was formerly part of sections 2.7.2.3, 2.7.2.4, and 2.7.2.5 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the State definition of physical fighting data collection has been removed, however the data collection for violent incident without physical injury and violent incident with physical injury have been added.**



### 2.7.2.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident without physical injury.

#### 2.7.2.2.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident without physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident without physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect Suspensions by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from EDFacts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.3 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.**

#### 2.7.2.2.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident without physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident without physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect expulsions by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from EDFacts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.3 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.**

### 2.7.2.3 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident with physical injury.

#### 2.7.2.3.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from EDFacts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.2 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.**

#### 2.7.2.3.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from EDFacts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: The tables in this section and 2.7.2.2 replace section 2.7.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR, which collected data on physical fighting.**

#### 2.7.2.4 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Weapons Possession

The following sections collect data on weapons possession.

##### 2.7.2.4.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from EDFACTS file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

##### 2.7.2.4.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from EDFACTS file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.3 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.7.2.5 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on alcohol-related incidents.

#### 2.7.2.5.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED Facts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

#### 2.7.2.5.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED Facts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.4 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.7.2.6 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on illicit drug-related incidents.

#### 2.7.2.6.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED Facts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.5 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

#### 2.7.2.6.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
<b>Comments: We do not collect discipline data by cause by grade</b>		

Source – Initially, pre-populated from ED Facts file N/X030 for data group 523. If necessary, it is updated by the SEA.

**Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.7.2.5 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. The data collection requirement to report by elementary, middle and high school has changed to the grades K through 5, 6 through 8, and 9 through 12 for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.**

### 2.7.3 Parent Involvement

In the table below, provide the types of efforts your State uses to inform parents of, and include parents in, drug and violence prevention efforts. Place a check mark next to the five most common efforts underway in your State. If there are other efforts underway in your State not captured on the list, add those in the other specify section.

Yes/No	Parental Involvement Activities
Yes	Information dissemination on Web sites and in publications, including newsletters, guides, brochures, and "report cards" on school performance
No Response	Training and technical assistance to LEAs on recruiting and involving parents
Yes	State requirement that parents must be included on LEA advisory councils
No Response	State and local parent training, meetings, conferences, and workshops
Yes	Parent involvement in State-level advisory groups
Yes	Parent involvement in school-based teams or community coalitions
No Response	Parent surveys, focus groups, and/or other assessments of parent needs and program effectiveness
Yes	Media and other campaigns (Public service announcements, red ribbon campaigns, kick-off events, parenting awareness month, safe schools week, family day, etc.) to raise parental awareness of drug and alcohol or safety issues
No Response	Other Specify 1
No Response	Other Specify 2
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This data collection has been changed from a manual text entry to a check box format for the SY 2006-07 CSPR.

## 2.8 INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS (TITLE V, PART A)

This section collects information pursuant to Title V, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended.

### 2.8.1 Annual Statewide Summary

Section 5122 of ESEA, as amended, requires States to provide an annual Statewide summary of how Title V, Part A funds contribute to the improvement of student academic performance and the quality of education for students. In addition, these summaries must be based on evaluations provided to the State by LEAs receiving program funds.

**Please attach your statewide summary.** You can upload file by entering the file name and location in the box below or use the browse button to search for the file as you would when attaching a file to an e-mail. The maximum file size for this upload is 4 meg.

**Note: This data collection was formerly section 2.8.8 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.**

### 2.8.2 Needs Assessments

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that completed a Title V, Part A needs assessment that the State determined to be credible and the total number of LEAs that received Title V, Part A funds. The percentage column is automatically calculated.

	# LEAs	%
Completed credible Title V, Part A needs assessments	135	100.0
Total received Title V, Part A funds	135	
<b>Comments: Carroll County does not participate.</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly section 2.8.9 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the number of LEAs and percentage of LEAs that completed credible Title V, Part A needs assessments is a new data collection.**

### 2.8.3 LEA Expenditures

In the table below, provide the amount of Title V, Part A funds expended by the LEAs. The percentage column will be automatically calculated.

The 4 strategic priorities are: (1) support student achievement, enhance reading and mathematics, (2) improve the quality of teachers, (3) ensure that schools are safe and drug free, and (4) promote access for all students to a quality education.

Activities authorized under Section 5131 of the ESEA that are included in the four strategic priorities are 1-5, 7-9, 12, 14-17, 19-20, 22, and 25-27. Authorized activities that are not included in the four strategic priorities are 6, 10-11, 13, 18, 21, and 23  
24.

	\$ Amount	%
Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs for the four strategic priorities	2839710	88.5
Total Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs	3209493	
<b>Comments: The following LEA's did not show an amt or breakdown when surveyed: Hardeman County, Lexington, Lawrence County, Richard City, Maury County and Williamson County.</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note: This table was formerly section 2.8.10 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the total amount of**

**Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs is a new data collection.**



## 2.8.4 LEA Uses of Funds for the Four Strategic Priorities and AYP

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs:

- 1 That used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities above and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of adequate yearly progress (AYP).
- 2 That did not use at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP.
- 3 For which you do not know whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP.

The total LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds will be automatically calculated.

	# LEAs	# LEAs Met AYP
1. Used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	105	99
2. Did not use at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	24	24
3. Not known whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	6	6
Total LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds	135	129
<b>Comments:</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**Note:** This table was formerly section 2.8.11 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR. For the SY 2006-07 CSPR, the data collection for States to report not knowing whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds is a new data collection.

## 2.9 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP) (TITLE VI, PART B, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title VI, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

### 2.9.1 LEA Use of Alternative Funding Authority Under the Small Rural Achievement (SRSA) Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 1)

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that notified the State of their intent to use the alternative uses funding authority under Section 6211.

	# LEAs
# LEA's using SRSA alternative uses of funding authority	0
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

### 2.9.2 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
1. Teacher recruitment and retention, including the use of signing bonuses and other financial incentives	10
2. Teacher professional development, including programs that train teachers to utilize technology to improve teaching and to train special needs teachers	27
3. Educational technology, including software and hardware as described in Title II, Part D	25
4. Parental involvement activities	17
5. Activities authorized under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program (Title IV, Part A)	7
6. Activities authorized under Title I, Part A	35
7. Activities authorized under Title III (Language instruction for LEP and immigrant students)	7
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly section 2.9.2.1 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

### 2.9.2.1 Goals and Objectives

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income Schools (RLIS) Program as described in its June 2002 Consolidated State application. Provide quantitative data where available.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

The State identifies specific measurable goals and objectives related to increasing student academic achievement as measured by the percentage of students' proficient or above on state assessments as well as a decrease in the student dropout rate as factors it will measure. The Rural and Low-Income School Program (RLIS) provided funds to approximately 40% of the state's districts. Districts were asked to explain how RLIS funds were used to increase student achievement and/or reduce the dropout rate.

The following paragraphs are submitted as a sampling of the progress our state has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income School Program as described in the June 2002 Consolidated State application.

#### ESEA Performance Goal #1

By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

#### Benton County

Funds were used to provide supplies and materials for assignments related to student performance indicators. Data indicates an overall increase in student performance for grade 3-8.

#### Bledsoe County

Using the disaggregated data from the Bledsoe County Report Card 2006 and the disaggregated data from the 2006 DIEBBELS Distribution Report, our Rural Low-Income School Program funds were earmarked for grades K-2 and 9-12. These funds were primarily used to purchase updated computers for Pikeville Elementary and Bledsoe County High School. The new technology enhanced student learning and impacted academic achievement by providing access to instructional software that provided remediation, research possibilities via the internet and computerized instructional enhancement to the regular curriculum. This impacted 222 student learners in grades K-2 and 597 student learners in grades 9-12.

#### Campbell County

The Title VI funds provided teachers with opportunities to participate in professional development in reading/language arts and math. Funds also used to maintain computers in the lab and in classroom to improve access to programs that assisted in achieving adequate yearly progress.

#### Clay County

Rural, Low-Income School Program funds were used to purchase to give students access to available programs (A+ Learning, PLATO) to improve achievement in math and reading/language arts in grades K-8. All schools in our district met adequate yearly progress for all sub groups in 2006-2007.

#### Cocke County

Inclusion training contributed to the improvement of achievement scores for students with disabilities. Their scores in reading/language arts increased from 62% to 78% proficient/advanced and in math their scores increased from 58% to 69% proficient/advanced.

#### Dyersburg City

The Supporting Mathematics and Reading Teachers (SMART) is a learning program providing students with additional

assistance in developing their reading and mathematics skills. The SMART students met or exceeded the grade placement change for third and fourth grade reading and third grade math when compared to the gains of the entire school. According to the reading data from the Success Maker Management System, the average gain in for sixth grade was 0.78.

Seventh grade students had an average gain of 0.47. Eight graders had an average gain of 0.45.

#### Etowah City

For the 2006-2007 school year, Etowah City School used its Title VI funds for activities authorized under Title I, Part A. Specifically, the funds were used to increase academic achievement in the subjects of reading and math for grades K-8. These two areas were determined to be the greatest areas of need by the school planning committee.

According to the 2007 State adequate yearly progress results, the reading/language arts proficient/advanced percentages increased from 88% to 89% for all students, exceeding the State goal of 83%. Math proficient/advanced percentages for all students increased from 86% to 87 %, exceeding the State goal of 79%. All subgroups for Etowah City met or exceeded the state target goals for the year and the school met adequate yearly progress for all categories.

#### ESEA Performance Goal #2

All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

#### Jackson County

These funds were expended to provide materials, supplies and instruction to students deemed most at risk for failure:

low

socio economic, students with disabilities and English language learners. Newport City Title VI monies provided materials for English language learners. Sequatchie County Rural Low-Income School Program funds were used to supplement the English language learner program. These students

need encouragement and the opportunity to be successful. ESEA Performance Goal #3 By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers. Campbell County The Title VI funds provided teachers with opportunities to participate in professional development in reading/language arts and math. Funds were also used to maintain computers in labs and in classrooms to improve access to programs that assisted in achieving adequate yearly progress.

Lauderdale County Rural Low-Income School Funds were used to train teachers to use technology within the classroom in order to increase Reading/Language Arts and Math scores. Technology procedures and tools enabled the teachers to provide online assessments to gauge the progress of their students as the State standards were taught.

ESEA Performance Goal #5 All students will graduate from high school. Benton County Computer programs provided

tutorials and practice in specific content areas for students to acquire high school graduation

requirements. Attendance in grades 9-12 showed an increase from 94.3% to 95.2%.  
The State cohort and event dropout rate was 10%. Bledsoe County's dropout rate was 6.3%.

#### Cocke County

Title VI funds were used to expand our educational technology which addressed our needs in graduation rate and Gateway intervention. Through the purchase of hardware and software, our Credit Recovery Program was extended to include social science subjects such as history, geography, economics and government. During the 2006-2007 school year, we had one hundred twelve students recover credits through this program. Of these one hundred twelve students forty two were seniors needing credit for graduation.

#### Hardeman County

To improve the system's graduation rate and decrease student drop out rate, Rural Low-Income School Program funds were used to provide extended school day programs, which included after school tutoring in advance of Gateway test dates and extended library hours. According to the 2006 report card system's graduation rate for 2005 was 75.2% and 80.1% in 2006.

#### Scott County

Funds helped to provide materials for at risk students at the high school to assist them in taking necessary assessments required for graduation and to enable them to "catch up" on subjects that they were deficient in to enable them to stay on track for graduation.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly section 2.9.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

**2.10 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE VI, PART A, SUBPART 2)**

**2.10.1 State Transferability of Funds**

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 6123(a) during SY 2006-07?	No
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**2.10.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds**

Number of LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 6123(b).	40
<b>Comments:</b>	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

**2.10.2.1 Use of Funds**

In the tables below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds to and from each eligible program and the total amount of funds transferred to and from each eligible program.

<b>Program</b>	<b># LEAs Transferring Funds TO Eligible Program</b>	<b>Total Amount of Funds Transferred TO Eligible Program</b>
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	0	0.00
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	3	102566.00
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	5	69778.2
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	36	2395994.9
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs	5	273795.5

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.10.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

<b>Program</b>	<b># LEAs Transferring Funds FROM Eligible Program</b>	<b>Total Amount of Funds Transferred FROM Eligible Program</b>
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	19	2803653.7
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	3	10327.9
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	7	28153.1
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	0	0.00
<b>Comments:</b>		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool. Note: This table was formerly part of section 2.10.2.2 of the SY 2005-06 CSPR.

*The Department plans to obtain information on the use of funds under both the State and LEA Transferability Authority through evaluation studies.*