



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

June 17, 2016

Steve Pettit
President
Bob Jones University
1700 Wade Hampton Blvd.
Greenville, SC 29614

Dear President Pettit:

I write to respond to your April 1, 2016, letter to the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR), in which you requested a religious exemption for Bob Jones University (University) of Greenville, South Carolina, from Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX), 20 U.S.C. § 1681. Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in any education program or activity operated by a recipient of Federal financial assistance.

Title IX and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. § 106.12 provide that Title IX does not apply to an educational institution controlled by a religious organization to the extent that application of Title IX would be inconsistent with the controlling organization's religious tenets. Therefore, such educational institutions are allowed to request an exemption from Title IX by identifying the provisions of Title IX that conflict with a specific tenet of the religious organization. The request must identify the religious organization that controls the educational institution and specify the tenets of that organization and the provisions of the law or regulation that conflict with those tenets.

Your request explains that members of the University's Board of Trustees, which "completely control[s]" the University, are "like-minded Christians who are required annually to read and indicate consent to the University Creed." According to your letter, the Creed states that the "general nature and object of" the University is to "conduct an institution of learning. . . , giving special emphasis to the Christian religion and the ethics revealed in the Holy Scriptures." Your letter goes on to state that "Board members must also annually read and consent to the mission statement, as well as the general objectives of the University as set forth in the bylaws and charter, and the University's philosophy of education statement." You explain that faculty are also required "to affirm the University Creed . . . since the creed is foundational to all that [the University] does, including what is taught in its classes."

You request an exemption to the extent that Title IX or its implementing regulations "are interpreted to reach [the University's] selection of its president, and any other positions at [the University] for which ordination is a qualification." In support of this request, you explain that the University's Presidential Profile requires that the University president be an ordained preacher. You explain that the Presidential Profile is based on biblical requirements that

400 MARYLAND AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, DC 20202-1100
www.ed.gov

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.

ordained preachers be male.

Your letter also requests a religious exemption from certain provisions of Title IX “to the extent that Title IX or its accompanying regulations are interpreted to include selection of conference speakers or reach [the University]’s selection of Bible preachers in any other context.” You explain that the University “president selects speakers at his discretion” for University conferences or other events and that both “men and women have spoken at campus events and conferences” because “[s]peakers who will not preach the Bible need not be ordained.” However, because “it is the University’s sincerely held belief that only males may be ordained to the preaching and pastoral ministry,” only men are selected as speakers who will “preach the Bible at conferences or other events.” Specifically, “the president customarily begins with ordained preachers with whom he is familiar, possessing knowledge of their ministries, their orthodoxy, and their degree of agreement with [the University’s] understanding of Christian doctrine.”

You state that, for these reasons, the University is requesting an exemption from the following regulatory provisions to the extent they “are interpreted to curtail the University’s freedom to fill positions requiring ordination and select Bible preachers in accordance with theological commitments:”

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.21 (governing admission);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.22 (governing preference in admission);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.23 (governing recruitment of students);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.31 (governing education programs or activities);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.32 (governing housing);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.33 (governing comparable facilities such as restrooms and locker rooms);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.34 (governing access to classes and schools);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.36 (governing counseling);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.37 (governing financial assistance);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.38 (governing employment assistance to students);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.39 (governing health insurance benefits and services);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.40 (governing different rules based on marital or parental status of students);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.41 (governing athletics);
- 34 C.F.R. § 106.43 (governing standards for measuring skill or progress in physical education classes); and
- 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.51-106.61 (governing employment).

The University is exempt from these provisions to the extent that they prohibit discrimination on the basis of sex in the University’s decisions to fill positions requiring ordination and select Bible preachers for University conferences and events, and compliance would conflict with the controlling organization’s religious tenets.

Please note that this letter should not be construed to grant exemption from the requirements of Title IX and the regulation other than as stated above. In the event that OCR receives a complaint against your institution, we are obligated to determine initially whether the allegations fall within the exemption here granted. Also, in the unlikely event that a complainant alleges that the practices followed by the institution are not based on the religious tenets identified in your request, OCR is obligated to identify a controlling organization to contact to verify those tenets. If the organization provides an interpretation of tenets that has a different practical impact than that described by the institution, or if the organization denies that it controls the institution, this exemption will be rescinded.

I hope this letter responds fully to your request. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Catherine E. Lhamon
Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education