

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

REGION XV MICHIGAN OHIO

1350 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 325 CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1812

July 11, 2022

Via E-mail Only to dtowster@scottscrivenlaw.com

Derek L. Towster, Esq. Scott Scriven LLP 250 E. Broad Street, Suite 900 Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: OCR Docket No. 15-22-1185

Dear Derek L. Towster:

OCR enforces Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504), 29 U.S.C. § 794, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 104, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability by recipients of federal financial assistance. OCR also enforces Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Title II), 42 U.S.C. § 12131 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulation at 28 C.F.R. Part 35, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities. As a recipient of federal financial assistance from the Department of Education and as a public entity, the District is subject to these laws.

Based on the complaint allegations, OCR opened an investigation of the following legal issues:

- whether the District, on the basis of disability, excluded a student from participation in, denied a student the benefits of, or otherwise subjected a student to discrimination in its programs and activities based on the student's disability in violation of the regulation implementing Section 504 at 34 C.F.R. § 104.4, and the regulation implementing Title II at 28 C.F.R. § 35.130; and
- whether the District failed to provide a qualified student with a disability with a free appropriate public education (FAPE), in violation of the Section 504 implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. § 104.33

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.

To conduct its investigation, OCR reviewed information provided by the Complainant and the District and interviewed the Complainant and District employees. After a careful review and analysis of the information obtained during its investigation, OCR has determined that the evidence is sufficient to support a finding that the District violated the regulations implementing Section 504 and Title II as alleged. The bases for OCR's determination are explained below.

Summary of OCR's Investigation

During the 2021-2022 academic year, the Student was XXXXX – PARAGRAPH REMOVED – XXXXX

The District also cited to its attendance policy. The District's General Education Attendance Policy, Board Policy No. 5200 (Attendance), provides that a student is excessively absent when the student "is absent from school with combined *nonmedical excused absences and unexcused absences* in excess of thirty-eight (38) or more hours in one (1) school month, or sixty-five (65) or more hours in a school year." A student is habitually truant if the student is "absent *without a legitimate excuse* for thirty (30) or more consecutive hours, for forty-two (42) or more hours in one (1) school month, or seventy-two (72) or more hours in one (1) school year." (Emphasis added) The policy states that medically-excused absences due to personal illness or "other set of circumstances the Superintendent deems on a case-by-case basis to be a good and sufficient cause of medical absence from school" will not count toward a student's excessive absence hours.

The policy defines a medically excused absence as one due to illness or medical visit, and states that "a medical excuse for personal illness will be accepted in the form of doctor's [sic] note within 10 school days of the absence or parent call-in on the day of the absence due to illness or doctor's visit. A student may have up to ten (10) medically excused absences without a doctor's note, but with a phone call from a parent/guardian."

The principal of XXX, XXX XXXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXX X, 2021, XXXXXX, told OCR that she oversees the attendance XXXXXX and is responsible for ensuring that it complies with State of Ohio attendance requirements. XXXXX – REMAINDER OF PARAGRAPH REMOVED – XXXXX

XXXXX – PARAGRAPH REMOVED – XXXXX

XXXXX – PARAGRAPH REMOVED – XXXXX

XXXXX – PARAGRAPH REMOVED – XXXXX

XXXXX – PARAGRAPH REMOVED – XXXXX

Applicable Regulatory Standards

The Section 504 implementing regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.4(a), provides that no qualified person with a disability shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives or benefits from federal financial assistance. The Title II implementing regulation contains a similar provision, at 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(a). The Title II implementing regulation, at 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7)(i), requires a public entity to make reasonable modifications to its policies, practices, or procedures when the modifications are necessary to avoid discrimination on the basis of disability unless the public entity can demonstrate that making the modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of the service, program, or activity.

The Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.33, requires school districts to provide a free and appropriate public education (FAPE) to each qualified individual with a disability who is in the recipient's jurisdiction, regardless of the nature or the severity of the person's disability. An appropriate education for purposes of FAPE is defined as the provision of regular or special education and related aids and services that are designed to meet the individual educational needs of students with disabilities as adequately as the needs of nondisabled students are met, and that are developed in accordance with Section 504's procedural requirements at 34 C.F.R. §§ 104.34 - 104.36 regarding educational setting, evaluation, placement, and procedural safeguards. One

way a District can demonstrate that it has provided a student with FAPE is by showing that it fully implemented the student's properly developed Section 504 plan.

Analysis and Conclusion

OCR has determined that the District did not XXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX to accommodate the Student's disability and did not provide the Student with a FAPE in accordance with the requirements of Section 504 with respect to the Student's XXXXXXXXXX and XXX XXXXXXXXXX.

The evidence also shows that the District did not XXXXXXX XXXXXX the Student when she XXXXXXX XXXX in most instances. This is evidenced by the XXXXXXXXXX statement to OCR that only XXX XX XXX XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX in her XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX during the relevant period XXXX XXXXXXX. Although he later revised that assessment, the Student's XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX do not reflect whether the XXXXXXX XXXX XXXXXXX, and the information the District sent to the Complainant in the XXXXXXX X, 2021, letter indicate that most of them were XXX XXXXXXX. The Complainant told OCR that for many of those XXXXXXXXXXXXXX she had called XXX to report that the Student XXXXX XX XXXX, However, the Student's Section 504 plan did not explicitly require that XXX XXXX XXX and the District employees were not sure if the Student's Section 504 plan required the Complainant XX XXXX. Finally, the statement in the XXXXXXXX X letter did not reflect the District's updated attendance policy, which did not XXXXXXX XXXXXXX the Student. However, the District did not appear to XXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX, even though her Section 504 plan provided for a XXXXXXXX XXXXX XXXX. Thus, the District did not XXXXXX XXX XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX regarding the requirements for XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX to accommodate the Student's disability. By not XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, the District in her Section 504 plan.

XXXXX – SENTENCE REMOVED -- XXXXX

On July 11, 2022, the District signed the enclosed Resolution Agreement, which, when fully implemented, will address the violations in accordance with Section 504 and Title II. OCR will monitor the implementation of the Resolution Agreement.

This concludes OCR's investigation of the complaint and should not be interpreted to address the District's compliance with any other regulatory provision or to address any issues other than those addressed in this letter. This letter sets forth OCR's determination in an individual OCR case. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public.

Please be advised that the District may not harass, coerce, intimidate, or discriminate against any individual because he or she has filed a complaint or participated in the complaint resolution process. If this happens, the individual may file another complaint alleging such treatment.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. In the event that OCR receives such a request, OCR will seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personally identifiable information, which, if released, could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The Complainant may file a private suit in federal court, whether or not OCR finds a violation.

OCR looks forward to receiving the District's first monitoring report by July 22, 2022. For questions about implementation of the Agreement, please contact XXXXX XXXXXXX, who will be overseeing the monitoring and can be reached by telephone at (XXX) XXX-XXXX or

Page 7 – Derek L. Towster, Esq.

by e-mail at XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX@ed.gov. If you have questions about this letter, please contact me by telephone at (216) 522-2667, or by e-mail at Brenda.Redmond@ed.gov.

Sincerely,

Brenda Redmond Supervisory Attorney/Team Leader

Enclosure