

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

400 MARYLAND AVENUE, SW WASHINGTON, DC 20202-1475

REGION XI NORTH CAROLINA SOUTH CAROLINA VIRGINIA WASHINGTON, DC

September 5, 2018

Dr. Eric Williams Superintendent Loudoun County Public Schools 21000 Education Court Ashburn, Virginia 20148

> Re: OCR Complaint No. XXXXX Letter of Findings

Dear Dr. Williams:

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) of the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) has completed its investigation of the complaint we received on December 28, 2017 against Loudoun County Public Schools (the Division). The Complainant filed the complaint on behalf of a student (the Student) at XXXXX (the School). The Complainant alleged that the Division discriminated against the Student on the basis of disability. Specifically, the complaint alleged that on XXXXX, the School failed to implement the Student's Individualized Education Program (IEP) and Behavior Intervention Plan when XXXXX.

OCR enforces Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504) and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 104, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability in programs and activities that receive Federal financial assistance from the Department. OCR also enforces Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Title II) and its implementing regulation at 28 C.F.R. Part 35, which prohibit discrimination against qualified individuals with disabilities by public entities, including public education systems and institutions, regardless of whether they receive Federal financial assistance from the Department. Because the Division receives Federal financial assistance from the Department and is a public entity, OCR has jurisdiction over it pursuant to Section 504 and Title II.

In reaching a determination, OCR reviewed documents provided by the Complainant and the Division. Before OCR completed its investigation, the Division expressed a willingness to resolve the complaint on June 27, 2018.

Following is a summary of the relevant legal standards and information obtained by OCR during the investigation.

## **Background**

The Student is a student with XXXXX. During the 2017-2018 school year, the Student was a XXXXX who XXXXX. In XXXXX, the Division held an IEP meeting for the Student and added the following accommodation to the Student's IEP: XXXXX.

## Legal Standard

The Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.33, requires school districts to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to students with disabilities. An appropriate education is regular or special education and related aids and services that are designed to meet the individual educational needs of students with disabilities as adequately as the needs of students without disabilities are met and that are developed in compliance with Section 504's procedural requirements. Implementation of an Individualized Education Program (IEP) developed in accordance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act is one means of meeting this standard.

### Analysis

#### XXXXX.

As stated above, before the conclusion of the investigation, the Division expressed a willingness to resolve the complaint by taking the steps set out in the enclosed Resolution Agreement.

## Conclusion

Pursuant to Section 302 of OCR's *Case Processing Manual*, the Division signed the enclosed Resolution Agreement on August 1, 2018 which, when fully implemented, will resolve the allegation raised in this complaint. The provisions of the Agreement are aligned with the allegation and issues raised by the Complainant and the information obtained during OCR's investigation, and are consistent with applicable law and regulation. OCR will monitor the Division's implementation of the Agreement until the Division has fulfilled the terms of the Agreement. Failure to implement the Agreement could result in OCR reopening the complaint.

This concludes OCR's investigation of the complaint. This letter should not be interpreted to address the Division's compliance with any other regulatory provision or to address any issues other than those addressed in this letter. This letter sets forth OCR's determination in an individual OCR case. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public. The complainant may have the right to file a private suit in federal court whether or not OCR finds a violation.

Please be advised that the Division must not harass, coerce, intimidate, discriminate, or otherwise retaliate against an individual because that individual asserts a right or privilege under a law enforced by OCR or files a complaint, testifies, assists, or participates in a proceeding under a law enforced by OCR. If this happens, the individual may file a retaliation complaint with OCR.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. If OCR receives such a request, we will seek to protect personally identifiable information that could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy if released, to the extent provided by law.

We appreciate the Division's cooperation in the resolution of this complaint. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Jennifer Barmon, the OCR attorney assigned to this complaint, at 202-453-6751 or <a href="mailto:Jennifer.barmon@ed.gov">Jennifer.barmon@ed.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

David Hensel Supervisory Attorney, Team III Office for Civil Rights District of Columbia Office

cc: Anne Mickey and Katherine Ballou, Reed Smith LLP