

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

1999 BRYAN ST., SUITE 1620 DALLAS, TX 75201-6810 REGION VI ARKANSAS LOUISIANA MISSISSIPPI TEXAS

## VIA MAIL

Dr. Henderson Lewis Jr., Superintendent Orleans Parish School Board 3520 General DeGaulle Drive, Suite 5055 New Orleans, LA 70114

Re: OCR Complaint No. 06-16-1307

Dear Dr. Lewis:

This letter is to notify you that the U.S. Department of Education (Department), Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Dallas Office, has completed its processing of the above-referenced complaint for resolution. The complaint was received in our office on February 29, 2016, and filed against the Orleans Parish School Board (District) in New Orleans, Louisiana. The complainant alleged that the District discriminated against XXXX (Student) on the basis of disability. The complainant also alleged retaliation.

OCR is responsible for determining whether entities that receive or benefit from Federal financial assistance from the Department, or an agency that has delegated investigative authority to the Department, are in compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and its implementing regulations at 34 C.F.R. Part 104, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability. OCR also enforces Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Title II), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations at 28 C.F.R. Part 35. Under Title II, OCR has jurisdiction over complaints alleging discrimination on the basis of disability that are filed against public entities. The Section 504 implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. § 104.61 incorporates by reference the provision against retaliation under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 100. Title II also has a provision prohibiting retaliation at 28 C.F.R. § 35.134. The District is a recipient of Federal financial assistance from the Department and is a public entity. Therefore, OCR has jurisdictional authority to process this complaint for resolution under Section 504 and Title II.

Based on the complainant's allegations, OCR opened for investigation the following legal issues:

Issue #1: Whether the OPSB discriminated against the Student, based on disability, when it failed to provide the Student an appropriate public education by not providing him with the regular or special education and related aids and services (e.g. XX – phrase redacted - XX) deemed necessary by the group of knowledgeable people and as delineated in his Individualized Education Program (IEP), as prohibited by Section 504 and its

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access. implementing regulation, at 34 CFR § 104.33 and Title II and its implementing regulation, at 28 CFR § 35. 130;

Issue #2: Whether the OPSB discriminated against the Student, based on disability, when it failed to provide the complainant a grievance process that provides for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints of disability discrimination (i.e., XX - phrase redacted - XX), as prohibited by Section 504 and its implementing regulation, at 34 CFR § 104.7 and Title II and its implementing regulation, at 28 CFR § 35.107; and

Issue #3: Whether the OPSB retaliated against the Student when his: a) XX-phrase redacted - XX; and b) XX-phrase redacted - XX, as prohibited by Section 504, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. § 104.61 [incorporating by reference the procedures for Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 found at 34 C.F.R. § 100.7 (e)], and Title II, and its implementing regulation at 28 C.F.R. § 34.134.

During its investigation, OCR reviewed information provided by the District. Prior to the completion of OCRs investigation, the District informed OCR that it was interested in resolving the complaint through a voluntary resolution agreement. Section 302 of OCR's *Case Processing Manual* provides that a complaint may be resolved at any time when, prior to the conclusion of an investigation, the recipient expresses an interest in resolving the allegation. The provisions of the resulting voluntary resolution agreement will be aligned with the complaint allegations or the information obtained during the investigation, and will be consistent with applicable regulations. OCR approved the District's request to resolve this complaint prior to the conclusion of the investigation.

The District voluntarily submitted the enclosed Resolution Agreement (Agreement) to resolve this complaint; the District signed the Agreement on April 13, 2017. OCR has determined the provisions of the Agreement are aligned with the complaint allegations and appropriately resolves them. Further, OCR accepts the Agreement as an assurance the District will fulfill its obligations under Section 504 and Title II with respect to this complaint. The dates for implementation and specific actions are detailed in the enclosed Agreement. Accordingly, as of the date of this letter, OCR will cease all investigative actions regarding this complaint. However, OCR will actively monitor the District's implementation of the Agreement. Please be advised that if the District fails to adhere to the actions outlined in the Agreement, OCR will immediately resume its compliance efforts.

This letter sets forth OCR's determination in the individual OCR case identified above. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public.

Please be advised the District may not harass, coerce, intimidate, or discriminate against any individual because he or she has filed a complaint or participated in the complaint resolution process. If this happens, the complainant may file another complaint alleging such treatment.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. In the event that OCR receives such a request, we will

seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personally identifiable information, which, if released, could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Thank you for the cooperation extended to OCR in our efforts to resolve this complaint. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Stephanie Inman, the attorney assigned to the matter, at (214) 661-9651 or <u>stephanie.inman@ed.gov</u>. You may also contact the Team Leader, Melissa Huling Malonson, at (214) 661-9600 or at <u>melissa.malonson@ed.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Taylor D. August Director Office for Civil Rights Dallas Office