

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

**OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS** 500 WEST MADISON ST., SUITE 1475 CHICAGO, IL 60661-4544 REGION V ILLINOIS INDIANA IOWA MINNESOTA NORTH DAKOTA WISCONSIN

January 11, 2017

Mr. Steve Gettel Superintendent Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School 1002 G Avenue Vinton, IA 52349

Re: OCR Docket #05-16-1460

Dear Superintendent Gettel:

This is to advise you of the resolution of the above-referenced complaint investigation of the Iowa Braille and Sight Saving School (School) by the United States Department of Education (Department), Office for Civil Rights (OCR). The complaint, which was received on August 15, 2016, alleged that the School is discriminating, on the basis of disability, because certain pages on its website are not accessible to persons with disabilities.

OCR is responsible for enforcing Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (Section 504), 29 United States Code (U.S.C.) § 794, et seq., and its implementing regulations at 34 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) Part 104, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability in programs and activities of recipients of federal financial assistance. OCR also is responsible for enforcing Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended (Title II), 42 U.S.C. § 12131, et seq., and its implementing regulations at 28 C.F.R. Part 35, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability in programs, services, and activities of public entities. As a recipient of Federal financial assistance from the Department and as a public entity, the School is subject to these laws.

This letter summarizes the applicable legal standards, the information gathered during the investigation, and how the investigation was resolved.

## Legal Authority

Section 504 and Title II provide that no qualified persons with disabilities shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination by recipients of federal financial assistance or by public entities.<sup>1</sup> Persons with disabilities must have equal access to the programs, services, or activities unless doing so would fundamentally alter the nature of the programs, services, or activities, or would impose an undue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 34 C.F.R. § 104.4 and 28 C.F.R. § 35.130

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.

Page 2 – Mr. Gettel

burden.<sup>2</sup> Under Section 504 and Title II. individuals with disabilities must be afforded an opportunity that is equal to the opportunity afforded others to participate in or benefit from aids, benefits, and services<sup>3</sup> and must be provided with aids, benefits, or services that provide an equal opportunity as others to achieve the same result or the same level of achievement.<sup>4</sup> An individual with a disability, or a class of individuals with disabilities, may be provided with a different or separate aid, benefit, or service only if doing so is necessary to ensure that the aid, benefit, or service is as effective as that provided to others.<sup>5</sup> Title II also requires public entities to take steps to ensure that communications with people with disabilities are as effective as communications with others, subject to the fundamental alteration and undue burden defenses.<sup>6</sup> In sum, programs, services, and activities-whether in a "brick and mortar," on-line, or other "virtual" contextmust be operated in ways that comply with Section 504 and Title II.

Recipients and public entities must ensure equal access to the educational benefits and opportunities afforded by technology and equal treatment in the use of the technology for all individuals with disabilities who access their programs or activities, including members of the public.

## **Investigation To Date**

During the course of the investigation OCR examined a number of pages on the School's webpages to determine whether they are accessible to persons with disabilities. These webpages included:

- the district homepage, \_
- Iowa Deaf-Blind Project, \_
- Calendar, For Student and Families,
- Families, Students, Resources,
- Adaptive PE and Recreation,
- Assistive Technology, and
- \_ Library.

OCR conducted a preliminary evaluation of the above-listed pages and had concerns with the accessibility of certain features to persons with disabilities, including visually impaired persons (blind and low vision), mobility impaired persons, and hearing impaired persons. These concerns include issues with the keyboard controls for persons with a mobility impairment who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 28 C.F.R. § 35.164 <sup>3</sup> 34 C.F.R. § 104.4(b)(1)(ii); 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(1)(ii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 34 C.F.R. § 104.4(b)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(1)(iii)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 34 C.F.R. § 104.4(b)(1)(iv); 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(1)(iv)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 28 C.F.R. § 35.160(a)(1)

Page 3 – Mr. Gettel

cannot use a mouse and missing alt tabs or text that provide information for persons with a visual impairment. The School has addressed some of the accessibility concerns. These barriers may deny persons with disabilities access to programs, services, and activities offered on the website and may impede the School's communications with persons with disabilities.

Prior to the conclusion of OCR's investigation, the School expressed an interest in voluntarily resolving this case. In order to conclude OCR's investigation of this complaint, OCR would have had to conduct interviews, review documents, and examine a broader range of pages on School's website. In light of School's willingness to address its website comprehensively without further investigation, OCR determined entering into a voluntary resolution agreement would be appropriate.

## **Resolution Agreement**

The School submitted a signed resolution agreement (Agreement) to OCR on December 21, 2016. The School committed to take actions such as:

- Conducting a thorough assessment of existing online content and functionality to identify any on-line content that is inaccessible, address it and ensuring the personnel conducting assessment will have knowledge and in website;
- Making all new website content and functionality accessible to people with disabilities;
- Developing a corrective action plan to prioritize the removal of online barriers;
- Posting a notice to persons with disabilities about how to request access to online information or functionality that is currently inaccessible; and
- Providing website accessibility training to all appropriate personnel.

This concludes OCR's investigation of the complaint. These findings should not be interpreted to address the School's compliance with any other regulatory provision or to address any issues other than those addressed in this letter. OCR will monitor the School's implementation of the Agreement. When OCR concludes the School has fully implemented the terms of the Agreement and is in compliance with the statutes and regulations at issue in the case, OCR will terminate its monitoring and close the case. If the School fails to implement the Agreement, OCR may seek compliance with the federal civil rights laws through any means authorized by law, including to enforce the specific terms of the enclosed Agreement.

This letter sets forth OCR's determination in an individual OCR case. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public. The complainant may have the right to file a private suit in federal court whether or not OCR finds a violation.

Page 4 – Mr. Gettel

Please be advised that the School may not harass, coerce, intimidate, discriminate or otherwise retaliate against any individual because he or she asserted a right or privilege under a law enforced by OCR or filed a complaint, testified, or participated in the complaint resolution process. If this happens, the individual may file a retaliation complaint with OCR.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. In the event that OCR receives such a request, OCR will seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personally identifiable information that could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy if released.

Thank you for the assistance the School and its Superintendent, Mr. Steve Gettel, extended to OCR in resolving this complaint. We look forward to receiving School's first reports about its implementation of the Agreement by February 28, 2017. If you have any questions, please contact Roberto Flores, Equal Opportunity Specialist, at 312-730-1688 or by email at roberto.flores@ed.gov or Dan Altschul, Senior Attorney, at (312) 730-1563 or by email at dan.altschul@ed.gov.

Sincerely,

Marcela Sanchez-Aguilar Supervisory Attorney

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Steve Gettel