

Allegations 1 and 2 above through the enclosed resolution agreement. XXXXXXXXXXXX
XX
XX

LEGAL STANDARDS

The Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.4(a), provides that no qualified person with a disability shall be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise be subjected to discrimination in a school district's programs or activities on the basis of disability. The Title II regulation contains a similar prohibition at 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(a). The Title II regulation, at 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7), also requires school districts to make reasonable modifications to policies, procedures, or practices when necessary to avoid discrimination on the basis of disability, unless the modification would fundamentally alter the nature of the service, program, or activity.

The regulation implementing Section 504 at 34 C.F.R. § 104.33 requires public school districts to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to all students with disabilities in their jurisdictions. An appropriate education is defined as regular or special education and related aids and services that are designed to meet the individual needs of students with disabilities as adequately as the needs of non-disabled students are met, and that are developed in accordance with the procedural requirements of §§ 104.34-104.36 pertaining to educational setting, evaluation and placement, and due process protections.

The Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.7(b), requires recipients that employ 15 or more people to adopt grievance procedures that incorporate appropriate due process standards and that provide for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints of Section 504 violations. The Title II regulation, at 28 C.F.R. § 35.107(b), requires public entities that employ 50 or more people to adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints of Title II violations.

FACTS

Allegation 1

XX – Paragraphs Redacted – XX

Allegation 2

The Complainant alleges that the District does not have grievance procedures in place to address concerns about disability discrimination, and as a result she did not know who to contact regarding the incidents underlying Allegation 1.

XX – Paragraphs Redacted – XX

Allegation 3

The Complainant alleges that the District and County staff require diabetic students to undergo monthly health assessments (Nursing Health Assessments) that are not required of non-disabled students. She stated that she called other state county health departments and was informed that these assessments are not required elsewhere in the state.

XX – Paragraphs Redacted – XX

Conclusion

To resolve Allegations 1 and 2 above, the District entered into the attached Resolution Agreement, signed on January 28, 2021. Once the Resolution Agreement is fully implemented, the District will be in compliance with Section 504 and Title II with respect to the events underlying Allegations 1 and 2. As is our usual practice, OCR will monitor the District's implementation of the Resolution Agreement.

This concludes OCR's investigation of the complaint. This letter should not be interpreted to address the District's compliance with any other regulatory provision or to address any issues other than those addressed in this letter. This letter sets forth OCR's determination in an individual OCR case. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public. The complainant may have the right to file a private suit in federal court whether or not OCR finds a violation.

The Complainant has a right to appeal OCR's determination regarding Allegation 3 within 60 calendar days of the date indicated on this letter. In the appeal, the Complainant must explain why the factual information was incomplete or incorrect, the legal analysis was incorrect or the appropriate legal standard was not applied, and how correction of any error(s) would change the outcome of the case; failure to do so may result in dismissal of the appeal. If the Complainant appeals OCR's determination, OCR will forward a copy of the appeal form or written statement to the recipient. The District has the option to submit to OCR a response to the appeal. The District must submit any response within 14 calendar days of the date that OCR forwarded a copy of the appeal to the District.

Please be advised that the District must not harass, coerce, intimidate, discriminate, or otherwise retaliate against an individual because that individual asserts a right or privilege under a law enforced by OCR or files a complaint, testifies, or participates in an OCR proceeding. If this happens, the individual may file a retaliation complaint with OCR.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. If OCR receives such a request, we will seek

to protect personally identifiable information that could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy if released, to the extent provided by law.

We appreciate the District's cooperation in the resolution of this complaint. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at 215-656-5805 or Christina.Haviland@ed.gov.

Sincerely,

/s/

Christina M. Haviland
Supervisory Attorney
Philadelphia Office
Office for Civil Rights

Enclosure

cc: Jamie Cannon, Counsel for District