

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS REGION III DELAWARE KENTUCKY MARYLAND PENNSYLVANIA WEST VIRGINIA

THE WANAMAKER BUILDING, SUITE 515 100 PENN SQUARE EAST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-3323

September 17, 2019

IN RESPONSE, PLEASE REFER TO: 03181228 & 03191081

Dr. Daryl L. Williams Superintendent of Schools Baltimore County Public Schools 6901 Charles Street Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Dr. Williams:

- 1. Failing to provide the Student with assistive technology devices that interfaced with the District's online resources and by failing to make course materials available online.
- 2. Failing to provide the Student with extra time on tests, quizzes and assignments.
- 3. Failing to provide the Student with organizational supports.
- 4. Failing to provide the Student with electronic copies of class notes.
- 5. Denying the Student use of a flash pass XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Further, in OCR complaint #03191081, the Complainant alleged that the District discriminated against the Student on the basis of disability by:

Page 2 – Dr. Daryl L. Williams

OCR enforces:

- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and its implementing regulation, 34 C.F.R. Part 104. Section 504 prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by recipients of Federal financial assistance.
- Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12131, and its implementing regulation, 28 C.F.R. Part 35. Title II prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities.

As a recipient of Federal financial assistance from the Department and a public entity, the District is subject to these laws and their implementing regulations.

Legal Standards:

The Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.33, requires school districts to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to students with disabilities. An appropriate education is regular or special education and related aids and services that are designed to meet the individual educational needs of students with disabilities as adequately as the needs of students without disabilities are met and that are developed in compliance with Section 504's procedural requirements. Implementation of an Individualized Education Program (IEP) developed in accordance with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act is one means of meeting this standard. OCR interprets the Title II regulation, at 28 C.F.R. §§ 35.103(a) and 35.130(b)(1)(ii) and (iii), to require school districts to provide FAPE to the same extent required under the Section 504 regulation.

In interpreting evaluation data and making placement decisions, the Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.35(c), requires that a school district draw upon information from a variety of sources, including aptitude and achievement tests, teacher recommendations, physical condition, social or cultural background, and adaptive behavior; establish procedures to ensure that information obtained from all such sources is documented and carefully considered; ensure that the placement decision is made by a group of persons, including persons knowledgeable about the student, the meaning of the evaluation data, and the placement options; and ensure that each student with a disability is educated with peers without disabilities to the maximum extent appropriate to the needs of the student with a disability.

Investigation to Date:

The Student attended XXXXX High School and received special education services pursuant to a Section 504 Plan during the 2017 – 2018 and 2018-2019 school years. The Student's Plan was operative without significant revision or modification throughout the 2017-2018 school year. The Complainant asserts, and correspondence provided by both the District and the Complainant documents, that the Complainant raised concerns about

the implementation and interpretation of the Student's Section Plan throughout the school year.

XX – Paragraph Redacted - XX

CONCLUSION

Under OCR procedures, a complaint may be resolved before the conclusion of an investigation if a recipient asks to resolve the complaint by signing a voluntary resolution agreement. The provisions of the agreement must be aligned with the complaint allegations and the issues investigated and be consistent with applicable regulations. Such a request does not constitute an admission of liability on the part of a recipient, nor does it constitute a determination by OCR of any violation of our regulations. Consistent with OCR's procedures, the District requested to resolve the issues in this complaint through a voluntary resolution agreement, which was executed on September 6, 2019. Accordingly, OCR is concluding its investigation of this complaint. A copy of the signed Agreement is enclosed. As is our standard practice, OCR will monitor the District's implementation of the Agreement.

This letter is not intended, nor should it be construed, to cover any other issues regarding the District's compliance with Section 504, Title II and their implementing regulations that may exist and are not discussed herein.

Under the Freedom of Information Act it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. In the event that OCR receives such a request, it will seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personally identifiable information, which, if released, could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

If you have any questions, you may contact investigator Josh Galiotto at (215) 656-8587 or by email at joshua.galiotto@ed.gov.

Sincerely,

/s/

Joseph P. Mahoney Program Manager

Enclosure Cc: Stephen Cowles, Esq.