

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS, REGION I 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, 8th FLOOR BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109-3921

October 23, 2018

President John L. Hopkins Post University By Email: jhopkins@post.edu

Re: Complaint No. 01-18-2192 Post University

Dear President Hopkins:

This letter is to advise you of the outcome of the complaint that the U.S. Department of Education (Department), Office for Civil Rights (OCR) received against Post University (University). The Complainant alleged that the University discriminated against her on the basis of disability by failing to provide her with the approved academic adjustment of XXXXXXX XXXX for the XXXXX assignment in her XXXXXX XXXXXX Class in XXXXXX 201X, in violation of 34 C.F.R. Section 104.44. As explained further below, before OCR completed its investigation, the University expressed a willingness to resolve the complaint by taking the steps set out in the enclosed Resolution Agreement (Agreement).

OCR enforces Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504) and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 104, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability in any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance from the Department. Because the University receives federal financial assistance from the Department, OCR has jurisdiction over it pursuant to Section 504.

The Section 504 regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 104.43(a), provides that a qualified person with a disability may not be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination in any postsecondary aids, benefits, or services on the basis of disability. The regulation at § 104.44(a) requires a university to modify its academic requirements as necessary to ensure that such requirements do not discriminate or have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability against a qualified student with a disability. The regulation at § 104.44(d) requires a university to ensure that no qualified individual with a disability is denied the benefits of, excluded from participation in, or otherwise subjected to discrimination because of the absence of educational auxiliary aids for students with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills.

Universities may establish reasonable requirements and procedures for students to provide documentation of their disability and request academic adjustments and auxiliary aids and services. Students are responsible for obtaining disability documentation and for knowing and following the procedures established by the university. Once the student has provided adequate notice and documentation of his/her disability and the need for modifications due to the disability, the university must provide the student with appropriate academic adjustments and

auxiliary aids and services that are necessary to afford the student an equal opportunity to participate in a school's program. However, the university is not required to make adjustments or provide aids or services that would result in a fundamental alteration of the university's program or impose an undue burden.

In determining what modifications are appropriate for a student with a disability, the university should familiarize itself with the student's disability and documentation, explore potential modifications, and exercise professional judgment. The question of whether a university has to make modifications to its academic requirements or provide auxiliary aids is determined on a case-by-case basis. Section 504 envisions a meaningful and informed process with respect to the provision of modifications, e.g., through an interactive and collaborative process between the university and the student. If a university denies a request for a modification, it should clearly communicate the reasons for its decision to the student so that the student has a reasonable opportunity to respond and provide additional documentation that would address the university's objections.

Prior to the conclusion of OCR's investigation and pursuant to Section 302 of OCR's *Case Processing Manual*, the University expressed an interest in resolving this complaint and OCR determined that a voluntary resolution is appropriate. Subsequent discussions between OCR and the University resulted in the University signing the enclosed Agreement which, when fully implemented, will address all of the allegations raised in the complaint. OCR will monitor the University's implementation of the Agreement.

This concludes OCR's investigation of the complaint. This letter should not be interpreted to address the University's compliance with any other regulatory provision or to address any issues other than those addressed in this letter. This letter sets forth OCR's determination in an individual OCR case. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public. The Complainant may have the right to file a private suit in federal court whether or not OCR finds a violation.

Please be advised that the University must not harass, coerce, intimidate, discriminate, or otherwise retaliate against an individual because that individual asserts a right or privilege under a law enforced by OCR or files a complaint, testifies, assists, or participates in a proceeding under a law enforced by OCR. If this happens, the individual may file a retaliation complaint with OCR.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related correspondence and records upon request. If OCR receives such a request, we will seek to protect personally identifiable information that could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy if released, to the extent provided by law.

If you have any questions, you may contact Civil Rights Attorney Stephanie Leiter at (617) 289-0006 or by e-mail at Stephanie.Leiter@ed.gov.

Sincerely,

/s/

Ramzi Ajami Compliance Team Leader

Enclosure

cc: Elaine Neely, Chief Regulatory Officer, <u>eneely@Post.edu</u>