




Summary of 2010 i3 Highest-Rated Applications

Data Type	Summary Data Table			Summary Graphic																
Type of Grant	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>Percent</u></th> <th><u>Count</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Scale-Up</td> <td>8%</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Validation</td> <td>31%</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Development</u></td> <td>61%</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>100%</td> <td>49</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<u>Percent</u>	<u>Count</u>	Scale-Up	8%	4	Validation	31%	15	<u>Development</u>	61%	30	TOTAL	100%	49	<p>Grant Type</p> 			
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Count</u>																		
Scale-Up	8%	4																		
Validation	31%	15																		
<u>Development</u>	61%	30																		
TOTAL	100%	49																		
Absolute Priority	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>Percent</u></th> <th><u>Count</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>AP1</td> <td>24%</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AP2</td> <td>18%</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>AP3</td> <td>31%</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>AP4</u></td> <td>27%</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>100%</td> <td>49</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		<u>Percent</u>	<u>Count</u>	AP1	24%	12	AP2	18%	9	AP3	31%	15	<u>AP4</u>	27%	13	TOTAL	100%	49	<p>Absolute Priority</p> 
	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Count</u>																		
AP1	24%	12																		
AP2	18%	9																		
AP3	31%	15																		
<u>AP4</u>	27%	13																		
TOTAL	100%	49																		
Competitive Preference Priorities	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>Percent*</u></th> <th><u>Count</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>CP5</td> <td>27%</td> <td>13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CP6</td> <td>41%</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CP7</td> <td>57%</td> <td>28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CP8</td> <td>39%</td> <td>19</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*calculated as a percentage of total number of highly-rated applicants</p>		<u>Percent*</u>	<u>Count</u>	CP5	27%	13	CP6	41%	20	CP7	57%	28	CP8	39%	19	<p>Competitive Preference Priority</p> 			
	<u>Percent*</u>	<u>Count</u>																		
CP5	27%	13																		
CP6	41%	20																		
CP7	57%	28																		
CP8	39%	19																		

Summary of 2010 i3 Highest-Rated Applications

Summary of i3 Awards by State and Grant Type									
Grant Type					Grant Type				
STATE	Scale-up	Validation	Development	Grand Total	STATE	Scale-up	Validation	Development	Grand Total
AK					MT				
AL					NC			1	1
AR					ND				
AZ					NE				
CA	1	1	6	8	NH				
CO		1	1	2	NJ				
CT			1	1	NM				
DC		2	2	4	NV				
DE					NY	1	1	3	5
FL			2	2	OH	1			1
GA			1	1	OK				
HI					OR			1	1
IA					PA		2		2
ID					PR				
IL			2	2	RI				
IN					SC				
KS					SD				
KY			1	1	TN		1		1
LA		1		1	TX			1	1
MA		1	4	5	UT		1		1
MD	1	1	1	3	VA		1		1
ME					VT				
MI					WA			1	1
MN			1	1	WI			1	1
MO		2		2	WV				
MS					WY				

## 49 highest-rated i3 applicants serving in more than 250 project locations



Summary of 2010 i3 Highest-Rated Applications

<b>Summary of i3 Awards by Absolute Priority and Grant Type</b>				
	Grant Type			Grand Total
ABSOLUTE PRIORITY	Scale-Up	Validation	Development	
AP1: Effective Teachers and Principals	2	4	6	12
AP2: Use of Data	0	2	7	9
AP3: High Standards and High-Quality Assessments	0	5	10	15
AP4: Persistently Low-Performing Schools	2	4	7	13
TOTAL				49