

Department of Education
ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION
Fiscal Year 2014 Budget Request

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Appropriations Language	I-1
Appropriation Adjustments and Transfers	I-2
Authorizing Legislation	I-3
Appropriations History	I-4
Activity:	
Language acquisition State grants.....	I-5
*State Table	

*State tables reflecting final 2013 allocations and 2014 estimates will be posted on the Department's Web page at:
<http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/statetables/index.html#update>

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

NOTE

No appropriations language is included for this account. All programs are authorized under the expired Elementary and Secondary Education Act; when new authorizing legislation for the Elementary and Secondary Education Act is enacted, a budget request for these programs will be proposed.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Appropriation Adjustments and Transfers
(dollars in thousands)

Appropriation/Adjustments/Transfers	2012	2013	2014
Discretionary:			
Appropriation.....	\$733,530	\$732,144	\$732,144
Across-the-board reduction (P.L. 112-74).....	-1,386	0	0
Across-the-board increase (P.L. 112-175).....	<u>0</u>	<u>+4,480</u>	<u>0</u>
Total, adjusted discretionary appropriation	732,144	736,624	732,144

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Authorizing Legislation
(dollars in thousands)

Activity	2013 Authorized	2013 Estimate	2014 Authorized	2014 Request
Language acquisition State grants (ESEA-III-A)	0 ¹	\$732,144	To be determined ²	\$732,144
<u>Unfunded authorizations</u>				
Program development and enhancement (ESEA-III-B-1)	0 ^{1,3}	0	0 ³	0
Research, evaluation, and dissemination (ESEA-III-B-2)	0 ^{1,3}	0	0 ³	0
Professional development (ESEA-III-B-3)	0 ^{1,3}	0	0 ³	0
Immigrant education (ESEA-III-B-4)	<u>0^{1,3}</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0³</u>	<u>0</u>
Total definite authorization				
Total appropriation		732,144		732,144
Portion of request subject to reauthorization				732,144
Total appropriation including 0.612 percent ATB increase		736,624		

¹ ESEA section 3001(b)(1) provides that only Part A will be in effect in any year in which the appropriation equals or exceeds \$650 million.

² The GEPA extension expired September 30, 2008; reauthorizing legislation is sought for FY 2014.

³ The GEPA extension expired September 30, 2008. No appropriations language or reauthorizing legislation is sought for 2014.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Appropriations History (dollars in thousands)

Year	Budget Estimate to Congress	House Allowance	Senate Allowance	Appropriation
2005	681,215	681,215	700,000	675,765
2006	675,765	675,765	683,415	669,007
2007	669,007	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	669,007 ¹
2008	670,819	774,614	670,819	700,395
2009	730,000	730,000 ²	730,000 ²	730,000
2010	730,000	760,000	750,000 ³	750,000
2011	800,000	750,000 ⁴	800,000 ³	733,530 ⁵
2012	750,000	733,531 ⁶	733,530 ⁶	732,144
2013	732,144	732,144 ⁷	732,144 ⁷	732,144 ⁸
2014	732,144			

¹ This account operated under a full-year continuing resolution (P.L. 110-5). House and Senate allowances are shown as N/A (Not Available) because neither body passed a separate appropriations bill.

² The levels for the House and Senate allowances reflect action on the regular annual 2009 appropriations bill, which proceeded in the 110th Congress only through the House Subcommittee and the Senate Committee.

³ The level for the Senate allowance reflects Committee action only.

⁴ The level for the House allowance reflects the House-passed full-year continuing resolution.

⁵ The level for appropriation reflects the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011 (P.L. 112-10).

⁶ The level for the House allowance reflects an introduced bill; the level for the Senate allowance reflects Senate Committee action only.

⁷ The level for the House and Senate allowances reflect action on the regular annual 2013 appropriations bill, which proceeded in the 112th Congress only through the House Subcommittee and the Senate Committee.

⁸ The amount shown includes the 0.612 percent across-the-board increase provided by P.L. 12-175, in effect through March 27, 2013.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

(proposed legislation)

(dollars in thousands)

FY 2014 Authorization: To be determined¹

Budget Authority:

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>Change from</u> <u>2012</u>
	\$732,144	\$732,144 ²	\$732,144	0

¹ The GEPA extension expired September 30, 2008; reauthorizing legislation is sought for FY 2014.

² Excludes 0.612 percent across-the-board increase provided in P.L. 112-175.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Funding for Language Acquisition State Grants, which are authorized by Title III, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), supports formula grants to States to serve English Learners (ELs) as well as competitive awards for a variety of national activities.

The Department uses 92.5 percent of program funds to make formula grants to States based on each State's share of the Nation's EL and recent immigrant student populations, with 80 percent of allocations based on State shares of ELs and 20 percent based on State shares of recent immigrant students. The Department uses American Community Survey (ACS) data provided by the Census Bureau to determine the counts of both EL and immigrant students.

States must use at least 95 percent of their formula funds for subgrants to eligible entities, (local educational agencies (LEAs) or consortia of LEAs), based primarily on each subgrantee's share of the State's ELs and a plan submitted by the subgrantee to the State on how it will meet the State's annual measurable achievement objectives (AMAOs) for ELs. States must use up to 15 percent of these funds to increase the size of awards to subgrantees that have experienced a significant increase in the percentage or number of recent immigrant students over the preceding 2 years. States may use up to 5 percent of their allocations for State-level activities, such as professional development, planning, and evaluation, as well as the provision of technical assistance. State-level planning and administrative costs may not exceed 60 percent of the State set-aside, or \$175,000, whichever is greater.

States must develop AMAOs that measure ELs' improvement in and achievement of English language proficiency and whether ELs meet the State's academic content and academic achievement standards. If a subgrantee fails to make progress toward meeting these objectives for 2 consecutive years, the State must require the subgrantee to develop an improvement plan.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

If the subgrantee fails to meet AMAOs after 4 consecutive years, the State must require the subgrantee to modify the curriculum or method of instruction or replace educational personnel. The State may also terminate assistance to the subgrantee.

The statute also establishes a 0.5 percent or \$5.0 million, whichever is greater, set-aside for schools operated predominantly for Native American and Alaska Native children. Under this set-aside, the Department makes competitive awards to tribes, schools funded by the Department of the Interior/Bureau of Indian Education, and other qualifying entities. The statute also sets aside 0.5 percent of the appropriation for the Outlying Areas.

The statute requires the Department to set aside 6.5 percent of the appropriation for the following national activities: the National Professional Development project (NPDP), the National Clearinghouse for English Language Acquisition and Language Instruction Educational Programs (NCELA), and evaluation activities. Under the NPDP, the Department makes 5-year awards to institutions of higher education that have entered into consortium arrangements with State or local educational agencies for the purpose of providing professional development aimed at increasing the pool of certified or licensed teachers prepared to serve ELs and enhancing the skills of teachers already serving them. NCELA collects, analyzes, synthesizes, and disseminates research-based information about instructional methods, strategies, and programs for ELs. The Department uses 0.5 percent of the appropriation, out of the 6.5 percent, for evaluation activities.

State formula grants and Native American grants are forward-funded, with funds becoming available on July 1 of the fiscal year in which they are appropriated and remain available for 15 months through September 30 of the following year. National activities funds are available for 24 months, from October 1 of the fiscal year in which they are appropriated through September 30 of the following fiscal year.

Funding levels for the past 5 fiscal years were as follows:

	(dollars in thousands)
2009	\$730,000
2010	750,000
2011	733,530
2012	732,144
2013	732,144 ¹

¹ Excludes 0.612 percent across-the-board increase provided in P.L. 112-175.

FY 2014 BUDGET REQUEST

For fiscal year 2014, the Administration requests \$732.1 million for Language Acquisition State grants, the same as the fiscal year 2012 amount. The request assumes the Administration's ESEA reauthorization proposal, which would support strengthened professional development for educators, stronger accountability, and implementation of innovative and effective programs.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

The Administration's reauthorization proposal also would strengthen the conditions governing States' receipt of formula funds and provide the Secretary with the authority to reserve additional funds for national activities to advance the field, including competitive grants for innovative projects that support the development and implementation of dual-language, transitional bilingual, and other high-quality language instruction educational programs (LIEPs) for ELs.

According to the Census Bureau's ACS data, the number of school-aged ELs has risen from less than 1 million in 1980 to almost 4.4 million in 2011¹ in the 50 States, DC, and Puerto Rico. ACS data from 2011 also show that California, Florida, Illinois, New York, and Texas enroll 61 percent of the Nation's ELs (excluding Puerto Rico). In recent years, however, the growth rate in the EL student population in other States has exceeded that of these five. For example, ACS data show that from 2005 to 2011, the EL population increased by 52 percent in Alaska, 26 percent in Nevada, 36 percent in North Dakota, 20 percent in Hawaii, and 19 percent in Washington. In contrast, during that same timeframe, the EL population in California, Florida, Illinois, New York, and Texas changed by -16 percent, -6 percent, -11 percent, 9 percent, and 6 percent respectively. Since those States with the most significant increases over that 6-year period are not the traditional immigrant gateway States, they often lack the infrastructure and service capacity compared to States with a longer history of high EL and immigrant student enrollment. In addition, while immigration has slowed nationally in recent years, some States have experienced large recent increases in this population over a very short period of time. Delaware, the District of Columbia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont, and Wyoming all experienced more than a 20-percent increase in their immigrant population during the 2009 to 2011 timeframe. These demographic trends – the overall increase in ELs over the past three decades and rapid recent growth of the EL and immigrant populations in States lacking an infrastructure for serving them – underscore not only the ongoing need for Federal assistance but also the need for effective educators to meet the educational needs of ELs and for information on effective instructional practices.

Formula and Native American Grants

In 2008, the Department initiated a study by the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to determine the most reliable data source and methodology for future years' formula allocations to States. The NAS study, which became available in January 2011 (http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=13090), included a recommendation that the Department use a combination of ACS data and State-reported data to determine each State's EL count and continue using ACS data for the State count of immigrant students. The Administration's reauthorization proposal would authorize the Secretary to use ACS or State-reported data or a combination of the two (which could be multi-year period estimates) to determine State allocations. The proposal would also strengthen the Title III program by requiring States to use consistent methods for identifying ELs and for exiting them from language instruction educational programs. In order to support the selection of effective programs and to improve existing programs, States would also be required to put in place a

¹ Note that 2011 ACS data are estimates from a 3-year period (2009, 2010, and 2011).

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

system that evaluates the effectiveness of LIEPs and a data system that provides information on the achievement of subgroups of ELs.

In the event that reauthorization is not enacted in time to govern fiscal year 2014 allocations, the Department would recommend to Congress the inclusion of appropriations language for fiscal year 2014 that permits the Department to implement the NAS study recommendations. More specifically, the Department would request the authority to use a combination of State-reported and ACS data, consistent with the reauthorization proposal. In determining the count of ELs for the purpose of making State formula grants in fiscal year 2014, the Department would assign a weight of 10 percent to State-reported data and 90 percent to ACS data. Also consistent with the NAS recommendations, the Department would continue to use ACS data to determine the State counts of immigrant students for the allocations. In fiscal year 2015, the Department anticipates increasing the weighting of State-reported EL counts to 20 percent, depending on our estimation of the quality of those data at that time.

Under the Administration's reauthorization proposal, the statute would continue to set aside 0.5 percent of the appropriation, or \$5 million, whichever is greater, for schools operated predominantly for Native American and Alaska Native children. In fiscal year 2014, the Department (with a combination of fiscal year 2013 and fiscal year 2014 funds) would make approximately 30 continuation awards for grants serving this population awarded in fiscal years 2011 and 2013.

National Activities

Under the reauthorization proposal, the Secretary would set aside a portion of the appropriation to carry out national activities that support advancements in education for ELs. The proposal would authorize the Secretary to use these funds to continue support for NCELA as well as competitive grants through the NPDP for teacher and paraprofessional preparation and professional development activities to improve classroom instruction of ELs. The proposal would also provide the Department with the flexibility to carry out additional discretionary activities that support the improvement of EL education.

For fiscal year 2014, the Department would reserve \$47.6 million for National Activities. The Department would use \$42.3 million to continue 115 NPDP grants, \$1.6 million for NCELA, and \$3.7 million for evaluation activities. The Department expects to award a new NCELA contract in 2013, focusing the Center more specifically on meeting the technical assistance needs of the field.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES (dollars in thousands)

<u>Measures</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Total Appropriation	\$732,144	\$732,144	\$732,144
State formula grants:			
Language acquisition State grants	\$679,554	\$679,554	\$679,554
Number of States	57	57	57
Native American discretionary grants			
Native American discretionary grants	\$5,000	\$4,950	\$5,000
Number of new projects	0	17	0
Number of continuation projects	20	13	30
Peer review of new award applications	0	\$50	0
National activities:			
National professional development	\$42,236	\$42,357	\$42,313
Number of new projects	73	0	0
Number of continuation projects	42	115	115
Peer review of new award applications	\$111		
Clearinghouse	\$2,000	\$1,572	\$1,616
Evaluation	\$3,661	\$3,661	\$3,661

NOTE: 2013 excludes 0.612 percent across-the-board increase provided in P.L. 112-175.

PROGRAM PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Performance Measures

This section presents selected program performance information, including GPRA goals, objectives, measures, and performance targets and data; and an assessment of the progress made toward achieving program results. Achievement of program results is based on the cumulative effect of the resources provided in previous years and those requested in fiscal year 2014 and future years, as well as the resources and efforts invested by those served by this program.

States report their data for the Language Acquisition State grants program annually through the ESEA Consolidated State Performance Reports (CSPRs). Within the Department, the office that administers the State Grants program and the office that oversees the administration of the CSPR have been working together to respond to States' questions about the data-collection requirements as well as to clear up data discrepancies. Flexibility within the current law permits States to define "making progress" and "attaining proficiency" differently, even when they use the same assessments. Also, in 2011 the Department reviewed States' targets and found that almost all State targets (reflected below) are far less than the targets set by the Department. As a result, for some measures, we have decided to maintain target levels for several years rather

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

than continue to increase them in order to reflect performance levels in the States. Note that Puerto Rico did not receive funding in 2009 and, as a result, did not have a program that year.

Goal: To help English learners learn English and reach high academic standards.

Objective: To improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of students served by the Language Acquisition State Grants program.

Measure: The percentage of ELs receiving Title III services who are making progress in learning English.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	60	59
2010	65	59
2011	65	58
2012	65	
2013	65	
2014	65	

Additional information: The percentage is calculated by taking the total number of students who are making progress in learning English and dividing that number by the number of students tested, less those students who do not have two data points.

Measure: The percentage of ELs receiving Title III services who have attained English language proficiency.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	30	24
2010	35	26
2011	35	26
2012	35	
2013	35	
2014	35	

Additional information: Students who are counted in the denominator for this measure include students who are new to this country and have had very little exposure to English.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

Measure: The percentage of ELs who score proficient or above on State reading assessments.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	34	35
2010	35	39
2011	35	39
2012	36	
2013	38	
2014	38	

Additional information: This measure was instituted in 2008. States are required to report data on the performance of the EL subgroup on State reading/language arts assessments for both Title I and Title III.

Measure: The percentage of monitored former ELs who score proficient or above on State reading assessments.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	62	73
2010	64	71
2011	64	72
2012	64	
2013	70	
2014	70	

Additional information: This measure was instituted in 2008. The success of States on this measure may be an indicator of the quality of LIEPs.

Measure: The percentage of subgrantees receiving Title III funding that meet all three annual measurable achievement objectives (AMAOs) for ELs.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	57	55
2010	59	56
2011	59	48
2012	59	
2013	59	
2014	59	

Additional information: In 2008, the Department revised this measure to make it better aligned with the goals and objectives of the program. States set AMAOs based on State English language proficiency standards and assessments. The three AMAO objectives for ELs are: (1) making progress in learning English; (2) attaining English proficiency; and (3) making adequate yearly progress under Title I.

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

Efficiency Measures

The Department has developed two efficiency measures for this program. These measures address the Department's emphasis on the timely and effective use of Federal funds.

Measure: The average number of days States receiving Title III funds take to make subgrants to subgrantees.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	46	60
2010	45	52
2011	45	51
2012	45	
2013	45	
2014	45	

Additional information: The Department has worked with States to help them make subgrants in a timely manner and has made considerable progress on this measure over the past 5 years. In that year, the amount of time taken by States to make subgrants ranged from 0 days to 313 days. Eleven States reported making subgrants within one day of receiving their State grant.

Measure: The annual cost per English learner attaining English language proficiency.

Year	Target	Actual
2009	\$780	\$832
2010	775	638
2011	770	669
2012	770	
2013	770	
2014	770	

Additional information: This measure examines the national annual cost per EL attaining English language proficiency (a figure derived by dividing the total amount of funding allocated to States in a given year by the number of students reported as attaining proficiency). The targets are not intended to motivate States to eliminate important services to students but, rather, to encourage efficiencies in order to serve as many students as possible without sacrificing the quality of the services.

Other Performance Information

The statute provides a set-aside for evaluation activities equal to 0.5 percent of the total appropriation for this program. Current activities include the following studies, which are supported by previous fiscal-year funds, but do not require funding in 2014:

- Evaluation of State and Local Implementation of Title III Standards, Assessments, and Accountability Systems – The purpose of this study, which was funded in fiscal years

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

2008-2011, is to provide an in-depth picture of the implementation of current ESEA provisions for ELs throughout the Nation. The study includes an analysis of student-level assessment data to identify the relationship between ELs' acquisition of English and their progress in meeting content-area standards.

As part of this study, the Department funded three policy briefs in 2010, entitled, *Title III: A State of the States*, *Title III: Behind the Numbers*, and *Title III: Accountability and District Improvement Efforts*. These briefs served as an immediate resource for the Administration, Congress, and other key stakeholders, in particular, to inform the development of the Administration's Title III reauthorization proposal. Additional reports released in 2012 include *Exploring Approaches to Setting ELP Performance Criteria and Monitoring EL Progress*, a *Report on State and Local Implementation*, and *A Survey of States' English Language Proficiency Standards*.

- Study of School Turnaround – The Department is conducting case studies for an in-depth examination of the school turnaround process in a diverse sample of schools receiving Title I School Improvement Grants (SIG) over 3 years. The studies will describe the schools' context, the decisions and strategies the schools and their school districts undertake (and why), and the challenges they face as they attempt to improve school performance. Fiscal year 2009 Title III funds supported the inclusion of data collections focused on schools with high EL populations. Descriptive analyses of State SIG applications and SIG-eligible and SIG-awarded schools are available for the first and second cohorts of SIG grantees (fiscal year 2010 and 2011 competitions)². Reports on findings for the case-study SIG schools, which will include ELs, are expected in 2013 and 2014.
- Language Instruction Education Programs: Lessons from the Research and Profiles of Promising Programs – This project, begun in fiscal year 2011 with fiscal year 2009 funds, will provide a literature review and guide on promising practices for educators at the State and district levels, highlighting the components of effective language instruction educational programs. The study will also help educators gain some basic information on how to evaluate these programs at the local level. The final report is currently under review and is expected to be available in April 2013.
- Updating the EL Practice Guide – The Department is currently updating the 2006-07 publication, *Effective Literacy and English Language Instruction for English Learners in the Elementary Grades: A Practice Guide*, to reflect advances in the field over the past 5 years. Fiscal year 2009 funds support this work. The update, which began in fiscal year 2012, is scheduled for completion in fiscal year 2013.
- Exploratory Study on the Identification of ELs with Disabilities – This study will examine the identification of ELs with disabilities, through a review of previous research and through exploratory case studies in six school districts with three schools in each district. The literature review was completed in February 2012. Preliminary findings from the

² <http://ies.ed.gov/pubsearch/pubsinfo.asp?pubid=NCEE20114019> and <http://ies.ed.gov/pubsearch/pubsinfo.asp?pubid=NCEE20124060>

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

Language acquisition State grants

case studies are expected in June 2013, and the final report is due in October 2013. Fiscal year 2010 funds support this study.

- Descriptive Study of NPDP Grantees – This study will examine how a sample of NPDP grantees are implementing their grants, including how they have leveraged their grants, with a specific focus on: (1) the content and structure of the education they provide to current and prospective teachers of ELs; (2) the nature of changes grantees attempt to make to the teacher education programs at their institutions; (3) the efforts grantees make to institutionalize their projects for sustainability; and (4) grantees' efforts to track former program participants. A literature review was completed in 2012 and a final report is expected in September 2013. Fiscal year 2010 funds support this study.
- Implementation and Impact Evaluation of the Race to the Top (RTT) and School Improvement Grants (SIG) programs – As part of the Department's study of these two programs, fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011 Title III funds support an increased focus on how the implementation and impacts of the programs by States, districts, and schools are related to EL students' needs and outcomes. For instance, the evaluation will examine the extent to which States have adopted common academic standards; the changes in practice that have been instituted statewide and at the local level to implement these new standards, including the extent to which supports have been provided for ELs; the strategies and practices being used to support schools in transitioning to new standards; and the lessons to be learned from the transition. Two reports on findings, which will include ELs, are expected in 2014.
- Study of Promising Features of Teacher Preparation Programs – The Department awarded a contract in late fiscal year 2011 for a study of promising features of teacher preparation programs, which will look at the impact on student achievement of teachers who chose preparation programs that differ on a particular preparation feature, such as, intensive clinical practice (both fieldwork and student teaching), coursework in content areas, and coursework in pedagogy. The study will also use State administrative data from two to three States to conduct correlational analyses that focus on EL students and the preparation of their teachers. Fiscal year 2010 and fiscal year 2011 funds support this study. Reports are expected in early 2015.

The Department is currently exploring products in other areas of interest, such as a study of States' EL exit criteria and a guide for assessing the quality of State English language proficiency assessment systems.