



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

**June 21, 2004**

### INSPECTION MEMORANDUM

**To:** Phillip Maestri, Director  
Management Improvement Team

**From:** Cathy H. Lewis  
Assistant Inspector General  
Evaluation, Inspection and Management Services

**Subject:** Review of MIT ACTION Item # 82 (ED/OIG I13D0023)

This memorandum provides the results of our inspection of one Action Plan item from the Department of Education's (Department's) Blueprint for Management Excellence. The EIMS group is examining several Action Plan items related to Human Capital. Our objective is two-fold: 1) were the items completed as described; and, 2) as completed, does the action taken help the Department towards its stated Blueprint objective (improve the strategic management of the Department's human capital). In this report, we examined item #82 (completed on 7/30/02), which calls for the creation of a national recruitment network.

#### **Background:**

The action required by item #82 was to:

**“Create a national recruitment network with key university programs, especially graduate programs in public affairs, public administration, financial management and information technology.”**

The comments field on this item states, “Completed 7/30/02.”

From June through December 2001, the Department (ED) analyzed its workforce and submitted a report, entitled the “Department of Education Workforce Analysis,” to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Based on this information, the Office of Management (OM), specifically Human Resources Services (HRS), created a recruitment network plan which emphasized attracting people in specific areas—law, program management, technology, financial management, business management, and education administration—over the next five years. The list of participants in this new network would be a broad and diverse and would include colleges and universities nationwide that

offer graduate programs in these areas. HRS consulted “Peterson’s,” a nationally known guide providing information on college and university programs. Once HRS identified the participating colleges and universities, they intended to post an electronic copy to ConnectED, ED’s Intranet, as well as to provide a hard copy to all Executive Offices.

On June 25, 2002, HRS created what it identified as the national recruitment network list. The Assistant Secretary for the Office of Management approved this list on July 30, 2002 per a sign-off transmittal attached to the document provided to us. This action was the basis for the completion date identified by the Department.

**Objective 1: Did OM take the actions needed to complete this item?**

OM did not take the actions needed to complete this item. HRS created a list of colleges and universities with graduate programs that correspond to the specified areas of need identified by the Department; however, this list is merely a copy of what appears in “Peterson’s” guide. The list does not identify a contact person at any of the institutions. While Executive Offices may be able to use this list to identify possible sites for recruitment, it is not a recruitment “network.”

The list prepared by HRS is not currently on ConnectED. According to HRS, the list was there but was “inadvertently removed” during a redesign of ConnectED. There is also no evidence that HRS disseminated the list in hard copy form to Executive Offices, but an email with the electronic version was sent on September 30, 2003 (after OIG initiated its evaluation). The Special Program Recruiter, a position located in HRS, is the employee responsible for maintaining and updating the recruitment list. At this time, according to the HRS Director, HRS does not have any employee acting in this role and is not “taking action to fill” it.

**Objective 2: As completed, does the action taken help the Department towards its stated Blueprint objective (improvement of the strategic management of the Department’s human capital)?**

As completed, the action taken does not help the Department towards its stated Blueprint objective. The Department intended the national recruitment network to be a useful tool in recruiting highly qualified candidates in specific areas. The list created does not identify a “recruitment network,” and does not serve as a useful recruitment tool.

**Recommendations:**

In order for action item #82 to be “completed,” we recommend that the MIT address the above issues in the following manner:

1. Designate Action Item #82 as “open,” or designate it as “closed,” if the MIT has determined that the action item is no longer needed or if this action item will be incorporated into a new action step under the FY 2004 Blueprint.

2. Require OM to establish contacts at each institution listed on the National Recruitment List.
3. Require that OM fill the Special Program Recruiter position on a temporary basis, with an individual to oversee the network, keep the list current, and gather data about usage of the recruitment network in hiring new employees. A decision on a permanent hire should be made following the completion of the competitive sourcing process for HR Services.

We provided the Department with a copy of our draft inspection report. They provided a response to each of our recommendations. We have withdrawn two recommendations, that OM release the recruitment network list to all Executive Offices in hard copy and that OM publish the recruitment network list on ConnectED. We have withdrawn the former because since we conducted our inspection OM has decided that they want to rely upon electronic transmission of the list only. This is contrary to their initial plan, but satisfies our interest, which was effective communication with the Executive Officers. With respect to the second withdrawn recommendation, following our inspection, the Department took the action requested. We have modified two of our recommendations, numbers one and three, to offer the Department additional flexibility in their response. We have inserted the Department's comments following each of our remaining recommendations. In addition to responding to the recommendations, the Department also identified some additional actions taken since the completion of the National Recruitment Network, including what they identified as a "process for recruitment and succession planning, the definition of mission critical occupations, and improvements to the presidential Management Internship program." We discussed in our inspection reports of MIT Action Items numbers 84, 169, 171, 172, 179, and 221, our concerns with the Department's recruitment and succession planning efforts and the limitations on the operation of the Presidential Management Internship Program.

A copy of the Department's entire response is attached.

**Recommendation 1: Designate Action Item #82 as "open," or designate it as "closed," if the MIT has determined that the action item is no longer needed or if this action item will be incorporated into a new action step under the FY 2004 Blueprint.**

**Department Comments:**

The MIT did not concur with our original recommendation to designate this action item as "open," stating that HRS completed the product needed. A "network of people," would have been "too ambitious," and because managers in the Department do "very little college level recruitment," the product was completed "at a level of effort consistent with the expected benefit." According to the MIT, the recruitment network is available to managers to help them identify specific institutions and programs.

**OIG Response:**

The action item identified by the MIT was to "create a national recruitment network" to facilitate the Department's hiring efforts in particular areas, including public affairs,

public administration, financial management and information technology. What OM “completed” in July 2002 was a list of schools and universities, not a network that managers could reasonably expect to call upon to assist them in recruiting new staff. There was no provision for communication between the Department and the schools in question or a means by which to exchange information about job openings or skill needs; all features that managers could have expected as part of a functioning network. Similarly, at the time of our inspection, the network was not readily accessible to Department staff. To get to the National Recruitment Network information on line, a user needs to click five times from the main ConnectED page, six times to get to the list. In a short survey of 13 managers throughout the Department, only two could identify what the National Recruitment Network was, where it was located or had ever attempted to use it. A National Recruitment Network, as identified by the Culture of Accountability, would be a useful recruitment tool for Department managers. We have modified our recommendation to include the option of closing this action item if the MIT believes that such a network is no longer needed or that this action will be incorporated into a new action step in the FY 2004 Blueprint.

**Recommendation 2: Require OM to establish contacts at each institution listed on the National Recruitment List**

**Department Comments:**

The MIT did not concur, stating that phone numbers and web links are listed for each of the institutions on the list. The MIT rejected the need for more specific contact information, stating that such contacts could quickly become outdated.

**OIG Response:**

Our office randomly selected eight schools from one of the identified program categories (Masters of Public Administration). We attempted to make contact using the phone numbers and web addresses provided on the Department’s list. Of the eight schools selected, one phone number had been disconnected and five of the others were not viable contact numbers. Five of the web addresses took us to a generic site for the school and did not direct users to the pertinent program website. Only one of the schools contacted realized it appeared on the Department’s National Recruitment Network. As stated above, a network implies a group of connected individuals exchanging information. General phone numbers and generic web links are not sufficient. To sustain a viable network, specific contact information is necessary, as is recurring contact between the Department and the institutions.

**Recommendation 3: Require that OM fill the Special Program Recruiter position, on a temporary basis, with an individual to oversee the network, keep the list current and gather data about usage of the recruitment network in hiring new employees. A decision on a permanent hire should be made following the completion of the competitive sourcing process for HR Services.**

**Department Comments:**

The MIT disagreed with our original recommendation, call for OM to fill this position, noting that this position was covered by the OneED business case for HR Services and that it would be inappropriate to fill the position until the competitive sourcing competition was completed.

**OIG Response:**

We have modified our recommendation to allow for filling this position on a temporary basis, pending the outcome of the competitive sourcing process. For the recruitment network to function effectively, it is essential that an individual have responsibility for making contacts at each institution, exchanging information on a recurring basis and encouraging recruitment by the managers of students directly from the colleges and universities.

**Administrative Matters:**

This inspection was performed in accordance with the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency (PCIE) Quality Standards for Inspections (1993).

We appreciate the cooperation given to us during the inspection. If you have any questions or wish to discuss the contents of this report, please call me at 202-205-8639 or Deb Schweikert, Director, Evaluation and Inspection Division at 202-205-5569. Please refer to the control number in all correspondence relating to this report.

January 7, 2004

To: Cathy H. Lewis  
Assistant Inspector General  
Evaluation, Inspection and Management Services

From: Phillip Maestri, Director  
Management Improvement Team

Subject: Draft Inspection Memorandum (December 3, 2003)  
Review of MIT Action Item Number 82 (ED/OIG I13D0023)  
“Create a National Recruitment Network (NRN)”

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on a draft version of this inspection memorandum.

#### Comments on Background and Findings

The draft memo describes the NRN as “merely a copy” of what appears in Peterson’s (a searchable website with information on a wide range of educational institutions). While Peterson’s was the source of most of the data for the NRN, OM added information; selected institutions based on forecasted staff needs and the occupations identified in the Blueprint; and made the information accessible to managers. “Merely a copy” is not a good description of the NRN product.

The draft memo observes that no contact persons are listed for the institutions, but the information necessary to achieve the objective is in the NRN. Contact information—phone numbers and web links—is listed for each of the 900 institutions.

OIG concludes from these two points that “one cannot consider it a useful network.” However, the NRN is available at manager’s desks through the Internet. It is formatted in Microsoft Excel and fully searchable. The spreadsheet is organized with tabs for each academic area and one for diversity resources. Users can further sort and organize the information. We think these characteristics make it useful and customized to meet ED managers’ needs.

The investigation concludes that “since the list alone cannot be considered a network” the NRN product “fails to meet any objective.” We recognize that the Blueprint action was broadly worded: “Create a national recruitment network with key university programs, especially graduate programs in public affairs, public administration, financial management and information technology.” The Blueprint did not define “network.” Taken to mean a network of people, the action would have been too ambitious. It is useful to note that this action is one of the recommendations of the Culture of Accountability report, which were not vetted through the offices that would implement

them before being added to the Blueprint. When it received this charge, OM needed to develop a plan so that the effort expended in implementation was reasonable in the context of the expected benefit. According to OM data, ED does very little college recruitment. Management officials typically ask HRS to advertise mid-level (GS-11/12) and senior level (GS-13/14/15) positions. In OM's judgment, the NRN product was the best way to make information about selected, targeted institutions and graduate programs available for recruitment, which clearly helps the Department toward the objective of improving strategic human capital management.

### Developments since the completion of the National Recruitment Network

Process for Recruitment and Succession Planning Implemented: During 2003, OM implemented a recruitment planning strategy. On November 12, 2003, OM completed an analysis for each office and for the Department as a whole. These in-depth analyses of specific positions, by occupation, include projected staff needs and tentative plans for recruitment for each mission-critical occupation. The Department now has more detailed and current information on recruitment needs than the 2001 Workforce Analysis provides.

Mission Critical Occupations Defined: In November, the Executive Management Team approved the selection of nine Mission Critical Occupations as the focus of the strategic management of human capital.<sup>1</sup> The selection of these occupations was based on the recruitment plans. This list supersedes the prior list of target occupations, which was based on the 2001 Workforce Analysis.

Improvement and Presidential Action on the PMI program: The Presidential Management Internship program is one of the best tools available to recruit talented recent graduates. Since the NRN was released, ED has improved its PMI program. On November 21, 2003, President Bush issued an executive order to reinvigorate the program, creating the Presidential Management Fellows Program (PMF). The Department's implementation of program improvements and the new PMF provisions will improve recruitment at the levels targeted by the NRN.

Competitive Sourcing Decision Pending: Human Resource Functions, including the role of the recruitment specialist, were the subject of an A-76 competition. OM has discontinued hiring for all non-essential staff pending the award of the contract and associated re-engineering.

ConnectED redesign: OIG found that the NRN was not accessible on ConnectED at the time of the investigation. When ED redesigned ConnectED, the link was inadvertently omitted. The link was on ConnectED at the time of the release of the NRN, and can again be accessed at: [http://connected/doc\\_img/recruitmentlist.xls](http://connected/doc_img/recruitmentlist.xls)

---

<sup>1</sup> **The mission critical occupations are: Education Program Specialist/Education Research Analyst, Loan Analyst/Institutional Review Specialist/Case Management Specialist, Vocational Rehabilitation Specialist, Equal Opportunity Specialist, Attorney, Management Analyst, Financial Management Specialist/Audit Resolution Specialist/Accountant/Auditor, Criminal Investigator, and IT Specialist.**

## Response to recommendations

Recommendation 1: Reopen action #82. The MIT does not concur. HRS completed a product that was designed to meet the identified need, at a level of effort consistent with the expected benefit. The need is now addressed through Recruitment Planning. The NRN continues to be available to managers to identify specific institutions and programs to contact for recruitment of recent graduates.

Recommendation 2: Establish contacts (names) at each institution. OM does not concur. Phone numbers and web links are listed for each of the 900 institutions. Contact persons are likely to change frequently. The phone numbers and links will allow users to find the most up-to-date contact information.

Recommendation 3: Require HRS to fill the Special Program Recruiter position. OM does not concur. Hiring is one of the ED business activities undergoing competitive sourcing. The competitive sourcing performance decision is still pending. Until the decision is made, management's judgment is that the position should not be filled. No permanent HRS positions affected by the competition have been filled since the competition began. To fill the position at this time could ultimately add to the employee placement problem if the employee proposal is not successful.

Recommendation 4: Release the NRN list in hard copy. OM does not concur. This recommendation is inconsistent with ED's efforts to improve the use of technology in all business processes. HRS sent electronic copies, which are more useful because they are easily stored, searched, and sorted.

Recommendation 5: Publish the NRN list on ConnectED. This recommendation has been implemented. The link is on ConnectED.