

2011 SUMMER ED*Facts* STATE TRENDS PROFILE - NORTH DAKOTA

Districts and Schools (CCD/ED <i>Facts</i> SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10)		# in the state		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All districts		189	185	184
All schools		488	482	473
Title I schools		317	310	311
Charter schools		0	0	0
Student Enrollment CCD 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10		# in the state		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students		95,059	94,728	95,073
Elem school grade students (PreK-5)		41,685	42,291	42,926
Middle school grade students (6-8)		21,807	21,664	21,650
High school grade students (9-12)		31,567	30,773	30,497
Adequate Yearly Progress (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10)		# and % of schools		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Made AYP: All schools	#	288	350	358
	%	63.3	74.8	74.4
Made AYP: Title I schools	#	190	230	232
	%	62.3	75.4	74.6
Identified for improvement (Yr 1) -- Title I schools	#	11	5	24
	%	3.6	1.6	7.7
Identified for improvement (Yr 2) -- Title I schools	#	3	7	24
	%	1.0	2.3	7.7
State Assessment Performance: 4th Grade Reading (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10) Administered every year.		% of students performing at or above Proficient level (AMO for 2010 is 88)		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students		76	80	78
White		80	83	81
Black, non-Hispanic		59	63	63
Hispanic		53	64	67
Asian/Pacific Islander		68	77	80
American Indian/Alaskan Native		52	62	58
Economically disadvantaged		64	72	68
Limited English proficient		43	48	38
Children with disabilities		62	66	69
State Assessment Performance: 4th Grade Mathematics (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10) Administered every year.		% of students performing at or above Proficient level (AMO for 2010 is 82)		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students		78	81	84
White		81	84	88
Black, non-Hispanic		55	60	66
Hispanic		71	64	74
Asian/Pacific Islander		79	78	81
American Indian/Alaskan Native		54	63	66
Economically disadvantaged		67	73	77
Limited English proficient		54	49	49
Children with disabilities		65	70	73

Student Enrollment (CCD/ED <i>Facts</i> SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10)		% of state total		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
White		86	85	85
Black, non-Hispanic		2	2	2
Hispanic		2	2	2
Asian/Pacific Islander		1	1	1
American Indian/Alaskan Native		9	9	9
Economically disadvantaged		31	32	34
Limited English proficient		5	4	5
Children with disabilities		14	14	14
Adequate Yearly Progress (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10)		# and % of schools		
		2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Corrective action -- Title I schools	#		2	6
	%		0.7	1.9
Restructuring/Planning -- Title I schools	#	1		
	%	0.3		
Restructuring/Implementation -- Title I schools	#	13	14	13
	%	4.3	4.6	4.2
Note: For AYP improvement status reporting for SY 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10, data collected in each year (e.g., SY 2007-08) are used to determine the status of those schools in the following year (SY 2008-09). Dates provided in this report reflect the year in which data are collected.				
NAEP Achievement: 4th Grade Reading (NAEP 2005, 2007, 2009) Administered every other year.		% of students performing at or above Proficient level		
		2005	2007	2009
All students		35	35	35
White		38	38	37
Black, non-Hispanic				
Hispanic				
Asian/Pacific Islander				
American Indian/Alaskan Native		9	15	16
Economically disadvantaged		23	23	22
Limited English proficient				
Children with disabilities		16	17	17
NAEP Achievement: 4th Grade Mathematics (NAEP 2005, 2007, 2009) Administered every other year.		% of students performing at or above Proficient level		
		2005	2007	2009
All students		40	46	45
White		43	49	49
Black, non-Hispanic				
Hispanic				
Asian/Pacific Islander				
American Indian/Alaskan Native		13	17	17
Economically disadvantaged		28	30	29
Limited English proficient			21	
Children with disabilities		19	24	21

2011 SUMMER ED*Facts* STATE TRENDS PROFILE - NORTH DAKOTA

State Assessment Performance: 8th Grade Reading (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10) Administered every year.	% of students performing at or above Proficient level (AMO for 2010 is 87)		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students	74	76	80
White	77	79	82
Black, non-Hispanic	48	55	59
Hispanic	65	60	70
Asian/Pacific Islander	80	84	84
American Indian/Alaskan Native	52	53	60
Economically disadvantaged	63	65	69
Limited English proficient	40	33	36
Children with disabilities	49	58	63
State Assessment Performance: 8th Grade Mathematics (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10) Administered every year.	% of students performing at or above Proficient level (AMO for 2010 is 78)		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students	70	71	73
White	74	74	76
Black, non-Hispanic	37	41	51
Hispanic	52	49	57
Asian/Pacific Islander	86	77	74
American Indian/Alaskan Native	45	48	46
Economically disadvantaged	57	59	60
Limited English proficient	41	33	32
Children with disabilities	44	51	53
State Assessment Performance: HS Reading (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10) Administered every year.	% of students performing at or above Proficient level (AMO for 2010 is 81)		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students	65	69	63
White	67	71	67
Black, non-Hispanic	45	42	30
Hispanic	53	61	49
Asian/Pacific Islander	66	71	52
American Indian/Alaskan Native	42	45	39
Economically disadvantaged	52	56	49
Limited English proficient	24	24	19
Children with disabilities	40	49	43
State Assessment Performance: HS Mathematics (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10) Administered every year.	% of students performing at or above Proficient level (AMO for 2010 is 75)		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
All students	56	57	55
White	58	60	58
Black, non-Hispanic	28	31	25
Hispanic	37	47	43
Asian/Pacific Islander	53	73	58
American Indian/Alaskan Native	30	26	28
Economically disadvantaged	41	41	39
Limited English proficient	21	19	18
Children with disabilities	31	38	34

NAEP Achievement: 8th Grade Reading (NAEP 2005, 2007, 2009) Administered every other year.	% of students performing at or above Proficient level		
	2005	2007	2009
All students	37	32	34
White	38	34	35
Black, non-Hispanic			
Hispanic			
Asian/Pacific Islander			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	15	13	22
Economically disadvantaged	24	20	25
Limited English proficient			
Children with disabilities	9	8	7
NAEP Achievement: 8th Grade Mathematics (NAEP 2005, 2007, 2009) Administered every other year.	% of students performing at or above Proficient level		
	2005	2007	2009
All students	35	41	43
White	37	44	46
Black, non-Hispanic			
Hispanic			
Asian/Pacific Islander			
American Indian/Alaskan Native	9	14	16
Economically disadvantaged	20	29	27
Limited English proficient			
Children with disabilities	7	9	13

2011 SUMMER ED*Facts* STATE TRENDS PROFILE - NORTH DAKOTA

AP Participation Rate (College Board 2008, 2009, 2010)	State %		
	2008	2009	2010
Took at least one examination	10.4	10.4	10.4
State Graduation Rate (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 for SY2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09)	State graduation rate %		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
All students	88	86	88
White	90	88	90
Black, non-Hispanic	78	75	80
Hispanic	72		80
Asian/Pacific Islander	89	87	91
American Indian/Alaskan Native	65	60	62
Economically disadvantaged	84	75	79
Limited English proficient	74	80	71
Children with disabilities	80	67	77
Averaged Freshman Graduation Rate (AFGR) (NCES 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09)	State %		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
All students	83.1	83.8	87.4
College Enrollment Rate (CCD/IPEDS 2004, 2006, 2008)	State %		
	2004	2006	2008
All students	67.8	71.9	67.6
Chief State School Officer (ED <i>Facts</i> 2011)			
Name	Telephone		
Wayne Sanstead	(701) 328-4570		

AP Participation Rate (College Board 2008, 2009, 2010)	State %			
	2008	2009	2010	
Scored three or higher on an AP examination	6.9	6.4	6.8	
State Dropout Rate (ED <i>Facts</i> /CSPR SY2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 for SY2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09)	State dropout rate %			
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	
All students	2	2	3	
White	2	2	2	
Black, non-Hispanic	4	4	6	
Hispanic	4	5	6	
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	4	1	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	8	7	9	
Economically disadvantaged				
Limited English proficient				
Children with disabilities				
Economic Data (CCD FY 2007, 2008, 2009)	2007	2008	2009	
Per-pupil expenditure	State \$	8,671	9,324	9,802
	National \$	9,679	10,298	10,591
Funding for education (in thousands)	Federal \$	150,169	145,767	161,484
	State \$	353,519	383,231	408,004
	Local \$	491,706	527,728	532,990

This ED*Facts* State Trend Report was developed by the Performance Information Management Service staff at the U.S. Department of Education. Please contact 202-401-0091 for further information.

Notes: (1) The last year of data reported in this report represents the most recent year's data for each data source. Thus depending upon data sources, year of most recent data will vary. (2) Empty cells in this report indicate that either no data have been submitted by the states or data have been suppressed because of a small cell size. State suppression guidelines have been applied. Additionally, any calculated percentages greater than 97% will be reported as 97%, and 0 percentages will be blank-celled for the purpose of protecting individual identification.

EXPLANATORY NOTES: 2011 SUMMER EDFACTS STATE TRENDS PROFILES

To protect individual identification, Common Core of Data (CCD) racial/ethnicity data reported in this profile are reported as 0 for calculated percentages less than 0.05%. For other student level data reported in this profile, any calculated percentages greater than 97% are reported as 97%, and any calculated percentages less than 0.05% are blank-celled to protect individual identification. Note that changes in proficiency data from one year to the next may be a reflection of factors other than actual increases or decreases in performance. Examples could include, but are not limited to, changes in state standards, realignment of state cut scores for proficiency, the implementation of new state assessment systems, etc. Therefore, interpreting state trends in proficiency requires knowledge of the metadata behind the proficiency data presented in this profile.

Districts and Schools

The number of all districts and schools, Title I schools, and charter schools in the state. The counts in the profile include only the schools and districts that are operational (open, changed agency/changed boundary, new, added, or reopened) and that have student membership. The count of districts includes regular school districts and special local education agencies such as regional education service agencies (RESAs), supervisory unions, state-operated agencies, federally operated agencies, and independent charter districts with total student enrollment greater than zero. For 2009-10, the counts of schools are also restricted to schools that are not dually reported by the Bureau of Indian Education and the state. (Data source: Common Core of Data (CCD), "Local Education Agency Universe Survey," 2009-10, Version 1a; 2008-09, Version 1a; and 2007-08, Version 1 b; and "Public Elementary/ Secondary School Universe Survey," 2009-10, Version 1a; 2008-09, Version 1b; and 2007-08, Version 1b; and *EDFacts*, SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10.)

Student Enrollment

The number and percentage of total students and subgroups of students enrolled in public school in the state as of October 1 of the reported year (or the closest school day to October 1) for all grade levels from pre-kindergarten through 12th grade, as well as ungraded students. In some cases, enrollment counts were imputed when missing or edited to align with other data reported by the state. The sum of elementary school students, middle school students, and high school students may be less than the total student enrollment for some states for states that classify some students as ungraded. Some states may not have submitted complete enrollment data, thereby affecting individual state data for the overall national numbers and percentage of students enrolled. Note that "Black, non-Hispanic" includes Black and African American students; "Hispanic" includes Hispanic and Latino students; and "Asian/Pacific Islander" includes Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Other Pacific Islander students. Percentages of students who are "Two or more races," for states that identify and report these students, are not shown in this report. Total student membership is used for the denominator in calculating the percentages of student enrollment by race/ethnicity, and may include students for whom race/ethnicity data was not reported by the state. Note that the percentages for enrollment race/ethnicity subgroups are rounded to whole numbers. (Data source: Common Core of Data (CCD), "State Nonfiscal Survey of Public Elementary/Secondary Education," 2009-10, Version 1a; 2008-09, Version 1c, and 2007-08, Version 1b; and *EDFacts*, SY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10.)

Elementary school grade students (PreK-5) is the sum of students in Pre-K through 5th grade in operational schools with membership.

Middle school grade students (6-8) is the sum of students in grades 6 through 8 in operational schools with membership.

High school grade students (9-12) is the sum of students in grades 9 through 12 in operational schools with membership.

Economically disadvantaged students are students in schools determined to be eligible to participate in the Free Lunch Program under the National School Lunch Act.

Limited English proficient students are students in schools determined to be eligible to participate in ESEA Title III programs.

Children with disabilities (IDEA) are children with mental retardation, hearing impairment including deafness, speech, or language impairment, visual impairment including blindness, serious emotional disturbance (hereafter referred to as emotional disturbance), orthopedic impairment, autism, traumatic brain injury, developmental delay, other health impairment, specific learning disability, deaf-blindness, or multiple disabilities, and who, by reason thereof, receive special education and related services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) according to an individualized education program (IEP), individual family service plan (IFSP), or a services plan provided under IDEA. Note that for state level data, this count includes children ages 3 through 21.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The number and percentage of all schools and Title I schools in the state according to their adequate yearly progress (AYP) status. Adequate yearly progress is an individual state's measure of yearly progress toward achieving state academic standards based on criteria contained in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). Adequate yearly progress is the minimum level of improvement that states, school districts, and schools must achieve each year. (Data source: *EDFacts*/CSPR 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10.)

Made AYP is the number and percentage of all schools and Title I schools that achieved or exceeded the state standards. This percentage is calculated using the total number of schools reported in the Consolidated State Performance Report

Identified for improvement (Year 1) is the number and percentage of Title I schools that did not meet the state's definition of "adequate yearly progress" after two consecutive years in the same content area.

Identified for improvement (Year 2) is the number and percentage of Title I schools that did not meet the state's definition of "adequate yearly progress" for three consecutive years in the same content area.

Corrective action is the number and percentage of Title I schools that did not meet the state's definition of "adequate yearly progress" after four consecutive years in the same content area, including two years in improvement status.

Restructuring/Planning is the number and percentage of Title I schools that failed to meet the state's definition of "adequate yearly progress" after five consecutive years in the same content area.

Restructuring/Implementation is the number and percentage of Title I schools that failed to meet the state's definition of "adequate yearly progress" after six consecutive years in the same content area.

State Assessment Performance

The percentage of students in the state performing at or above the Proficient level (as determined by each state) for all students as well as students by race and ethnicity and special populations. Beginning with SY 2005-06, tests are administered every year from grades 4 through 8 in mathematics and reading and one grade in high school. Note that "Black, non-Hispanic" includes Black and African American students; "Hispanic" includes Hispanic and Latino students; and "Asian/Pacific Islander" includes Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Other Pacific Islander students. (Data source: *EDFacts*/CSPR 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10.) Note: Changes in proficiency data from one year to the next can be a reflection of changes in state standards, state cut scores for proficiency, state assessments, and other factors. Therefore, interpreting state trends in proficiency requires knowledge of the metadata behind the proficiency data.

AMO stands for "annual measurable objective" and is the annual target percentage of students who perform at or above proficient on assessments relative to each subject area. Meeting AMO is the first step toward demonstrating adequate yearly progress under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). ESEA stipulates that all states demonstrate 100% proficiency by SY 2013-14. Several states have revised their AMOs with the development of new tests, which may account for a lapse in data or a jump in AMOs between or across years. States continue to revise their AMOs accordingly. Several states, including New York, Oklahoma, and Vermont, base their AMOs on a performance index; thus, these AMOs reflect index score points rather than the percentage of students who are at or above Proficient and are, therefore, not reported in the profiles. AMOs cannot be compared across states, content areas, or, necessarily, across grades. (Data source: OESE; State Accountability Plans, 2010.)

NAEP Achievement

The percentage of students in the state and nation who performed at or above the Proficient level on the state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), for all students as well as students by race and ethnicity and special populations. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) legislation requires states that receive Title I funding to participate in the state NAEP in reading and mathematics at grades 4 and 8 every two years. The state NAEP does not provide individual scores for the students or schools assessed. Instead, NAEP provides results about subject matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment, and reports these results for populations of students (e.g. fourth-graders) and subgroups of those populations (e.g. Hispanic students). (Data source: NAEP 2005, 2007, 2009.)

Student Outcomes

Advanced Placement (AP) Participation Rate

Took at least one examination is the percentage of students in the state who took one or more advanced placement examinations in public high schools in 2008, 2009, and 2010. (Data source: College Board, 2008, 2009, 2010.)

Scored three or higher on an AP examination is the percentage of students in the state and in the nation who scored 3 or higher on one AP examination during their public high school years for the high school class of 2008, 2009, and 2010. These data do not include Puerto Rico. (Data source: College Board 2008, 2009, 2010.)

State Graduation Rate

State graduation rate is the percentage of students measured from the beginning of high school who graduate from public high school with a regular diploma (not including a GED or any other diploma not fully aligned with the State's academic standards) in the standard number of years, or another definition developed by the state that more accurately measures the rate of students who graduate from high school with a regular diploma, which is approved by the Secretary in the state plan. This count does not calculate a dropout as a transfer. Note that "Black, non-Hispanic" includes Black and African-American students; "Hispanic" includes Hispanic and Latino students; and "Asia/Pacific Islander" includes Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Other Pacific Islander students. Graduation rate racial/ethnic data from states that provided 7 R/E categories in 2009-10 for SY 2008-09 instead of 5 categories are not reported in this profile. Rates cannot be aggregated and any reporting of 5 R/E categories reported in the comments field cannot be imported into the profiles. (Data source: EDFacts/CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 for SY 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09.)

Averaged Freshman Graduation Rate

Averaged freshman graduation rate (AFGR) is an estimate of the percentage of public school students in an entering high school freshman class who graduate within four years. For example, for SY 2008-09, the AFGR equals the total number of diploma recipients in SY 2008-09 divided by the average membership of the 8th grade class in 2004-05, the 9th grade class in 2005-06, and the 10th grade class in 2006-07. The national AFGR percentage reflects all states except South Carolina and Puerto Rico. (Data source: Common Core of Data (CCD), "NCES Common Core of Data State Dropout and Completion Data File," SY 2006-07, Version 1b; 2007-08, Version 1b; and 2008-09, Version 1a.)

College Enrollment Rate

College enrollment rate is the estimated percentage of high school graduates attending public or private colleges, by state, in 2004, 2006 and 2008. The numerator for this rate is the number of freshman who graduated from high school in the previous 12 months (reported by IHEs on IPEDS) and the denominator is the number of high school graduates as reported by states (CCD). (Data source: Common Core of Data, Common Core of Data State Dropout and Completion Data File," 2004, 2006, 2008; and "Private School Survey (PSS)," 2004, 2006, 2008, and 2004, 2006, 2008 Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), Spring 2005, 2007, 2009.)

State Dropout Rate

State dropout rate is calculated using the annual event school dropout rate for students leaving a school in a single year determined in accordance with NCES CCD guidelines. Note that "Black, non-Hispanic" includes Black and African-American students; "Hispanic" includes Hispanic and Latino students; and "Asian/Pacific Islander" includes Asian, Native Hawaiian, and Other Pacific Islander students. (Data source: ED Facts/CSPR SY 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 for SY 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09.)

Chief State School Officer

Name and telephone number of the Chief State School Officer. (Source: ED Facts 2011.)

Economic Data

(Data source: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, Common Core of Data (Fiscal). "National Public Education Financial Survey" (NPEFS) FY 2007, Version 1b; 2008, Version 1b; and 2009, Version 1a.)

Current per-pupil expenditure (PPE) data (in dollars) for each state and the nation and funding for education (in thousands of dollars) at the federal, state, and local levels. Student membership is used for the denominator in calculating PPE. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

State per-pupil expenditure is calculated by dividing current expenditures by student membership.

National per-pupil expenditure is calculated by summing expenditures for the 50 states and DC and dividing that by the sum of average daily attendance for the 50 states and DC.

Federal funding for education (in thousands) - are funds provided from federal government agencies to a state or local education agency for education purposes.

State funding for education (in thousands) - are funds produced within the boundaries of the state education agency (SEA) that are available for the use of the SEA including allocation of funds to local education agencies (LEAs).

Local funding for education (in thousands) - are funds produced within the boundaries of an LEA that are available for the use of the LEA.